Hypohydration Impairs Cognition, Technical Ability and Physical Performance in Team Sports: A Review

Mariana A. Matos^{1,*}, João A. Lopes², Leonor S. Loureiro², Rute Borrego¹, Lino Mendes^{1,3}

¹Dietetics and Nutrition, Escola Superior de Tecnologia da Saúde de Lisboa, Instituto Politécnico de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal ²Dietetics and Nutrition Department, Sporting Clube de Portugal, Lisbon, Portugal

³H&TRC- Health & Technology Research Centre, Escola Superior de Tecnologia da Saúde de Lisboa, Instituto Politécnico de Lisboa,

Lisbon, Portugal

Abstract The aims of this literature review is to characterize the impact and mechanisms of hypohydration on cognitive, technical and physical performance in team sports; Performance in many team sports depends on cognitive function (e.g., attention, decision-making, memory and reaction time), execution of sport-specific technical skills (e.g., shooting, passing and dribbling) and high-intensity physical skills (e.g., running, lateral movement, jumping, intermittent high-intensity running). The influence of hydration status has been studied mostly in soccer, basketball and field hockey, with mixed results. Regarding cognitive performance, vigilance, decision-making time, reaction time of working memory or reactive agility were hindered by hypohydration. Regarding technical and physical performance, the results seems to be inconsistent. Studies suggest that 2-4% of hypohydration can impair the performance during basketball shots but has minimum effects in soccer and field hockey. However, it appears that hypohydration impairs cognition, technical ability and physical performance at higher levels of body mass loss (3 to 4%). Impaired performance is also more likely when the dehydration method involves heat stress. The increased subjective classifications of fatigue and perceived exertion consistently accompanied hypohydration and could explain, in part, the performance impairments reported in some studies. Further research is needed to develop valid, reliable and sensitive sport-specific protocols to be used in future studies.

Keywords Athletic performance, Dehydration, Athletes

1. Introduction

Total Body Water (TBW) balance depends on the difference between water consumption and loss. "Euhydration" (EUH) reflects a normal and average fluctuation in TBW content, while "hypohydration" and "hyperhydration" refer to a generalized deficit or excess of TBW beyond the normal range, respectively. Finally, "dehydration" (DEH) describes the process of water loss in the body, while "rehydration" describes the process of obtaining water in the body [1,2].

The benefits of an optimal hydration status include maintaining athletic performance [3], maximizing metabolic heat transfer [4], maintaining mood [5] and facilitating recovery from exercise [1,6].

Hypohydration is classified as acute or chronic. Acute refers to exercise-induced hypohydration, while chronic is when water intake is insufficient over time [7]. A TBW deficit > 2% of body mass (BM) is the DEH threshold that has been found to adversely affect sports performance [8].

* Corresponding author:

Exercise can cause a sharp interruption in fluid balance, challenging the athlete to maintain optimal performance and safety during exercise [2]. Team sports, which are characterized by intermittent efforts of high intensity for prolonged periods, can cause higher sweating rates [9,10]. There are other factors that are associated with increased sweating such as hot/humid environments and the use of protective equipment that is present in many team sports [10–12]. However, individual sweating rates vary considerably [13], as do athletes' fluid intake habits and opportunities for fluid replacement during sports play [10,14].

Dehydration can negatively affect different physiological systems, such as nervous, cardiovascular, thermoregulatory and endocrine systems, and metabolism [15], which can lead to negative health consequences, affect athletic performance and increase the risk of heat stress injury in sports [1,15]. In addition, DEH affects short-term memory, while reducing alertness and the ability to concentrate [1,5,16].

Performance in many team sports depends on cognitive function (e.g., attention, decision-making, memory and reaction time), execution of sport-specific technical skills [e.g., shooting, passing and dribbling] and high-intensity physical skills (e.g., running, lateral movement, jumping and intermittent high-intensity running) [10]. Results of several

marianamatos0603@gmail.com (Mariana A. Matos)

Received: Nov. 16, 2020; Accepted: Dec. 4, 2020; Published: Dec. 15, 2020 Published online at http://journal.sapub.org/sports

studies suggest that dehydration negatively affects cognitive function, muscle strength, power and endurance [7,17–19].

Given the potential impact of hydration status on athletic performance, the assessment of hydro electrolytic balance in athletes has been reported in a large number of studies [20]. However, few presented its effects on exercise performance and a comprehensive literature summary.

The aim of this paper is to characterize the impact and mechanisms of hypohydration on cognitive, technical and physical performance in team sports.

2. Methods

On May 7, 2020, a search was made in the online databases PubMed and Web of Science. Since they are platforms with different search systems, the keywords used were adjusted to the specific platforms.



Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram of study selection and inclusion

Thus, the terms "athletic performance", "performance", "athletes", "physical performance", "cognition", "attention", "exercise", "anaerobic threshold", "Task performance", "task performance and analysis", "resistance performance", "fluid "balance. electrolyte", water balance" "hypohydration", "dehydration" and "water deprivation" were used as keywords (MeSH Terms). The keywords also included as terms only appearing in the title and summary of articles, the terms "team sports", "basketball", "volleyball", "handball", "field hockey", "soccer", "football". The terms and keywords were organized into three lines of research divided by themes that were later arranged into a single line of research with the boolean operator "AND". That is, all

concepts related to athletic performance were inserted with the boolean operator "OR", creating two more different themes, namely for concepts related to hydration and concepts related to team sport, all inserted with the boolean operator "OR".

As inclusion criteria, we chose to use only articles available in English and Portuguese, whose sample was only comprised of humans, with a time limit of 15 years. Through the search in the PubMed database, 58 results were obtained which, together with the 134 results obtained in the Web of Science, made a total of 192 articles.

The selection process is shown in Figure 1, following the steps of PRISMA [21].

3. Results

At the end of the selection process, 17 articles were selected for analyses (see table 1 for analysis of the studies).

3.1. Cognitive Performance

Decision-making refers to the ability of the human brain to extract information from the visual scene, considered essential for good performance in unpredictable sports [22]. According to Murgia et al. [23], decision-making is based on cognitive processes such as visual perception, attention, anticipation and memory.

3.1.1. Soccer

Specifically, in soccer, decision-making becomes important as a good pass can reach a team member who is not directly or indirectly scored and therefore create a chance for a goal [24].

Three studies have investigated hypohydration and cognitive performance in soccer [22,25,26]. Overall, these studies suggest that water restriction has minimal effects on cognition, at least up to a 2.5% of Body Mass Loss (BML). Bandelow et al. and Fortes et al. [22,25] found significant effects on reaction time and decision-making ability. However, Edwards et al. didn't report differences in mental concentration.

Bandelow et al. [25] used a field study approach and complex data modelling to determine the relative contribution of several factors to cognitive performance. In this study, players drank water ad libitum in the first game and were encouraged to drink in the second game. Before, during half time and after each game, players performed cognitive tests which included fine motor speed, visuomotor reaction time, visuospatial working memory and working memory simple reaction time. Bandelow et al. [25] reported that >2.5% BML impaired working memory reaction time but had no effect on any other measure of cognitive performance. Instead, the maintenance of blood glucose and changes in core temperature were more important in determining speed and accuracy during the cognitive tests.

Fortes et al. [22] used a soccer performance test through video analysis. The main findings indicated a reduction in

decision-making capacity only in the DEH trial at compensation time. In addition, a ~2% BML was revealed after 90 minutes in the DEH and EUH conditions, with BM returning to baseline values in the EUH condition after athletes ingested water in the proportion of 1.5 L/kg of BML. The deprivation of water ingestion resulted in the attenuation of decision-making performance during the extra-time. Results of the present study demonstrated that water intake is an efficient strategy for maintaining decision-making performance in the EUH condition [22]. These results corroborate the findings of the systematic review by Lieberman (2007) [27], but contrast with the findings of the systematic review by Pross (2017) [28].

3.1.2. Basketball

Two studies in basketball tested the impact of hypohydration on cognitive performance, reporting mixed results [29,30]. Hoffman et al. [30] found no differences in visual reaction time during a reaction test when players drank water versus restricted fluid intake (2.3% BML). On the other hand, the number of successful attempts during a

lower body reactive agility test was significantly lower in the no-fluid trial.

In addition, Baker, Conroy, et al. [29] found that hypohydration was associated with impaired vigilance. In this study, subjects performed the test of attention variables at the baseline, after stress from physical exercise to induce 1-4% hypohydration or maintain EUH and after a simulated basketball game (where target hydration levels were maintained). Players made significantly more errors of omission and commission and had slower response times (6-8%) in the 1-4% hypohydration trials. In this study, there were no differences between levels of hypohydration.

In conclusion, the basketball-specific relevance of the findings from this study were [29]: 1) the slower response time and the lack of attention to relevant suggestions would likely lead to costly mistakes during a basketball game; 2) conservative decision-making is the process by which DEH harms the attention of basketball players; and 3) the basketball game is an example of a frequent stimulus situation; thus, the results of this study are directly applicable to the performance of basketball.

Sport	Reference	Subjects	Protocol	Hydration levels (% BML)	Physiological and subjective measures	Effect on performance	Limitations
	Fortes, et al. 2018	N= 40 22 years, male	2 games of 90 min started in a control state, HYPO (no intake) and EUH (intake only after the game) followed by 2 parts of 15 min with and without hydration. A game performance evaluation instrument was used to assess the ability of passing decision-making EC: 27.3°C, 78 %RH	Control: 0.1% EUH: 1.9% (end of the game) HYPO: 1.8%	Increased osmolarity of urine in the HYPO trial NS on lactate	P- NA C- significant reduction in passing decision-making capacity in the HYPO trial during the extra-time period T- NS in the number of passes	Subjects not blinded to hydration status The instrument can analyse poor decision due to an athlete's technical error They did not control their decision-making ability and hydration status upon waking
Soccer	Ali et al., 2011	N=10 26 years, Premier division female players	LIST protocol (90 min) with water intake of 3mL/Kg every 15 min + 400mL 1 h before or no-fluid LSPT performed before, during, and after LIST protocol EC- NA	2.2% BML (no-fluid) 1% BML (with fluid)	Blood lactate, HR, RPE and TC higher in no-fluid trial NS Feeling Scale, perceived activation and thermal comfort	T- NS on passing P- NS on sprint time C- NA	No control EUH trial Subjects not blinded to hydration status
	M. Mohr et al., 2010	N= 20 19 years professional male players	Yo–Yo intermittent recovery test and the repeated jump test performed before, during and after a 95min match EC: 31°C	< 2%	Not related with hydration status.	C e T- NA P- correlation between DEH level and fatigue index in the sprint test and the yo-yo test	No control EUH trial

Table 1. Summary of studies measuring effects of hypohydration on athletic performance during team sports

Sport	Reference	Subjects	Protocol	Hydration levels (% BML)	Physiological and subjective measures	Effect on performance	Limitations
	Bandelow et al., 2010	N= 20 College male players	Ad libitum water intake or encouraged to drink readily available water and sports drink during a match Battery of cognitive tests performed before, at halftime, and after match EC- 34°C, 62-65% RH	2.5%	Higher plasma glucose and TC in ad libitum trial	T e P- NA C- impaired working memory simple reaction time (Sternberg test) NS- Fine motor speed (finger tapping test), visuospatial working memory (Corsi block test), visuomotor reaction time (visual sensitivity test)	No control EUH trial Cognitive test not sport- specific
Soccer	Edwards et al., 2007	N=11 24 years, male players	45 min cycling + 45 min soccer match with: 1- water consumption to replace 80% of losses; 2- water mouth rinse; 3- No fluid Mental concentration test performed after match Yo-Yo intermittent recovery test after match EC: cycling: 24-24°C, 47-55%RH; Match- 19-21°C, 46-57%RH	2.4% (no fluid) 2.1% (mouth rinse) 0.7% (water intake)	TC and RPE higher in no fluid trial	T- NA P- Less distance covered in no fluid [13%] and in the rinse trial [15%] of the yo-yo test C- NS of mental concentration	Subjects not blinded to hydration status Cycling exercise prior to match not realistic to soccer Cognitive test not sport- specific
	Owen, Kehoe, & Oliver, 2013	N=13 22 years semi-professional male players	LIST protocol (90min) with 1- water consumption to replace 89% of losses; 2- ad libitum intake; 3- No fluid LSPT and LSST before and after LIST protocol Yo–Yo intermittent recovery test after match LSST before and after LIST protocol EC: 19.4°C, 59.4% RH	2.5% (no fluid) 1.1% (<i>ad</i> <i>libitum</i>) 0.3% (water intake)	RPE and HR higher in no fluid trial	C- NA P- NS covered distance T- NS passing and shooting skill	Subjects not blinded to hydration status

Table 1. Continued

Sport	Reference	Subjects	Protocol	Hydration levels (%BML)	Physiological and subjective measures	Effect on performance	Limitations
	Ali & Williams, 2013	N= 8 24 years, college male players	LIST protocol (90min) with water intake (15mL/Kg) or no fluid 15m de sprint during LIST protocol EC: NA	3.7% (no fluid) 2.3% (water intake)	RPE higher in no fluid trial NS in HR and TC. isometric and isokinetic strength, muscle power of knee flexors and extensors	P- NS on mean sprint time C e T- NA	Subjects not blinded to hydration status No control EUH trial
Soccer	Magni Mohr & Krustrup, 2013	N= 19 27 years, Elite male players	EC assessment in 3 games at home (with temperate environment) and in 3 games away (warm environment) Repeated CMJ 2 days before and after match Yo–Yo intermittent recovery test and sprint test to measure physical capacity EC: Home- 12.2 ± 0.5 °C ; Away- 30 ± 0.3 °C	Home: 3.1% Away: 1.7%	HR higher in-home match	C e T- NA P- CMJ lower after away matches vs before match (6%) and vs home match	No control EUH trial
Field Hockey	H. MacLeod & Sunderland, 2012	N=8 22 years, elite female players	Day 1: 2h passive heat stress (39,9°C, 73% RH) followed by controlled fluid intake to induce HYPO or EUH Day 2: 60-min intermittent treadmill protocol designed to mimic demands of field hockey (ad libitum water intake) Field hockey skill measured at baseline (day 1) and before and after treadmill protocol (day 2), skill test involved dribbling, passing, and shooting	2% HYPO 0% EUH No difference in ad libitum water intake on day 2 (88% vs. 80% replacement of fluid losses)	RPE and thirst higher with HYPO before treadmill protocol NS: HR and TC	T- NS P- NA C- Decision-making time during the skill test was 7% slower with HYPO vs. EUH before the treadmill protocol but NS after treadmill	Subjects not blinded to hydration status Treadmill is not sport- specific Method of dehydration (passive heat stress previous day) may not be ecologically valid Start in a HIPO state but during the protocol they replaced fluids

Table 1. Continued

Sport	Reference	Subjects	Protocol	Hydration levels (% BML)	Physiological and subjective measures	Effect on performance	Limitations
Field Hockey	Hannah MacLeod et al., 2018	N= 8 22 years, elite female players	Cognitive tests before and after 50 min of specific exercises on the treadmill. 4 trials, 2 in hot conditions (33.3°C, 59% RH) and 2 in moderate conditions (16°C, 53% RH) both with and without water intake ad libitum	2.6% (hot and no fluid) 2.1% (moderate and no fluid) 0.5% (hot and moderate with fluids)	HR e RPE higher in the heat trial and in a state of DEH	P e T- NA C- no relationship between hydration status and cognitive function	Players accustomed to the state of DEH Conditions are not similar to those of a real match.
Basketball	Baker, Conroy, & Kenney, 2007	N=11, 17-28 years, Competitive male players	3-h interval walking in heat chamber (to establish 1–4% HYPO or maintain EUH) prior to 80-min simulated game Water intake to maintain target HYPO level or flavoured water in EUH trial Testing attention variables at the beginning, after the heat chamber and after the game EC: chamber- 40°C, 20% RH; Match- indoors, temperate	1%, 2%, 3%, and 4% HYPO, 0% EUH	NS: TC More lightheaded, hot/overheated, and total body fatigue in HYPO trials (mean of 1, 2, 3, and 4%)	T- NA P-NA C- More errors of omission of the Attention Test and commission errors and slower response time (6 to 8%) in HYPO trials (without difference between the levels); No difference between sports drink and placebo.	Subjects not blinded to hydration status Exercise-heat stress prior to test not realistic to basketball Cognitive test not sport- specific
	Hoffman et al., 2012	N= 10 21 years, female division 1 college players	Water to replace fluid losses or no fluid intake during a 40-min live scrimmage Shooting circuit, lower body reactive agility (Quick Board) and visual reaction time (Dynavision D2) performed before and after the scrimmage CMJ before and after match EC: indoor, 22,6°C, 50,9% RH	2.3% no fluid NA with fluids	NS: HR and player load	T- NS: number of shots made C- Impaired lower body reactive agility performance in no fluid vs. water intake trial NS: visual reaction time P- NS: Peak and mean power during CMJ	Subjects not blinded to hydration status % BML during water intake trial not reported; unclear whether water intake trial was ad libitum or a control (EUH) trial No validity or reliability testing of 2-on- 2 basketball game

Table 1. Continued

Sport	Reference	Subjects	Protocol	Hydration levels (%)	Physiological and subjective measures	Effect on performance	Limitations
Basketball	Baker, et al., 2007	N=17 17-28 years, Competitive male players	3-h interval walking in heat chamber (to establish 1–4% HYPO or maintain EUH) prior to 80-min simulated game Water intake to maintain target HYPO level or flavoured water in EUH trial Shooting drills completed throughout simulated game; drills included stationary shots [spot-up 3-point shots, 15-ft shots, and free throws] and shots on the move (off the dribble 15-ft jump shots and layups) Sprinting, lateral movement [defensive slides], and combination, and jumping drills completed throughout a simulated game EC: chamber- 40°C, 20% RH; Match- indoors, temperate	1%, 2%, 3%, and 4% HYPO, 0% EUH	More leg fatigue and lightheaded in 3 and 4% HYPO trials vs. EUH trial More upper and total body fatigue in 4% HYPO trial vs. EUH trial Higher Tc during 2nd quarter of 4% HYPO trial vs. EUH trial NS: RPE and HR	C- NA T- Fewer shots on the move made in 3% (9%) and 4% (12%) Less stationary shots made at 4% HYPO For all shots combined, significantly less in 2% (7%), 3% (9%) and 4% (12%) of the HYPO vs. EUH trials Progressive decrease in the total number of total shots made with the increase in BML (from 2-4%) P- More sprint time by 2% (~ 7%), 3% (~ 8%), and 4% (-16%) HYPO vs. EUH More time on lateral movements and combined exercises in 3 and 4% HYPO Longer repeated jump time in 4% HYPO trial vs. EUH trial NS: maximum vertical jumps	Subjects not blinded to hydration status Exercise-heat stress prior to test not realistic to basketball No validity or reliability testing of simulated game/ basketball drills
	Brandenburg & Gaetz, 2012	N=17 24 years, elite female players	Ad libitum intake of water and/or sports drink during 2 international games EC: indoor, 22,5-23,5°C, 44-50% RH	2,1-2.6% game 1 2-2.1% game 2	NA	C e P- NA T- significant inverse relationship between BML and % of field goal in game 2	Subjects not blinded to hydration status
	Carvalho et al., 2011	N=12 14-15 years male players on national team	90-min training session with ad libitum water or no fluid or ad libitum sports drink with 8% CHO Basketball drills performed before and after the training session EC: indoor 21,9-26°C, 48,3-54,1%RH	2.5% (no fluid) 1.1% (ad libitum)	RPE higher in no fluid trial	C- NA T- NS: 2-pt, 3-pt, and free throw shooting percentage. However, 2-pt field goal percentage 5.8% lower in no fluid vs. ad libitum water trial P- NS: Sprinting and defensive slide times	Subjects not blinded to hydration status No control EUH No validity or reliability testing of the basketball drills

Table 1. Continued

Sport	Reference	Subjects	Protocol	Hydration levels (%)	Physiological and subjective measures	Effect on performance	Limitations
Basketball	Dougherty, et al., 2006	N= 15 12–15 years male competitive players	 2-h interval walking/cycling in heat chamber [to establish 2% HYPO or maintain EUH] prior to 60-min simulated game Water intake to maintain HYPO level. In the case of EUH, a sports drink with 6% CHO or another with a flavoured drink (placebo) Shooting drills (3-point shots, 15-ft shots, free throws, and layups) completed throughout simulated game Sprinting, lateral movement (defensive slides), combination, and jumping drills completed throughout a simulated game EC: heat chamber- 35°C, 20% RH; Game- indoor, temperate 	2% HYPO 0% EUH	More upper body fatigue and higher HR and Tc in HYPO trial NS: RPE and total body fatigue	C- NA P- Longer total and mean sprint times (6%) and lateral movement times (7%) in HYPO vs. EUH trial NS: combination drill time, maximum jump height, repeated jumping time T- Overall shooting % for long-range shots (3- point shots, 15-ft shots, and free throws) lower (by 8%) in HYPO trial	Subjects not blinded to hydration status Exercise-heat stress prior to simulated game not realistic to basketball No validity or reliability testing of simulated game/ basketball drills Cognitive test not sport- specific
	Louis et al., 2018	N=9 16 years, national team male players	21-h interval cycling in heat chamber [to establish 2% HYPO or maintain EUH] Basketball protocol with 10min warm-up followed by 1 min of 3-point shots EC: heat chamber- 39°C; Game- indoor, temperate	2% [HYPO] 0% [EUH]	RPE higher in HYPO trial	C e P- NA T- NS: number of shot per minute	Subjects not blinded to hydration status No validity or reliability testing of simulated game/ basketball drills

Table 1. Continued

T- Technical; P- Physical; C- Cognitive; BML- Body Mass Loss, NA- Not Available, EC- environmental conditions; NS- non significant effect, HR- Heart Rate, TCbody core temperature; EUH- Euhydration; LIST- Loughborough Intermittent Shuttle Test (6 blocks of 15 minutes to walk, sprint, run and play); LSPT-Loughborough Soccer Passing Test; RH- relative humidity; RPE- rating of perceived exertion; LSST- Loughborough Soccer Shooting Test (12 m sprint, pass, control the ball and shoot); CHO- carbohydrate; HYPO-Hypohydration; CMJ- Countermovement jump; DEH- Dehydration

The results of this study are in agreement with Moran's theory [31]. Moran suggests that fatigue can impair attention, increasing distraction and depleting information processing resources. The signal detection model of vigilance performance [32] predicts that, in conditions of low excitation, the most common type of error is failure to respond to target stimuli (i.e., an increase in the number of omission errors).

3.1.3. Field Hockey

The effect of hypohydration on cognitive performance in field hockey was tested in 2 studies [3,33]. H. MacLeod & Sunderland [3] subjected the field hockey players to 2 hours of passive heat to stimulate fluid loss. After this, fluid intake was controlled so that, in the next morning, they

were either euhydrated or 2% dehydrated. On day 2, players completed a field hockey skill test before and after an intermittent 1-hour protocol in which players drank water ad libitum. The decision-making time during the skill test was 7% slower in the 2% DEH, but only before the intermittent exercise since during the game they could consume fluids. The main conclusions [3] were that the performance of field hockey skills and the decision-making time were hindered by 2% hypohydration. The response to dehydration induced by exposure to heat, water restriction or treadmill exercise, is a decline in task accuracy and response speed in tests such as short-term memory, visuo-motor tasks, attention related to vigilance or arithmetic skills [29]. It is possible that the increased sensation of thirst, discomfort and fatigue and reduced levels of alertness, commonly associated with fluid restriction, are responsible for part of the observed decline in performance [18].

H. MacLeod et al. [33], used the same cognitive tests as the previous study, with the difference that they did 4 trials. Two in a hot environment and 2 in a moderate environment. each with ad libitum consumption and without fluids. The BML was 2.6% in the warm and no-fluid environment, 2.1% in the moderate and no-fluid environment and 0.5% in both environments with ad libitum consumption. The study didn't detect significant changes between the trials except in the greater perception of the effort. In this study [33], the range of dehydration was 1.6-2.8%, demonstrating the inter-individual variability. This is mainly related to ad libitum consumption in the study design, which reflects field practices. The participants in this study were elite, familiar with the demands of exercise and highly motivated individuals. As such, it seems plausible that increased motivation and attention have maintained or improved performance on various tasks and thermal stress has increased arousal to help overcome any possible decreases in performance as a result of dehydration [34].

3.2. Technical Performance

3.2.1. Soccer

Three studies have tested the impact of water restriction on specific soccer skills [22,35,36]. Ali et al. and Owen et al. [35,36] tested before, during and after a Loughborow intermittent run test [LIST]. Ali et al. reported no impact of fluid restriction (2.2% BML) versus water intake (1.0% BML) on passing performance in first division players.

Likewise, Owen et al. [36] found that passing and shooting skills of semi-professional players were unaffected by water restriction (2.5% BML) compared to ad libitum (1.1% BML) or prescribed water intake (0.3% BML). Fluid intake compensated for the small levels of DEH observed in the group without fluids and led to reduced central temperature and cardiovascular tension and perceptual responses during and after exercise.

Fortes [22] concluded that the number of passes made during the game wasn't affected by the hydration status.

Taken together, these results suggest that the effect of hypohydration on soccer performance may depend on the type of skill examined. However, they show that shooting and passing ability in football was similar after drinking fluids, although more research is needed to corroborate these observations [36].

3.2.2. Basketball

The potential impact of hypohydration on basketball shooting performance has been assessed in six studies [30,37–41]. Results from these studies were mixed. For example, Hoffman et al. [30] found no impact of fluid restriction on the number of shots. Despite a significant loss of BML during DEH, individuals were able to maintain jump strength during the game, but shooting performance and

reaction time were significantly impaired [30].

Similar results were found in a study by Carvalho et al. [39] which compared the effects of no-fluid intake [2.5% BML] versus ad libitum (1.1% BML). In this study [39], didn't find significant differences in the number of shots between conditions, but reported a reduction of 5.8% in shot accuracy (i.e., lowest number of goals) in a two-point field without fluid consumption. The main results of this study were that fluid restriction during exercise was associated with a higher level of dehydration and increased perceived exertion but had no significant impact on basketball performance. In the current research, athletes in the no-fluid group hypohydrated by 2.5% of their BM. Thus, although it didn't achieve statistically significant differences, players in the condition without fluids underperformed in all exercises and took more time to complete them [39].

Dougherty et al. reported that 2% hypohydration was associated with a significantly lower shooting percentage (8%).

Brandenburg and Gaetz [40] allowed elite players to have unlimited access to drinks of their choice during two international games. In both games, players accumulated >2.6% BML. The authors reported a significant inverse relationship between BML and the percentage of shots with goals on the field in the second game, but no relationship in the first game. Although measuring the impact of hydration status on performance during the actual game increases the ecological validity of this study, the interpretation of these results is limited due to the potential impact of confounding factors (e.g., concomitant carbohydrate intake or additional eating behaviours) and the defensive ability of the opposing team.

The effects of hypohydration levels on performance in basketball courts were tested in a study with male players. Baker, Dougherty, et al. [37] found that compared to EUH, increasing levels of DEH (2-4%) led to a progressive decrease of 7-12% in the total number of shots during a simulated game. In this study, players were given a standardized time to make as many throws as possible during each exercise. Thus, there was a progressive decrease in the number of shots due to the degree of hypohydration, which was probably the result of lower running speed and dribbling between them.

Louis et al. [41], studied professional male athletes through a basketball protocol that players initiated after being subjected to thermal and exercise stress to establish 2% DEH or EUH. The main results indicated a slight but not significant decrease in performance in 3 points with a DEH status of 2%, accompanied by small changes in body kinematics and in the ball release variables.

Taken together, the results of the six studies in basketball suggest that 2% hypohydration can potentially affect shooting performance, perhaps due to less accuracy and/or slowness in the frequency of shots attempts. Both factors can affect the total number of points scored, which is crucial in determining the outcome of a basketball game.

3.2.3. Field Hockey

H. MacLeod & Sunderland [3] investigated the potential effects of hypohydration induced by passive thermal stress the day before field hockey skills. MacLeod and Sunderland assessed skills using a test that involves dribbling, passing and shooting after a treadmill protocol with ad libitum drink and found no impact of 2% DEH versus EUH on specific hockey skills on the field in elite players.

3.3. Physical Performance

3.3.1. Soccer

Six studies have studied the impact of hypohydration on physical performance in soccer athletes [26,35,36,42–44].

Four studies tested the impact of hypohydration on the performance of the 15 m sprint during the LIST protocol [35,36,42,43]. Ali et al. reported that although sprint performance deteriorated by 2.7% over the 90-minute protocol, there was no difference between trials in which soccer players drank water (1.0% BML) or no-fluid intake [2.2% BML]. Mohr and Krustrup and Owen et al. [36,42] had similar results. Mohr and Krustrup [42] also studied the effect of temperature and dehydration on height and repetition of counter-movement jumps. After a game at normal ambient temperatures, there was no decline in the jumping ability. However, in a heat-induce environment, there were a correlation between decrease repeated jumping performance and fluid loss [42].

M. Mohr et al [44]. reported that as the match was played at relatively high ambient temperatures, very high muscle temperatures were recorded, and significant dehydration occurred during the game and the degree of dehydration was correlated with the fatigue index of the sprint test after the game.

Ali & Williams [45] reported that after 90 min of simulated soccer exercise, knee isokinetic strength was reduced by 8.8% and 7.9% at fast and slow contraction speeds, respectively. The isometric strength of the knee extensors was also reduced by 16.5% after exercise, but there was only a tendency for a decrease in the performance of the knee flexors (9.1%). However, fluid intake didn't affect muscle function or sprint speed during or after the simulated soccer exercise. This was combined with a reduction in sprint performance as well. In addition, research suggests that when thermal stress accompanies DEH, greater losses in muscle function can be expected [43].

Four studies used the YO-YO intermittent recovery test to determine the effect of hypohydration on intermittent running ability in soccer [26,36,42,44]. Owen et al. reported no differences between trials in which players did not drink fluids (2.5% BML), water ad libitum (1.1% BML) or drank water to replace 89% of fluids losses (0.3% BML). On the other hand, Edward et al. reported that the physical fitness test was significantly impaired in both experimental conditions in which fluid intake was restricted. This resulted in decreases of 13 to 15% in the total distance covered when

male soccer players mouth rinsed water (2.1% BML), didn't consume fluids (2.4% BML) versus when water was ingested (0.7% BML) [26].

The evaluations of perceived effort and order of classification of thirst showed that individuals considered the no-fluid condition to be the most challenging. The relatively small but significant increases in urine osmolarity and central temperature in the no-fluid condition may have stimulated intrinsic anticipatory mechanisms in the brain, recognizing that heat storage occurred quickly and invoked performance limitations to prevent future physical damage [46].

3.3.2. Basketball

The impact of hypohydration on sprint and lateral movements performance was assessed in 3 basketball studies [37–39]. Baker, Dougherty, et al. [37] assessed male players during a simulated basketball game with different DEH levels. Increasing levels of hypohydration (2, 3 and 4% BML) were associated with progressively longer total sprint times by 7, 8 and 16%, respectively. The lateral movements were not affected by 1-2% hypohydration but was significantly increased from 3-4% DEH versus EUH. In another study, the hypohydration (2% BML) led to a total and average 6% longer sprint and 7% in the lateral movements during a simulated game [38]. Carvalho P. et al. reported that there was no effect of water restriction (2.5% BML) versus water intake ad libitum (1.1% BML) on sprint performance and lateral movements after training.

Three basketball studies investigated the effects of hypohydration on jumping performance, including maximum jump height, time to complete a set number of jumps, and maximum or average anaerobic power during repeated jump tests [30,37,38]. These studies reported no impact of hypohydration (1–4% BML) on the maximum jump height. However, Baker, Dougherty, et al. [37] reported a significantly longer repeated jump time with 4% DEH versus EUH.

The impaired performance of basketball in the Baker, Dougherty, et al. [37] study can be partially explained by the subjective measures of physical well-being; that is, increased feeling of fatigue in the legs and dizziness associated with DEH. The deleterious effect of water restriction on subjective feelings of fatigue and physical well-being is consistent with previous researches. For example, in the study by Dougherty et al., basketball players reported higher feelings of dizziness and fatigue in the upper body during the 2% DEH condition.

4. Discussion

There are many difficulties in assessing performance during the sport itself and this is undoubtedly a factor that contributes to the inconsistency of the findings in the literature. Given the methodological constraints on data collection during the competition, many of these researches have evaluated performance in laboratories or other controlled locations. In addition, other issues, contribute to inconsistencies in the literature and may limit the applicability of these studies to real life performance.

Regarding cognitive performance, based on the results of seven studies published to date, the impact of hypohydration (~1-2.5% BML) on team sports athletes is ambiguous. In five studies, vigilance, decision-making time, reaction time of working memory or reactive agility were hindered by hypohydration. However, no other measure of cognition (e.g., mental concentration, fine motor speed, visual perception, visual-motor reaction time or mathematics) was affected. This inconsistency is probably due in part to the measured aspects of cognition, the types of cognitive tests used, the reliability and sensitivity of these tests and other factors related to the study design [35]. Impaired cognitive performance is difficult to measure, particularly in the context of sport and can be partly explained by the increased feeling of fatigue associated with DEH compared to EUH [3,29].

In addition, McCartney, Desbrow, & Irwin [47] demonstrated through a systematic review with a meta-analysis that the speed of information processing and memory, which are components of decision-making performance, were reduced after dehydration. On the other hand, the results of the systematic review carried out by Pross [28] indicate that performance is often impaired when dehydration is achieved by combining fluid restriction with heat or exercise. When dehydration is induced only by fluid deprivation, healthy adults seem capable of maintaining performance. Therefore, players should be advised to maintain EUH in order to obtain optimal concentration and attention skills during competition [29].

Regarding technical performance, the effect of hypohydration on technical skills in sports seems to be inconsistent. Studies suggest that ~2-4% of hypohydration can impair the performance during basketball shots. On the other hand, the balance of studies suggests a minimum impact of ~2–3% hypohydration on the performance of skills in soccer and field hockey. Like cognition, technical skills are difficult to measure. Therefore, more work is needed to develop specific, reliable, valid and sensitive tests to use in future studies [10].

Regarding physical performance in soccer, the research suggests that $\sim 2-4\%$ hypohydration is unlikely to affect the average 15 m running performance but may prolong the running time in extra time. Results are more consistent in basketball, with most studies reporting more time to complete races when athletes are hypohydrated by $\sim 2-4\%$.

The ability to make quick lateral movements is important for performance in many sports but has only been tested in basketball and the effects of DEH are currently unclear [10].

Studies suggest that hypohydration is unlikely to have a negative impact on vertical jump height. However, anaerobic power can be impaired by hypohydration, especially at higher levels of hypohydration (~4% BML). In general, these results are in line with recent reviews and

meta-analyses on the effect of hypohydration on jumping ability and anaerobic power [10,19,48].

In basketball, there was a decrease in performance in a range of 1% DEH (suicide running), 2% DEH (throwing around the world), 3% DEH (zigzag dribbling, wide sprints, lay-out footage and full court combination) and four exercises at 4% DEH (repeated vertical jumps, key combination, track slides and baseline shots) [37]. The performance of the remaining four individual exercises (maximum vertical jump, three-point throw, free throws and missing line throws] were not affected. When the performance results were divided into two main categories [timed exercises and throwing exercises), the critical water deficit causing a decrease in the performance of basketball skills, was 2% of BM [37].

In the case of soccer, in the LIST skills test, the intensities of repeated efforts are manipulated to reflect the different types of activities experienced during a game (i.e., high and low intensity running and walking). A well-controlled test-retest reliability study confirmed that variables such as heart rate are highly reproducible in the LIST protocol [49].

Although several soccer simulations protocols, such as those discussed briefly here, are sensibly constructed, their direct validity to the game is obviously limited by factors such as absence of the ball, direct competition, straight running and minimal opportunities for self-regulation of physical effort [50]. It should also be considered that most studies are conducted in indoor conditions, imposing a different physical stress on outdoor play [51].

However, although several hypotheses have been proposed, the mechanisms of these effects are still not well studied. Hypohydration appears to impair endurance performance through a combination of mechanisms, mainly driven by hypovolemia. This hypovolemia and the resulting hyperosmolarity precipitate a cascade of physiological and perceptual responses that apparently act together to reduce endurance performance. From a physiological point of view, these responses include reductions in brain and muscle blood flow, increased body temperature, increased heart rate/cardiovascular tension and increased muscle glycogenolysis, possibly limiting peak oxygen uptake [52,53].

Consistent with previous studies that examined physiological responses to prolonged intermittent exercise, no-fluid trials during 90-minute intermittent exercise were associated with increased perceived exertion and cardiovascular stress [26,45]. Finally, these physiological and perceptual responses are likely to work together to increase the perceived effort at a given intensity, thus compromising performance [52–54].

Alternative mechanisms include decreased muscle creatine phosphate and increased muscle acidosis [reduced pH and increased lactate], which were demonstrated after the same high-intensity intermittent running test [55,56]. The degree to which these cardiovascular changes affect strength and power, however, is unclear. Experimental evidence, although limited, refutes the possibility that hypohydration

fundamentally changes intramuscular reserves of ATP and CP or circulating blood glucose concentrations [57]. The increase in muscle acidosis is associated with reduced soccer performance through mechanisms of central and peripheral fatigue [36].

The results of this review should be evaluated and interpreted with the following limitations in mind. Firstly, since oral fluid replacement cannot be blinded, it is conceivable that the placebo effect may be responsible for a small amount of the benefits seen with rehydration. Attempts should be made to blind the experimental conditions. For example, small volumes of fluid must be provided during dehydration tests and BM, fluid intake and urine volumes of individuals must be hidden [58]. Secondly, not all studies have a control group and therefore it is not possible to properly compare the effect of dehydration. Thirdly, there is great methodological variability in studies that assess performance and some information reported is limited. The protocols and tests used to measure performance in team sports must be sport-specific and individuals must be familiar with the methods before starting experimental tests [51]. The tests must also be valid, reliable and sensitive [59]. Of the studies reviewed, most tests were sport-specific for skill, running, jumping/strength, lateral movements and intermittent running ability, but not for cognition (with the exception of a field hockey study by Baker, Conroy, et al.).

Future research must recognize the 3 vital components of the appropriate research design: [1] dehydration technique; [2] subject population; and [3] performance measures. Scientists should avoid dehydration methods using diuretics to reduce TBW (including the physiological stresses of diuretic-induced hypohydration). However, exercise and exposure to heat are useful methods for dehydrating individuals.

5. Conclusions

Studies regarding the impact of hydration status on team sports performance reported mixed findings. However, it appears that hypohydration impairs cognition, technical ability and physical performance at higher levels of BML (3 to 4%). Impaired performance is also more likely when the dehydration method involves heat stress. Although in some studies there was no effect on performance, most studies reported a decrease in perceived effort with no fluid intake. This information is critical to help providing practical recommendations on fluid balance and team sports performance.

Conclusively, water intake is vital to optimize exercise performance. It helps to delay fatigue and prevent injuries that occur with dehydration, decrease submaximal heart rate, heat stress, heat exhaustion and potential heat stroke. However, more research is needed to develop valid, reliable and sensitive sports specific protocols to be used in future studies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

No sources of funding were used to assist in the preparation of this review.

The authors have no conflicts of interest that are directly relevant to this review.

REFERENCES

- McDermott BP, Anderson SA, Armstrong LE, Casa DJ, Cheuvront SN, Cooper L, et al. National Athletic Trainers' Association Position Statement: Fluid Replacement for the Physically Active. J Athl Train. 2017; 52[9]: 877–95.
- [2] Belval LN, Hosokawa Y, Casa DJ, Adams WM, Armstrong LE, Baker LB, et al. Practical hydration solutions for sports. Nutrients. 2019; 11[7].
- [3] MacLeod H, Sunderland C. Previous day hypohydration impairs skill performance in elite female field hockey players. Scand J Med Sci Sport. 2012; 22[3]: 430–8.
- [4] González-Alonso J, Mora-Rodríguez R, Coyle EF. Stroke volume during exercise: Interaction of environment and hydration. Am J Physiol - Hear Circ Physiol. 2000; 278[2 47-2]: 321–30.
- [5] Women Y, Armstrong LE, Ganio MS, Casa DJ, Lee EC, Mcdermott BP, et al. Mild Dehydration Affects Mood in Healthy. J Nutr [Internet]. 2012; 142[2]: 1–7. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22190027
- [6] Vanderlei FM, Moreno IL, Vanderlei LCM, Pastre CM, De Abreu LC, Ferreira C. Comparison of the effects of hydration with water or isotonic solution on the recovery of cardiac autonomic modulation. Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab. 2015; 25[2]: 145–53.
- [7] Webb MC, Salandy ST, Beckford SE. Monitoring hydration status pre- and post-training among university athletes using urine color and weight loss indicators. J Am Coll Heal. 2016; 64[6]: 448–55.
- [8] Casa DJ, Clarkson PM, Roberts WO. American college of sports medicine roundtable on hydration and physical activity: Consensus statements. Curr Sports Med Rep. 2005; 4[3]: 115–27.
- [9] Baker LB, Barnes KA, Anderson ML, Passe DH, Stofan JR. Normative data for regional sweat sodium concentration and whole-body sweating rate in athletes. J Sports Sci [Internet]. 2016; 34[4]: 358–68. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2015.1055291.
- [10] Nuccio RP, Barnes KA, Carter JM, Baker LB. Fluid Balance in Team Sport Athletes and the Effect of Hypohydration on Cognitive, Technical, and Physical Performance. Sport Med. 2017; 47[10]: 1951–82.
- [11] Gagnon D, Jay O, Kenny GP. The evaporative requirement for heat balance determines whole-body sweat rate during exercise under conditions permitting full evaporation. J Physiol. 2013; 591[11]: 2925–35.
- [12] Buresh R, Berg K, Noble J. Heat production and storage are

positively correlated with measures of body size/composition and heart rate drift during vigorous running. Res Q Exerc Sport. 2005; 76[3]: 267–74.

- [13] Sawka AC of SMMN, Burke LM, Eichner ER. American College of Sports Medicine Position Stand. Exercise and Fluid Replacement. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 2007; 5[1]: 35–41.
- [14] Garth AK, Burke LM. What do athletes drink during competitive sporting activities? Sport Med. 2013; 43[7]: 539–64.
- [15] Nestares T, Salinas M, Teresa C, Diaz Castro J, Moreno -Fernandez J, Lopez - Frias M. Hydration habits before, during and after training and competition days among amateur basketball players Hábitos. Nutr Hosp. 2017; 33[4].
- [16] Braun H, Von Andrian-Werburg J, Malisova O, Athanasatou A, Kapsokefalou M, Ortega JF, et al. Differing Water intake and hydration status in three european countries-A day-to-day analysis. Nutrients. 2019; 11[4]: 1–13.
- [17] Harris PR, Keen DA, Constantopoulos E, Weninger SN, Hines E, Koppinger MP, et al. Fluid type influences acute hydration and muscle performance recovery in human subjects. J Int Soc Sports Nutr. 2019; 16[1]: 1–12.
- [18] Judelson DA, Maresh CM, Anderson JM, Armstrong LE, Casa DJ, Kraemer WJ, et al. Hydration and muscular performance: Does fluid balance affect strength, power and high-intensity endurance? Sport Med. 2007; 37[10]: 907–21.
- [19] Savoie FA, Kenefick RW, Ely BR, Cheuvront SN, Goulet EDB. Effect of Hypohydration on Muscle Endurance, Strength, Anaerobic Power and Capacity and Vertical Jumping Ability: A Meta-Analysis. Sport Med. 2015; 45[8]: 1207–27.
- [20] Love TD, Baker DF, Healey P, Black KE. Measured and perceived indices of fluid balance in professional athletes. The use and impact of hydration assessment strategies. Eur J Sport Sci [Internet]. 2018; 18[3]: 349–56. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2017.1418910.
- [21] Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Grp P. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. Phys Ther. 2009; 89[9]: 873–80.
- [22] Fortes LS, Nascimento-Júnior JRA, Mortatti AL, Lima-Júnior DRAA de, Ferreira MEC. Effect of Dehydration on Passing Decision Making in Soccer Athletes. Res Q Exerc Sport. 2018; 89[3]: 332–9.
- [23] Murgia M, Sors F, Muroni AF, Santoro I, Prpic V, Galmonte A, et al. Using perceptual home-training to improve anticipation skills of soccer goalkeepers. Psychol Sport Exerc [Internet]. 2014; 15[6]: 642–8. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2014.07.009.
- [24] Romeas T, Guldner A, Faubert J. 3D-Multiple Object Tracking training task improves passing decision-making accuracy in soccer players. Psychol Sport Exerc [Internet]. 2016; 22: 1–9. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2015.06.002.
- [25] Bandelow S, Maughan R, Shirreffs S, Ozgünen K, Kurdak S, Ersöz G, et al. The effects of exercise, heat, cooling and rehydration strategies on cognitive function in football players. Scand J Med Sci Sport. 2010; 20 [SUPPL. 3]: 148–60.

- [26] Edwards AM, Mann ME, Marfell-Jones MJ, Rankin DM, Noakes TD, Shillington DP. Influence of moderate dehydration on soccer performance: Physiological responses to 45 min of outdoor match-play and the immediate subsequent performance of sport-specific and mental concentration tests. Br J Sports Med. 2007; 41[6]: 385–91.
- [27] Lieberman HR. Hydration and Cognition: A Critical Review and Recommendations for Future Research. J Am Coll Nutr. 2007; 26 [October 2014]: 555S-561S.
- [28] Pross N. Effects of Dehydration on Brain Functioning: A Life-Span Perspective. Ann Nutr Metab. 2017; 70 [Suppl1]: 30–6.
- [29] Baker LB, Conroy DE, Kenney WL. Dehydration impairs vigilance-related attention in male basketball players. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2007; 39[6]: 976–83.
- [30] Hoffman JR, Williams DR, Emerson NS, Hoffman MW, Wells AJ, McVeigh DM, et al. L-alanyl-L-glutamine ingestion maintains performance during a competitive basketball game. J Int Soc Sports Nutr. 2012; 9: 1–8.
- [31] Moran A. Losing concentration in sport: external and internal. In: The Psychology of concentration in sport performers: a cognitive analysis. 1996. p. 101–31.
- [32] Abernethy B. Attention. In: Singer RN, Murphey M, Tennant LK, editors. Handbook of research in sport psychology. 1993. p. 127–70.
- [33] MacLeod H, Cooper S, Bandelow S, Malcolm R, Sunderland C. Effects of heat stress and dehydration on cognitive function in elite female field hockey players. BMC Sports Sci Med Rehabil. 2018; 10[1]: 1–13.
- [34] Hüttermann S, Memmert D. Does the inverted-U function disappear in expert athletes? An analysis of the attentional behavior under physical exercise of athletes and non-athletes. Physiol Behav [Internet]. 2014; 131: 87–92. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2014.04.020
- [35] Ali A, Gardiner R, Foskett A, Gant N. Fluid balance, thermoregulation and sprint and passing skill performance in female soccer players. Scand J Med Sci Sport. 2011; 21[3]: 437–45.
- [36] Owen JA, Kehoe SJ, Oliver SJ. Influence of fluid intake on soccer performance in a temperate environment. J Sports Sci. 2013; 31[1]: 1–10.
- [37] Baker LB, Dougherty KA, Chow M, Kenney WL. Progressive dehydration causes a progressive decline in basketball skill performance. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2007; 39[7]: 1114–23.
- [38] Dougherty KA, Baker LB, Chow M, Kenney WL. Two percent dehydration impairs and six percent carbohydrate drink improves boys basketball skills. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2006; 38[9]: 1650–8.
- [39] Carvalho P, Oliveira B, Barros R, Padrão P, Moreira P, Teixeira VH. Impact of fluid restriction and ad libitum water intake or an 8% carbohydrate-electrolyte beverage on skill performance of elite adolescent basketball players. Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab. 2011; 21[3]: 214–21.
- [40] Brandenburg JP, Gaetz M. Fluid balance of elite female basketball players before and during game play. Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab. 2012; 22[5]: 347–52.

- [41] Louis J, Dinu D, Leguy E, Jacquet M, Slawinski J, Tiollier E. Effect of dehydration on performance and technique of three-point shooting in elite basketball. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 2018; 58[11]: 1710–1.
- [42] Mohr M, Krustrup P. Heat stress impairs repeated jump ability after competitive elite soccer games. 2013; 2[3]: 1–18.
- [43] Ali A, Williams C. Isokinetic and isometric muscle function of the knee extensors and flexors during simulated soccer activity: Effect of exercise and dehydration. J Sports Sci. 2013; 31[8]: 907–16.
- [44] Mohr M, Mujika I, Santisteban J, Randers MB, Bischoff R, Solano R, et al. Examination of fatigue development in elite soccer in a hot environment: A multi-experimental approach. Scand J Med Sci Sport. 2010; 20 [SUPPL. 3]: 125–32.
- [45] Ali A, Williams C, Nicholas CW, Foskett A. The influence of carbohydrate-electrolyte ingestion on soccer skill performance. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2007; 39[11]: 1969–76.
- [46] St. Clair Gibson A, Noakes TD. Evidence for complex system integration and dynamic neural regulation of skeletal muscle recruitment during exercise in humans. Br J Sports Med. 2004; 38[6]: 797–806.
- [47] McCartney D, Desbrow B, Irwin C. The Effect of Fluid Intake Following Dehydration on Subsequent Athletic and Cognitive Performance: a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Sport Med - Open. 2017; 3[1].
- [48] Cheuvront SN, Kenefick RW. Dehydration: Physiology, assessment, and performance effects. Compr Physiol. 2014; 4[1]: 257–85.

- [50] Drust B, Atkinson G, Reilly T. Future perspectives in the evaluation of the physiological demands of soccer. Sport Med. 2007; 37[9]: 783–805.
- [51] Edwards AM, Noakes TD. Dehydration: Cause of fatigue or sign of pacing in elite soccer? Sport Med. 2009; 39[1]: 1–13.
- [52] Cheuvront SN, Carter R, Sawka MN. Fluid Balance and Endurance Exercise Performance. Curr Sports Med Rep. 2003; 2[4]: 202–8.
- [53] James LJ, Funnell MP, James RM, Mears SA. Does Hypohydration Really Impair Endurance Performance? Methodological Considerations for Interpreting Hydration Research. Sport Med [Internet]. 2019; 49[s2]: 103–14. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-019-01188-5.
- [54] Baker LB, Jeukendrup AE. Optimal composition of fluid-replacement beverages. Compr Physiol. 2014; 4[2]: 575–620.
- [55] Krustrup P, Mohr M, Nybo L, Jensen JM, Nielsen JJ, Bangsbo J. The Yo-Yo IR2 test: Physiological response, reliability, and application to elite soccer. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2006; 38[9]: 1666–73.
- [56] Krustrup P, Mohr M, Amstrup T, Rysgaard T, Johansen J, Steensberg A, et al. The Yo-Yo intermittent recovery test: Physiological response, reliability, and validity. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2003; 35[4]: 697–705.
- [57] Judelson DA, Maresh CM, Anderson JM, Armstrong LE, Casa DJ, Kraemer WJ, et al. Hydration and Muscular Performance. Sport Med. 2007; 37[10]: 907–21.
- [58] Lieberman HR. Methods for assessing the effects of dehydration on cognitive function. Nutr Rev. 2012; 70 [SUPPL/2]: 143–6.
- [59] Currell K, Jeukendrup A. Validity, Reliability and Sensitivity of Measures of Sporting Performance. Sport Med TA - TT -. 2008; 38[4]: 297–316.

Copyright © 2020 The Author(s). Published by Scientific & Academic Publishing This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY). http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/