

# Building Construction Workers' Health and Safety Knowledge and Compliance on Site

Peter Uchenna Okoye\*, John Ugochukwu Ezeokonkwo, Fidelis Okechukwu Ezeokoli

Department of Building, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria

**Abstract** The study examined the health and safety knowledge and compliance of building construction workers on site in Anambra State, Nigeria. Questionnaires containing information relating to health and safety at site were administered randomly to the construction workers selected from fifteen (15) selected building sites across the state. Mean Score Index and Pearson's Product-moment Correlation Coefficient ( $r$ ) were statistical tools used for analysis of results. The result revealed that there was moderate level of health and safety knowledge, and low level of health and safety compliance among building construction workers in the state. It also found that the effect of the health and safety knowledge and compliance on project performance was low. The result established a very weak positive correlation ( $r=0.19$ ) between health and safety knowledge and compliance. It further established a strong positive correlation between health and safety knowledge and project performance ( $r=0.71$ ); and between health and safety compliance and project performance ( $r=0.76$ ). However, when the significance of the correlation was tested, the t-values obtained were (0.335), (1.746) and (2.025) respectively. From the result, all the t-values were less than the t-critical (3.182) at 5% significance level. The result implied that though there were relationships between all the variables considered, the relationships were not significant. Practically, this meant that health and safety knowledge and compliance alone cannot substantially improve the project performance, but was limited to the values of their coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) 50.41% and 57.76% respectively. Thus, since knowledge and compliance alone cannot achieve optimum project performance improvement, some other factors such as management commitment, workers involvement and strict enforcement of safety regulation should be applied to complement. In this case, establishment of the Anambra State Safety Commission whose function would include inter alia; policy formulation, setting of safety standard for all sectors in the state is of paramount important.

**Keywords** Compliance, Construction Workers, Health and Safety, Knowledge, Project Performance

## 1. Introduction

The Nigeria construction industry has continued to occupy an important position in the nation's economy. In 2012, construction sector contributed about ₦121, 900.86 million Naira to the Gross Fixed Capital Formation, and employed 6,913,536 personnel [1], excluding the casual workers. In 2014, its share of the total GDP was 3.82% [2]. The range of professions in the industry is also huge. It includes not only the workers and managers on the site, but also the architects, designers, engineers and other specialist professions.

Although, Nigeria is enjoying relatively strong growth in construction activities, efforts towards ensuring improved safety performance have yielded minimal results. The enforcement of safety regulations is not widespread within the industry. More construction workers are killed, injured or suffers ill health than in any other industry [3]. It is

however, disheartening that despite several efforts towards improving the health and safety status of Nigeria construction industry, continuous increases in the number of accidents both reported and unreported on construction sites still go unabated.

Furthermore, Nigeria has a very high accident record attributable to lack of effective monitoring, reporting and control practices. Added to this problem is the incessant collapse of building in the country. Although there has been a dramatic improvement in recent decades, the construction industry safety record has continued to be one of the poorest [4]. Neale [5] believes that improving occupational safety and health (OSH) in the construction industry is a slow but achievable process. Thus, occupational health and safety in construction work should start at the designing table and continue throughout the construction phases until the safety and health of end users is ensured due to the complexity of the industry and the hazards it contains [6].

As a state on transition, Anambra State is one of the few states in Nigeria that is witnessing tremendous infrastructural development especially with respect to building projects. Almost all these projects are being

\* Corresponding author:

pu.okoye@unizik.edu.ng (Peter Uchenna Okoye)

Published online at <http://journal.sapub.org/safety>

Copyright © 2016 Scientific & Academic Publishing. All Rights Reserved

handled by the local contractors and construction workers. In recent years however, there has been increased cases of construction sites accidents in the state. Majority of these accidents are unreported. Thus, the issue of whether these workers have adequate knowledge on health and safety issues and whether they comply with health and safety rules and guidelines on site come to fore.

Like in every other business environment, construction business should be guided by certain regulations to ensure health and safety of its workers. According to [7] safety and health have become an integral component in the workplace as employers, labour unions and others engage in trainings and procedures to ensure compliance with safety standards and also to keep a healthy workforce. Famakin and Fawehinmi [8] assert that the increasing rate of construction accidents has increased the level of awareness of construction health and safety, thereby involving its inclusion as part of project performance criteria.

Ayininuola and Olalusi [9] aver that non-existent and/or lack of enforcement of construction health and safety regulations, and bylaws are among the major causes of building failures. They opine that health and safety in construction is a highly practical guide to help any professional understand the implications of health and safety legislation for their role in a project. However, the fact that health and safety performance of the Nigeria construction industry is culturally linked makes the situation more challenging.

Nigerian cultures are known to be unique. Like any other African countries, Nigeria culture has been generally characterised as collectivist, high power distance, average uncertainty avoidance, masculinity, having short-term orientation and indulgence [10-16]. This means that Nigeria needs laws and regulations which cannot ordinarily be observed but must be made known and enforced or persuaded to be complied.

According to [17] the physical work environment is not of much value in Nigeria. This is because of the prevalent unemployment, the value attached to life, widespread corruption, the disdain of the ruling class and the labour aristocrats to the plight of the workforce which led to a very weak, outdated and lax health and safety laws and regulations; compounded by bad planning laws and low monetary compensation paid for infringement of even the lax laws [17].

The issue remains that if there is adequate health and safety knowledge and compliance with health and safety rules among construction workers will this translate to project performance? It is against this premise that this study tends to examine the health and safety knowledge and compliance of building construction workers on site in Anambra State, Nigeria with a view to determining the:

1. Relationship between the health and safety knowledge and compliance of the workers.
2. Relationship between health and safety knowledge of the workers and project performance.
3. Relationship between health and safety compliance of

the workers and project performance.

Meanwhile, this paper is organised into five sections for clarity. The introduction presented the background of the study which culminated into the aim and objectives of the study. The Literature review presented the results of existing studies while taking a particular reference to the construction health and safety management system, safety performance, safety regulations, safety knowledge and compliance and identifying the gaps therein. The methodology adopted in carrying out the study is presented in the methodology section while the results of the study are presented and discussed in the next section. Finally, conclusion section contains the general outcome and the success of the study, including the recommendations.

## 2. Literature Review

### 2.1. Construction Health and Safety Management System

Bhutto, Griffith and Stephenson [18] argue that in the modern business environment, occupational health and safety (OHS) is a very sensitive management responsibility that influences the very survival of organisations in some extreme cases. That is to say that construction projects do not operate independently of the society in which they are located [5]. Thus, the emergence of new regulations, laws, standards and codes has also made many construction organisations to improve their safety performance. Agwu [19] insists that construction industry must not approach construction safety as just another step in avoiding unwanted accidents/costs but as a strategic tool for maximising competitiveness and profitability. In this regard, total safety management was proposed by [19] as a performance-oriented approach to construction safety that gives an organisation a sustainable competitive advantage in the global marketplace by establishing a safe work environment that is consistent with peak performance and continuous improvement through the integration of all aspects of construction safety (intention, behaviour, culture and process).

In Nigeria however, [20] report that the perspectives of most industries and organisations show that the stage of occupational health and safety is still at infancy in the country due to employer/employee attitudinal behaviour, lack of safety culture and non-implementation of OHS policies. In addition, only big multinationals recognise occupational health and safety and run the policies as constituted in their parent countries of origin [20].

Meanwhile a typically effective safety management system should encapsulate the actions managers at all levels take in order to create an organisational setting in which workers will be trained and motivated to perform safe and productive construction jobs [21]. For [22], effective safety management is both functional (involving management control, monitoring, executive and communication subsystems) and human (involving leadership, political and

safety culture sub-systems paramount to safety culture). Al-Kilani [23] suggests that safety management must be thorough, and it must be applicable to all aspects of the job, from the estimating phase of the project until the last worker has left the premise at the completion of the project. In this regard, the [24] advocates that organisations shift from traditional safety management approach, which is reactive to a modern approach that is more proactive.

## 2.2. Construction Health and Safety Performance

Workplace Health and Safety is a global challenge to the sustainable development and civilisation. The health and safety performance of the construction industry remains a staring challenge in its effort to tackle the developmental initiative of many nations including Nigeria. Udo, Usip and Asuquo [25] reveal that the neglect of safety on sites may have considerable impact of worker productivity and performance and capable of undermining the reputation of construction companies thereby increasing expenses.

In Libya for instance, [23] shows that there was still a lack of commitment from the government, the insurance company, the labour ministry, the owners, consultants, and the contractors to improving safety performance on the construction sites. According to [26], the very high prevalence of informal work, outside the mechanisms of labour legislation, further complicates efforts to improve OSH in Southern Asia. Walker and Pratap [26] maintain that regulations are almost always directed at the employee-employer relationship, enforced by a state, which not only excludes informal workers from their coverage but has created an incentive to do so.

Although calls have been made to the stakeholders in the industry to improve their health and safety performance [27], the number of fatalities and injuries arising from construction activities across the country as at today is highly worrisome. Hinze [28] states that improvement of safety performance can only be effective if construction firms is structured and positioned to make changes when it is deemed appropriate. Hinze [28] suggests a shift in thinking where the focus is on those actions that can lead to good safety performance. For [29], a better approach is to focus on proactive efforts dealing with the factors responsible for such accidents and injuries and how to control them.

## 2.3. Construction Health and Safety Regulations

Chudley and Greeno [30] define construction regulations as statutory instruments setting out the minimum legal requirements for construction works and relate primarily to the health, safety and welfare of the workforce which must be taken into account when planning construction operations and during the actual construction period. Regulation cannot on its own be effective without enforcement. Anderson [31] and Idubor and Osiamoje [32] opine that regulations without proper enforcement are tantamount to no laws.

World over, health and safety regulations governing the construction industry and other work related industries exist. In Nigeria also, a number of legislations on occupational

health and safety exist. These include; Labour Act of 1974 modified to Labour Acts 1990, and updated to Labour Act, Cap L1, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria (LFN), 2004; the Factories Act of 1987 which became effective in 1990 and later updated to Factories Act, Cap. F1, LFN, 2004 [33], [34]; the Workman's Compensation Act of 1987 which became effective in 1990, modified to Workman's Compensation Act, Cap W6, LFN, 2004 and repeal to Employee's Compensation Act, No. 13, 2010 of the laws of the Federation of Nigeria [35], the Insurance Act, 2003 [36] and the Labour, Safety, Health and Welfare Bill of 2012 including the National Building Code Enforcement Bill which has suffered huge political setback over the years, and is yet to be passed into law by the National Assembly.

The Federal Ministry of Labour and employment is saddled with the responsibility of enforcing the Factories Act and Employee's Compensation Act, while the Labour, Safety, Health and Welfare Bill of 2012 empowers the National Council for Occupational Safety and Health of Nigeria to administer the proceeding regulations on its behalf. In the developed countries such as UK, USA, Australia, Singapore and Germany, these regulations are well developed and functional. However, despite being among the countries that signed the occupational health and safety law in the Geneva Convention of 1981, the pathetic health and safety situation in Nigeria construction industry still pervades.

In spite of numerous statutory provisions and expectations in Nigeria, gap still exist in health and safety management [37]. This gap is largely due to a dysfunctional health and safety law, causing an apparent lack of regulation of health and safety in almost every sector of the economy. Adeogun and Okafor [20] contend that these acts are not being enforced in Nigeria as evidenced from the reports of unhealthy exposure to risks of workers and employees in various organisations.

According to [38] the Ministry charged with enforcement of these laws has not been effective in identifying violators probably due to inadequate funding, lack of basic resources and training therefore, consequently neglect safety oversight of other enterprises, particularly construction sites and non factory works. Umeokafor, Isaac, Jones and Umeadi [39] agree that the impact of the enforcement authority is ineffective, as the key stakeholders pay less attention to OSH regulations; thus, rendering the OSH scheme dysfunctional and unenforceable, at the same time impeding OSH development.

To this end, [37] attributed the failed OSH management system to the non-functional OSH regulations and provisions. Idoro, [40] linked the problem to adopting almost all existing regulations of reference on health and safety in Nigeria from foreign countries, especially from the British legal system with little or no changes made [41].

Kolo [41] further observes that some provisions from these laws do not necessarily meet the conditions experienced in Nigeria. In addition, the labour law does not provide workers with right to remove themselves from

dangerous work situations without loss of employment. Nevertheless, the emergence of new regulations, laws, standards and codes has made many construction organisations to improve their safety performance.

#### 2.4. Construction Health and Safety Knowledge

Knowledge is more than information, since it involves an awareness or understanding gained through experience, familiarity or learning [42]. Article 23 of the Factories Act F1 LFN 2004 [34] specifies training of workers. However, the relationship between knowledge and information is interactive [42]. But according to [43], one of the major needs with regard to the construction industry is to enhance professionals' interests in active safety management and implementation of awareness programs, which must be developed and implemented among construction workers. Akinwale and Olusanya [43] argue that awareness on possible risk factors and knowledge on how to reduce these risk factors among workers and contractors will enhance site safety.

Safety knowledge therefore, encompasses awareness of occupational health and safety risks, including an evaluation of occupational health and safety programmes in an organisation [44]. Sources of safety knowledge according to [44] include incident investigation, teamwork, collaborations, and survey of safety culture. Problem solving entails specific decisions on occupational health and safety risks in an organisation. This implies decision-making for the maintenance of occupational health and safety. Knowledge creation is dependent upon information, yet the development of relevant information requires the application of knowledge [45].

The role of trainings in promoting health and safety has also been highlighted by [32], [46]. Kumar and Bansal [47] argue that effective safety knowledge among construction professionals can reduce accidents that directly or indirectly reduce project cost, because in developing countries, safety rules usually do not exist, and if exist; regulatory authorities are unable to implement such rules effectively. The above view is supported by [48]. However, [49] suggest that employees, including project personnel, should be equipped with safety skills and with necessary safety knowledge to enable them to work safely and to encourage others to do the same. As such, construction organisations should advance a climate which values safety learning.

On this basis, [29] infer that safety learning should not only be considered as an acquisition of knowledge through instructions and training in classrooms or other formal settings rather safety should be considered as the final outcome of a dynamic and collective construction process. In this case, a safe workplace is the result of constant engineering of diverse elements, such as knowledge and skills, equipment, and social interactions, which are integral to the work practices of various project stakeholders [50].

#### 2.5. Construction Health and Safety Compliance

Hawkins [51] describes compliance as applying measures designed to comply with legal requirements with the regulator being primarily more concerned with improved outcomes than prosecution results. According to [32], lack of strict enforcement of OSH regulations enables non-compliance to OSH regulations; while [39] state that non-compliance to OSH regulations is a major contributor to the poor state of OSH in Nigeria. Hence compliance with Occupational Health and Safety legislations can increase productivity in industries by reducing accidents, because accidents result in decreasing productivity and damage to equipment or property [51].

On the other hand, OHS measures are said not to be effective in improving safety and health conditions in workplace [52]. Kamau [52] claims that OHS regulations are just symbolic gestures and useless. Thus the prevalence of health and safety abuses on construction site among construction stakeholders calls for an intensive investigation into the level of health and safety knowledge and compliance of construction workers. This is because enforcement and compliance with OHS regulations are not the standalone steps for improving OHS, as improving organisational culture can also improve OHS [39]. This therefore, implies that regulation without strict compliance and management commitments amounts to waste of time and resources.

### 3. Methodology

This study was a survey research which made use of questionnaires containing a well structured preformatted set of information bordering on workers health and safety knowledge, compliance and project performance.

Apart from the demographic information about the respondents, questionnaire contains thirteen (13) statements on health and safety knowledge, twelve (12) statements on compliance with health and safety rules, and eight (8) statements on the effects of health and safety knowledge and compliance on project performance. In each of the statements, respondents were required to express their opinion on a five point Likert-type scale, where 1 = very low, and 5 = very high.

Almost all construction works going on in the state are being handled by the local contractors and construction workers. Though there were more than one hundred construction projects going on in the state at the time of this study, only fifteen (15) construction sites were selected based on the nature of the project, the scope of the project, the organisation of construction site, variety of construction workers involved, the stakeholders involved in the project and the location of the project. Vast majority of construction projects in the state were privately owned residential building projects with the owner being the contractor and

involving few construction workers usually coming to work when their services were demanded. Secondly, majority of these projects were not organised and do not have regular construction activities going on in them, besides the selection needed to have a geographical balance. To ensure geographical spread, five sites were selected from each zone of the state. The questionnaires were administered to 190 construction workers (artisans) of various trades who were randomly selected. Out of this total number, 148 questionnaires were retrieved and used for analysis. This represents a response rate of 77.89%.

To ensure reliability, the margin of error was computed at 95% confidence interval (C.I) within which the result would be acceptable. Margin of error (ME) is given as:

$$ME = \text{critical value} \times \text{standard error} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Standard error} = \text{standard deviation} / \sqrt{n} \quad (2)$$

Where, n = the sample

The Alpha level ( $\alpha$ ):  $\alpha = 1 - C.I/100 = 0.05$

The critical probability ( $p^*$ ):  $p^* = 1 - \alpha/2 = 1 - 0.05/2 = 0.975$

The degrees of freedom (df):  $df = n - 1 = 190 - 1 = 189$

Since we don't know the population standard deviation, the critical value will be expressed as a t-statistic. For this problem, it will be the t statistic having 189 degrees of freedom and a cumulative probability equal to 0.975. Using the t-Distribution, the critical value is found to be 1.96.

In this case, results are reliable to within +/- 7.1% at the 95% confidence level. According to [53] an acceptable margin of error used by survey researchers falls between 4% and 8% at the 95% confidence level.

Meanwhile, the data generated from questionnaire survey were subjected to descriptive and quantitative analysis using tables and Mean score Index was calculated.

Means score index is mathematically represented as:

$$MSI = \frac{\sum fx_i}{N} \quad (3)$$

Where,

MSI = mean score index of each variable;

f = frequency of responses to each rating;

X = score or rating given to each variable by the respondents; and

N = total number of responses concerning the variable.

Pearson's Product-moment Correlation Coefficient(r) was used to establish the relationship between the variables under consideration because these variables have quantities values and can be expressed, converted and assessed in ratio form. For this reason, Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient (r) provides a suitable index for assessing the relationship between the two factors under consideration. It will be used for all the three hypotheses.

Pearson's Product-moment Correlation Coefficient (r) can be calculated using

$$r = \frac{n \sum xy - \sum x \sum y}{\sqrt{[n \sum x^2 - (\sum x)^2][n \sum y^2 - (\sum y)^2]}} \quad (4)$$

Where,

r = correlation coefficient

n = number of pairs of variable being considered

x = independent variable (culture dimensions)

y = dependent variable (safety climate)

However the value of r ranges from -1 for perfect negative correlation to + 1 for perfect positive correlation. Subsequently, it is very important to ascertain whether the calculated correlation coefficient is statistically significant or not. This is done by using correlation significant test, with the test statistic (t - test). This is calculated using:

$$t = r \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{1-r^2}} \quad (5)$$

Where r = correlation coefficient, and

n = number of pairs of data

The test is a two-tailed, non-directional test. However, in correlation significance test, the sign of the correlation coefficient is always assumed to be positive. The degree of freedom (df) (n - 2) is used at 5% significant level. The mean values of both variables are used to get their correlation. When Pearson's Product-moment Correlation Coefficients (r) between the two variables were computed and their correlation coefficient test obtained at (n - 2) degree of freedom and 5% ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) significant level, the results obtained are presented in section 4.

**Decision:** Reject  $H_0$  if  $t_{\text{calculated}} > t_{\text{critical}}$  at df (n - 2) and at 5% (0.05) significance level otherwise accept  $H_0$  and conclude.

## 4. Results and Discussions

**Table 1.** Level of Health and Safety Knowledge of Construction Workers

S/N	VARIABLES	MEAN SCORE
1.	Proper Housekeeping	3.56
2.	First aid and welfare facilities	3.42
3.	Proper use of scaffoldings	4.22
4.	Proper use of ladder	4.06
5.	The use of personal protective equipments (ppp)	4.14
6.	Construction health and safety plan	2.53
7.	Safety monitoring policy and safety records.	2.82
8.	Construction Safety laws and regulations	2.55
9.	Safety training and education	2.65
10.	Safety equipment acquisition and maintenance	2.40
11.	Positive safety attitudes and behaviours	3.47
12.	Workers safety responsibilities	2.89
13.	Safety communication	2.38
<b>AVERAGE MEAN SCORE</b>		<b>3.15</b>

Table 1 above showed the level of health and safety knowledge of construction workers. This assessment was done on the common health and safety issues on construction site. The average mean score (3.15) indicated that there was a moderate level of health and safety knowledge among the workers in Anambra State. However, the respondents showed that they knew very much on safety issues such as the use of ladder (4.06), the use of scaffoldings (4.22), use of ppp (4.14) and housekeeping (3.56).

It is however, disheartening that important health and safety issues such as health and safety plan (2.53), safety equipment acquisition and maintenance (2.40) and safety communication (2.38) were not well known by the respondents as indicated by the mean scores. However, the increasing level of building collapse in the state together with the government renewed effort in ensuring its minimisation through institution of various monitoring and compliance teams have raised the awareness level of safety issues in construction site.

**Table 2.** Level of Health and Safety Compliance of Construction Workers

S/N	VARIABLES	Mean Score
1.	Availability of health and safety plan before commencement of construction project.	1.79
2.	Scaffoldings properly and adequately fixed and inspected before mounting them.	3.80
3.	Ladders fixed and adequately secured in positions before ascending them	2.96
4.	Compulsory use of personal protective equipments (ppp) on site.	2.51
5.	Working environment always cleared and kept free from all objects that can cause harm or injury to the workers.	2.41
6.	Strict monitoring of safety policy and proper keeping of safety records.	1.70
7.	Observation of standing Safety rules and regulations on site.	2.72
8.	Safety brief before commencement of any day work on site.	2.32
9.	Construction equipments handled with utmost care.	2.44
10.	Possession of basic Safety training and education.	2.01
11.	Prompt and adequate communication of safety issues to all concerned	1.49
12.	Availability of first aid and welfare facilities on site.	2.27
<b>AVERAGE MEAN SCORE</b>		<b>2.37</b>

From Table 2 above, it was evident that the average means score value (2.37) for the level of health and safety compliance by construction workers was low. This was a clear indication of health and safety non compliance. The result of table 2 further indicated that simple safety rules on construction sites were not strictly adhered to. It further revealed how precarious the legislative and regulatory frameworks in the state were. It also showed how lax government agencies were in pursuit of safety improvement in the construction sector. This meant that knowledge cannot

automatically translate to compliance without enforcement.

Though the level of knowledge was moderate, it has exposed the vulnerability of the existing regulatory framework. If this could happen at the big construction sites, one wonders what would be obtainable at smaller sites. The fact that almost all the construction activities going on in the state are being handled by the local contractors desired a lot to be concerned in terms of safety.

**Table 3.** Impact of Health and Safety Knowledge and Compliance on Project Performance

S/N	STATEMENTS	Mean Score
1.	Reduce construction site accidents.	4.26
2.	Reduce project cost.	2.43
3.	Reduce construction project delay.	2.30
4.	Reduce claims and litigations.	2.58
5.	Improve project quality.	1.66
6.	Improve environmental quality.	2.64
7.	Increase productivity and efficiency.	2.10
8.	Improve the industry's reputation.	2.21
<b>AVERAGE MEAN SCORE</b>		<b>2.52</b>

From table 3, the average means score value (2.52) showed that the impact of health and safety knowledge and compliance on project performance was low. However, as it was indicated in the table 3 above, it were agreed that health and safety knowledge and health and safety compliance could lead to reduction in construction site accidents (4.26).

The above scenario suggested that having knowledge on health and safety issues alone without corresponding health and safety compliance with safety rules cannot lead to improved project performance. Rather compliance with lay down rules, strict enforcement through monitoring, persuasion and sanctions on defaulters in addition to management commitment would ensure better performance. In overall there should be commitments enshrined in safety policies and programmes of various construction organisation if compliance and performance would be achieved. This has brought to focus the interrelationships of safety climate dimensions which include belief and perception, management commitments, workers involvement and education and training.

It is not enough to determine the level of health and safety knowledge and compliance among the construction workers in the state and/or their impact on project performance, rather it is important to establish a relationship between the level of health and safety knowledge and compliance; health and safety knowledge and project performance; and the level of health and safety compliance and project performance. This would help to ascertain the degree of their association and how significance their relationship and/or influence were. In view of this, three hypotheses were postulated thus:

1. There is no significant relationship between the construction workers' health and safety knowledge and compliance.
2. There is no significant relationship between

construction workers' health and safety knowledge and project performance.

- There is no significant relationship between construction workers' health and safety compliance and project performance.

The results of the correlation analysis were presented in the tables (4-6) below.

Table 4 above showed a very weak positive correlation ( $r = 0.19$ ) between the level of health and safety knowledge and compliance with health and safety issues. Though there was certain degree of health and safety knowledge among the construction workers, the relationship with complying with health and safety issues on site was very weak. This could be seen in the value of the coefficient of determination ( $R^2 = 0.0369$ ) which indicated that only a negligible 3.69% of variability could be achieved in the relationship.

When the significance of the relationship was tested, the result showed that  $t_{\text{calculated}} (0.335)$  was less than  $t_{\text{critical}} (3.182)$  at 5% significance level ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) and  $(n-2)$  degree of freedom. Hence, since  $t_{\text{calculated}} (0.335)$  was less than  $t_{\text{critical}} (3.182)$ ,  $H_0$  was not rejected. This was substantiated by the score of the p-value ( $0.760$ ) which was greater than  $0.05$ . This signified the insignificance of the relationship. It therefore implied that there was no significant relationship between construction workers' health and safety knowledge and compliance.

This underscored the fact that health and safety knowledge alone cannot ensure compliance rather; there are other factors which could aid health and safety compliance among construction workers. This might include but not limited to the following; strict enforceable regulatory framework, management commitment, workers involvement, etc.

The result of analysis in table 5 showed a strong positive correlation ( $r = 0.71$ ) between the level of health and safety knowledge and project performance. This implied that better understanding and knowledge about the safety issues in construction could trigger some behavioural changes which in turn could lead to better safety performance on site. . This

was attested by the value of the coefficient of determination ( $R^2 = 0.5041$ ) which indicated that about 50.41% of variability could be achieved in the relationship.

However, when tested for the significance of the relationship, the result showed that  $t_{\text{calculated}} (1.746)$  was less than  $t_{\text{critical}} (3.182)$ , at 5% significance level ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) and  $(n-2)$  degree of freedom. Hence, since  $t_{\text{calculated}} (1.746)$  was less than  $t_{\text{critical}} (3.182)$ ,  $H_0$  was not rejected and concluded that there was no significant relationship between the construction workers level of health and safety knowledge and project performance. This was substantiated by the score of the p-value ( $0.179$ ) which was greater than  $0.05$  and rendered the relationship insignificant.

This result suggested that health and safety knowledge alone could not ensure project performance without involving other factors such as enforceable regulatory framework, management commitment, workers involvement, etc. Most importantly, when there is apparent non compliance with health and safety regulations, construction projects cannot entirely be successful.

The result of table 6 above showed that the relationship between health and safety compliance and project performance has a strong positive correlation ( $r = 0.76$ ). This implied that compliance with health and safety rules on construction site could improve project performance at least to some extent. This was attested by the value of the coefficient of determination ( $R^2 = 0.5776$ ) which indicated that about 57.76% of improvement could be achieved in the relationship.

However, when tested for significance the correlation at 5% significance level ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ), the result showed that  $t_{\text{calculated}} (2.025)$  was less than  $t_{\text{critical}} (3.182)$ . Hence, since  $t_{\text{calculated}} (2.025) < t_{\text{critical}} (3.182)$ ,  $H_0$  was not rejected therefore, there was no significant relationship between the health and safety compliance and project performance. This was substantiated by the score of the p-value ( $0.136$ ) which was greater than  $0.05$  and therefore, rendered the relationship insignificant.

**Table 4.** Correlation between Health and Safety Knowledge and Compliance

Correlation Coefficient (r)	Nature of Association	T - test value	$T_{\text{critical}} (3,0.05)$	$R^2$	P - value	Decision
0.19	Very weak positive correlation	0.335	3.182	0.0369	0.760	Accept $H_0$

**Table 5.** Correlation between Health and Safety Knowledge and Project Performance

Correlation Coefficient (r)	Nature of Association	T - test value	$T_{\text{critical}} (3,0.05)$	$R^2$	P - value	Decision
0.71	Strong positive correlation	1.746	3.182	0.5041	0.179	Accept $H_0$

**Table 6.** Correlation between Health and Safety Compliance and Project Performance

Correlation Coefficient (r)	Nature of Association	T - test value	$T_{\text{critical}} (3,0.05)$	$R^2$	P - value	Decision
0.76	Strong positive correlation	2.025	3.182	0.5776	0.136	Accept $H_0$

This implied that though there was strong positive correlation which might suggest that health and safety compliance could lead to project performance, this relationship was not significant to cause substantial improvement in project performance because health and safety compliance alone could not do that. Thus, other factors such as enforceable regulatory framework, management commitment, workers involvement, etc were required for substantial improvement in project performance.

## 5. Conclusions

Continuous health and safety challenges resulting to different types and magnitudes of losses including loss of lives on Nigeria construction sites and Anambra State in particular has continued to attract great concerns. Sometimes it is said that knowledge is power, but misapplication of knowledge is disastrous. In view of this, this study has examined the level of construction workers' health and safety knowledge and compliance and how they can translate to project performance on construction sites in Anambra State, Nigeria.

This study has found that the level of health and safety knowledge among the construction workers in the state was moderate. It also found that the level of health and safety compliance among the workers was low. The result further revealed that effect of health and safety knowledge and compliance of construction on the project performance was low. It went further to establish a very weak positive correlation between the health and safety knowledge and compliance of construction workers. This relationship was found not to be significant. In the like manner, the result established that there was strong positive correlation though not significant between health and safety knowledge and project performance; and between health and safety compliance and project performance.

The study concluded that though there was positive relationship which suggest that health and safety knowledge and compliance to health and safety rules were related, this would not be translated that health and safety knowledge would automatically ensure compliance. This study further averred that health and safety knowledge and compliance alone cannot substantially improve project performance even though both show strong positive correlation with project performance. This implies that knowledge and compliance alone are not enough to cause behavioural changes required for safety performance but a certain aspects of safety culture are required. These other essential safety factors include: enforceable regulatory framework, management commitment, workers involvement, etc, which must also be considered for an improved project performance.

Of utmost importance is the setting within which the study was conducted. Since almost all the construction works going on in Anambra State are being handled by the local contractors and construction workers, this study has highlighted the need for effective and enforceable health and

safety regulations in the State. Based on the result of this study, this would serve as a wakeup call to agencies responsible for ensuring strict implementation of safety rules on construction sites, if any in the State.

However, the provisions of National Building Code as regards to health and safety on construction site is very obvious, adherence to that provisions will definitely maximise safety performance of our construction sites. To improve the health and safety performance of construction industry in the state, the Anambra State government should establish the Anambra State Safety Commission whose function would include among others; policy formulation, setting of safety standard for all sectors in the state, issuance and withdrawal of safety compliance certificates at all levels, conduct of safety training, seminar and workshops, public enlightenment/ awareness creation, etc.

---

## REFERENCES

- [1] National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), "Nigerian construction sector. Summary report: 2010-2012," 2015a. [www.nigeranstat.gov.ng](http://www.nigeranstat.gov.ng).
- [2] National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), "Nigeria in 2014: Economic review and 2015-2017 outlook," 2015b. [www.nigeranstat.gov.ng](http://www.nigeranstat.gov.ng).
- [3] European Agency for Safety and Health at Work, "Corporate social responsibility and safety and health at work," Research, 2004.
- [4] R.U. Farooqui, F. Arif and S.F.A. Rafeeqi, "Safety performance in construction industry of Pakistan," First International Conference on Construction in Developing Countries (ICCDC-1, Advancing and Integrating Construction Education, Research & Practice, August, 4-5, Karachi, Pakistan, pp.74-87, August, 2008.
- [5] R. Neale, "Ten factors to improve occupational safety and health in construction projects," African Newsletter on Occupational Health and Safety, 23(3), pp.52-54. 2013. <http://www.ttl.fi/africannewsletter>.
- [6] A. Kayumba, "Editorial: Construction work and occupational safety and health," African Newsletter on Occupational Health and Safety, 23(3), p.51, 2013. <http://www.ttl.fi/africannewsletter>.
- [7] J.O. Adebola, "Knowledge, attitude and compliance with occupational health and safety practices among pipeline products and marketing company (PPMC) staff in Lagos," Merit Research Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences, 2(8) pp.158-173, (2014). <http://www.meritresearchjournals.org/mms/index.htm>.
- [8] I.O. Famakin and O.S. Fawehinmi, "Quantity surveyors' perception of construction health and safety regulation in Nigeria," Journal of Building Performance, 3(1), pp. 1-9, 2012. <http://spaj.ukm.my/jsb/index.php/jbp/index.html>.
- [9] G.M. Ayininola and O.O. Olalusi, "Assessment of building failure in Nigeria: Lagos and Ibadan case study," African Journal of Science and Technology (AJST), Science and

- Engineering Series, 5(1), pp.73-78, 2004.
- [10] G. Hofstede, "Cultures and organisations: software of the mind," London, McGraw Hill, 1991.
- [11] G. Hofstede, "Cultures consequences: comparing values, behaviours, institutions, and organisations, across nations," 2nd ed., London, Sage Publications, 2001.
- [12] G. Hofstede, "Dimensionalising cultures: The Hofstede model in context," *Online Readings in Psychology and Culture*, 2(1), 2011. <http://dx.doi.org/10.9707/2307-0919.1014>.
- [13] M.A.O. Aluko, "The impact of culture on organisational performance in selected textile firms in Nigeria," *Nordic Journal of African Studies*, 12 (2), pp.164-179, 2003.
- [14] G. Hofstede, G.J. Hofstede and M. Minkov, "Cultures and organisations: software of the mind," Rev. 3rd ed., New York, McGraw-Hill, 2010. [www.geerthofstede.nl](http://www.geerthofstede.nl).
- [15] C. Ogbonna, "Cultural issues about doing business in Nigeria: case study for Thurmo OY," Unpublished Thesis for Degree Programme in Business Management, Central Ostrobothnia University of Applied Sciences, 2010.
- [16] O.M. Uadiale, T.O. Fegbemi and J.O. Oguleye, "An empirical study of the relationship between culture and personal income tax evasion in Nigeria," *European Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Sciences*, 20, pp.116-126, 2010. <http://www.eurojournals.com>.
- [17] O. Animashaun and K.O. Odeku, "Industrial accident and safety hazards at the workplace: A spatio-physical workplace approach," *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences MCSER Publishing, Rome-Italy*, 5(20), pp.2949-2953, September, 2014, doi:10.5901/mjss.2014.v5n20p2949.
- [18] K. Bhutto, A. Griffith and P. Stephenson, "Evaluation of quality, health and safety and environmental management systems and their implementation in contracting organisations," *Proceedings of the International Construction Research Conference of the Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors (COBRA)*, Leeds Metropolitan University Leeds, 2004.
- [19] M.O. Agwu, "Total safety management: a strategy for improving organisational performance in selected construction companies in Nigeria," *International Journal of Business and Social Science* 3(20), Special Issue, pp.210-217, October, 2012.
- [20] B.K. Adeogun and C.C. Okafor, "Occupational health, safety and environment (HSE) trend in Nigeria," *Journal of Environmental Science, Management and Engineering Research*. 2(1), pp.24-29, Jan-Feb. 2013. <http://www.ijesme r.com>.
- [21] S.O. Olutuase, "A study of safety management in the Nigerian construction industry," *IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM)*, 16(3), Ver. V, pp.01-10, Mar. 2014, [www.iosrjournals.org](http://www.iosrjournals.org).
- [22] M. Mohd Saidin, M. Abdul Hakim, W.M. Wan Yusof, H.M. Syamsus and N.A. Mat, "Development of safety culture in the construction industry: The leadership and training roles," 2nd International Conference on Built Environment in Developing Countries (ICBEDC), pp.1902-1920, 2008.
- [23] F.M. Al-Kilani, "Improving safety performance in construction projects in Libya (case study: in Tripoli City)," Master Degree in Civil Engineering Thesis, Diponegoro University, 2011.
- [24] International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO), "ICAO safety management manual," Doc 9859, AN 1460, 2005.
- [25] U.E. Udo, E.E. Usip and C.F. Asuquo, "Effect of lack of adequate attention to safety measures on construction sites in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria," *Journal of Earth Sciences and Geotechnical Engineering*, 6(1), pp.113-121, 2016.
- [26] M.B. Walker and S. Pratap, "Hyperbolic discounting in occupational safety and health in South Asia," The 17<sup>th</sup> International Labour and Employment Relations Association (ILERA) World Congress, The Southern Sun, Cape Sun Hotel and Conference Centre, Cape Town, South Africa, 7-11 September, 2015.
- [27] G.I. Idoro, "Comparing occupational health and safety (OHS) management efforts and performance of Nigerian construction contractors," *Journal of Construction in Developing Countries*, 16(2), 151-173, 2011.
- [28] J. Hinze, "A paradigm shift: leading to safety," *Proceedings of the 4th Triennial International Conference: Rethinking and Revitalising Construction Safety, Health, Environment and Quality*. Port Elizabeth, South Africa. 17-20 May. CIB W99. (2005).
- [29] G.I. Idoro, "Health and safety management efforts as correlates of performance in the Nigeria construction industry," *Journal of Civil Engineering*. 6 (23), pp.75-83, 2008. doi:10.3846/1392-3730.2008.14.27.
- [30] R. Chudley and R. Greeno, "Building construction handbook," 6th ed., USA, Butterworth-Heinemann, 2006.
- [31] J. Anderson, "Health and safety- matching legislation and enforcement," *Proceedings of the Institute of Civil Engineers Management, Procurement and Law*, Cambridge Dictionaries Online. Cambridge University Press, pp.11-15, 2007. <http://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/british/enforce?q=enforcement>.
- [32] E.E. Idubor and M.D. Osiamoje, "An exploration of health and safety management issues in Nigeria's effort to industrialise," *European Scientific Journal*, 9(12), pp.154-169, 2013.
- [33] Federal Government of Nigeria, "The Factory Act Of 1990," Abuja, Nigeria, Federal Government Press, 1990,
- [34] Federal Republic of Nigeria, "Factories Act 126 Cap. F1 LFN. 2004," Lagos, Nigeria, Lagos Judiciary Library, 2004.
- [35] Federal Republic of Nigeria, "The Employee's Compensation Act, 2010," Official Gazette, Abuja, Nigeria, Federal Government Press, 97(101), 2010.
- [36] Federal Republic of Nigeria, "The Insurance Act, 2003," Official Gazette, 90(37), Lagos, Nigeria, Federal Government Press, 2003.
- [37] I.A. Diugwu, D.L. Baba and A.E. Egila, "Effective regulation and level of awareness: An expose of the Nigeria's construction industry," *Open Journal of Safety Science and Technology*, 2, pp.140-146, 2012.

- [38] O.G. Okeola, "Occupational health and safety (OHS) assessment in the construction industry," 1st Annual Civil Engineering Conference, Physical Planning Unit, University of Ilorin, Nigeria, pp.236-246, 2009.
- [39] N. Umeokafor, D. Isaac, K.G. Jones and B. Umeadi, "Enforcement of occupational safety and health regulations in Nigeria: An exploration," *European Scientific Journal*, Special Edition, 3, pp.93-104, February, 2014.
- [40] G.I. Idoro, "The effect of globalisation on safety in the construction industry in Nigeria," *Proceedings of International Symposium on Globalisation and Construction*, School of Civil Engineering, Asian Institute of Technology, Bangkok, Thailand, November, 2004.
- [41] D.N. Kolo, "Safety issues involving workers on building construction sites in Nigeria: An Abuja study," Master of Science Thesis in Civil Engineering, Eastern Mediterranean University, Gazimağusa, North Cyprus, 2015.
- [42] P. Bust, A. Finneran, R. Hartley and A. Gibb, "Health and safety knowledge in complex networked organisations: Training the chain," *Proc. CIB W099 Achieving Sustainable Construction Health and Safety*, Lund, Sweden, pp.50-61, 2-3 June, 2014.
- [43] V.H.P. Vitharana, G.H.M.J.S. De Silva and S. De Silva, "Health hazards, risk and safety practices in construction sites – A review study," *Engineer*, The Institution of Engineers, Sri Lanka, XLviii(03), pp.35-44, 2015.
- [44] A.A. Akinwale and O.A. Olusanya, "Implications of occupational health and safety intelligence in Nigeria," *Journal of Global Health Care Systems*, 6(1), pp.1-13, 2016. [www.jghcs.info](http://www.jghcs.info).
- [45] J. Roberts, "From know-how to show-how? Questioning the role of information and communication technologies in knowledge transfer," *Technology Analysis and Strategic Management*, 12(4), pp.429-443, 2000.
- [46] E.I. Akpan, "Effective safety and health management policy for improved performance of organisations in Africa," *International Journal of Business and Management*, 6 (3), 2011.
- [47] S. kumar and V.K. Bansal, "Construction safety knowledge for practitioners in the construction industry," *Journal of Frontiers in Construction Engineering*, 2 (2), pp.34-42, Jun. 2013.
- [48] I.F.M. Kamar, N.S. Lop, N.M. Salleh, S. Mamter and H.A. Suhaimi, "Contractor's awareness on occupational safety and health (OSH) management systems in construction industry," *E3S Web of Conferences* 3, 01019, 2014. <http://www.e3s-conferences.org> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/20140301019>.
- [49] R.Y. Sunindijo and P.X.W. Zou, "An integrated framework for strategic safety management in construction and engineering," *Proc. CIB W099 Achieving Sustainable Construction Health and Safety*, Lund, Sweden, pp.63-742-3 June, 2014.
- [50] H. Tsoukas and N. Mylonopoulos, "Introduction: Knowledge Construction and Creation in Organisations," *British Journal of Management*, 15(S1), pp.S1-S8, 2004.
- [51] K. Hawkins, "Law as last resort: Prosecution decision-making in a regulatory agency," Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2002.
- [52] E.N. Kamau, "Enforcement and compliance on occupational health and safety measures in industries in Thika Municipality, Kiambu County," Bachelors Degree in Environmental Planning and Management Research Project, Department of Planning and Management, School of Environmental Studies, Kenyatta University, 2014.
- [53] Data Star, "What every researcher should know about statistical significance," October, 2008. <http://www.surveystar.com/startips>.