Contribution of Form Friction to Total Friction Factor

Sahar Azareh¹, Hossein Afzalimehr^{1,*}, Mehrdad Poorhosein², Vijay P. Singh¹

¹Department of water engineering, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran ²Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Texas A&M Univ., College Station, TX

Abstract This study investigates the contribution of form friction to the total friction factor, using observed data from Babolroud River located in north of Iran and south of the Caspian Sea. Results show that form friction (f'') contributes up to 65% of the total friction factor (f) of a gravel-bed river. Most of the equations in the hydraulics literature do not take into account the effect of bed form in the estimation of flow resistance. The results of the study are compared with those of the Keulegan, Charlton, Bray and Griffiths equations. It is found that Bray and Griffith's equations display a reasonable relationship between the form friction and the total friction factor, however, none of the selected equations works well to predict the grain friction. As a result, they cannot be used to estimate the total friction factor or determining the flow capacity of the river.

Keywords Friction factor, Grain friction, Form friction, Coarse-bed rivers, Bed forms

1. Introduction

The estimation of friction factor or resistance coefficient and hence discharge capacity of a river is one of the fundamental problems in river engineering. At present, the accuracy of resistance coefficients for predicting flow characteristics of rivers with vegetated banks remains questionable. A variety of bed forms, especially dunes, exercise a significant influence on the flow resistance. The complexity of bed form sand their interaction with vegetated banks complicates the prediction of flow resistance, especially in coarse-bed rivers. The flow resistance consists of two parts: 1) grain resistance or skin friction which depends on the bed grain size, and 2) form resistance which depends on the bed form geometry and flow depth. Past studies reveal that up to ninety percent of the total channel resistance is due to the form resistance [3, 12], showing the dominant effect of bed forms on flow resistance estimation in coarse-bed rivers. On the other hand, processes, such as incipient motion and sediment transport require determination of resistance to flow [8]. Fedele and Garcia (2001) showed that the resistance to flow in alluvial channels in the presence of bed forms was a nonlinear and non-unique function of shear stress, grain size, and relative flow depth [7]. Yen (2002) surveyed investigations on grain and form friction factors [22]. Review of literature on the division of resistance to flow shows that grain friction, f, is computed using the Reynolds number and relative roughness (d_{50}/R) , where d_{50} is the median grain size and R is the hydraulic

hafzali4@gmail.com (Hossein Afzalimehr)

radius as independent variables [16, 4, 1, 17, 18]. van Rijn (1984) expressed the grain friction (f) as:

$$1/(f')^{0.5} = 2.03 \log (12.2h/d_{90})$$
 (1)

in which *h* is the flow depth and d_{90} is the grain size for which 90% of grains are finer. In coarse-bed rivers, friction factor depends on grain size and bed forms. Considering boundary-layer characteristics, Afzalimehr et al. (2010) determined the total friction factor in gravel-bed rivers, considering bed forms [3].

They determined the grain friction factor using the Shields parameter, and determined the form friction factor by subtracting the grain friction factor from the total friction factor.

The objective of the present study is to investigate the contribution of form friction to the total friction factor, using new data sets, and compare the results with some equations from the literature.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Study Area

Babolroud River watershed is located in north of Iran that flows northward from foot or mount Alborz through Babolsar city finally to the Caspian Sea (see Figure 1), The length of river is 111 km and the average slope of the river is 0.74 percent. The basin area is of 1746.42 km², River regimen rain – snow. The bulk of the annual discharge is associated with autumn rainfall. In Babolroud river the maximum and minimum height are 3180 m and -10m. The vegetation cover of the Babolroud watershed shows low density with gravel and cobble along the river bed.

^{*} Corresponding author:

Published online at http://journal.sapub.org/ijhe

Copyright © 2014 Scientific & Academic Publishing. All Rights Reserved

The location of selected site is called Daronkolah where a straight reach of 210 m length was considered for measuring all required parameters in this study. This site is located at 36°23′37″ North and 52°42′41.19″ East and at an altitude of 123 feet from the Sea level (Figure 2). For the selected reach, 10 sections were carefully taken into account with appropriate intervals.The distance between cross sections was about 20 m, however, the cross sections A and B were

located at greater distances from other cross sections. Cross sections C to M were selected in a relatively direct path, having an average depth 0.30 m. All of cross sections in the reach except C were covered with vegetated banks of the height 0.35 m. Data collection was conducted in the summer of 2013 during July.(see Figure 3, 4), Intervals or distances between cross sections were analyzed using Arc GIS software (see Figure 3).



Figure 1. Caspian Sea basin and Babolroud River



Figure 2. Presentation of the selected reach (Daronkolah) using Google Earth software



Figure 3. Velocity distributions at a cross section of Babolroud river



Figure 4. Presentation of the selected sections

2.2. Data Collection and Data Analysis

2.2.1. Velocity Profiles

At each cross section, five velocity profiles were measured by current-meter made in Vale Port Company in England. The measuring time at each point velocity was 50 seconds. One velocity profile at the central axis of the river, and others were measured near the river banks. Each velocity profile consisted of 14 point velocities from the river bottom to the water surface. Velocities were measured at each point with three repeats and the average of them was taken as the mean velocity for that point in each profile. Figure 5, presents velocity profiles in one of the cross sections at the selected reach of Babolroud River.

2.2.2. Grain Size

The median grain size of bed material was determined by the Wolman method [20]. The range of median grain size was from 22.6 mm to 34.6 mm in the selected reach. Figure 6 presents the grain size distribution in the selected section in Babolroud River.

2.2.3. Survey Data

To survey the selected reach and to measure the water slope and wetted perimeter, a total station camera was used. The bed form and topographic contours were plotted using surfer software (see Figure 7).

2.2.4. Shear Velocity

The shear velocity was estimated by the velocity distribution and the boundary-layer characteristics (BLCM) [2]. as follows:

$$u_* = \frac{(\delta_* - \theta)u_{max}}{C\delta_*} \tag{2}$$

Where C=4.4 [2]; δ_* = the displacement thickness; θ = the momentum thickness; and u_{max} = the maximum velocity in each velocity profile; these thicknesses were defined as: [15]

$$\delta_* = \int_0^h \left(1 - \frac{u}{u_{max}} \right) dy \tag{3}$$

$$\theta = \int_0^h \frac{u}{u_{max}} \left(1 - \frac{u}{u_{max}} \right) dy \tag{4}$$

Equation (2), not only uses the boundary-layer characteristics but also contains the effect of flow non-uniformity via the velocity profile. The displacement and momentum thicknesses reveal the rate of loss in flow discharge and momentum [14]. Ludwieg and Tillmann pioneered the application of δ_* and θ for predicting friction factor, and their methodology has found considerable support over smooth and rough beds in different engineering projects [23]. After measuring the required data in this study, different parameters such as hydraulic depth, area of cross section, the bed slope, energy slope, Froude number, discharge, the mean flow velocity, and the mean shear velocity were calculated. Table 1, presents a summary of experimental data for this study.



Figure 5. Velocity distributions in one of sections of Babolroud



Figure 6. Grain size distribution of bed material in one of the cross sections



Figure 7. Presentation of the topographic contours and bed form of the reach

Site	Cross-sections	Friction slope	Bed slope	Cross-sectio n width	hydraulic depth	Area	Froude number	Discharge	Mean flow velocity	Mean Shear velocity
		\mathbf{S}_{f}	S_0	W	h	Α	Fr	q	um	$u_{*\mathrm{BL}}$
		(m/m)	(m/m)	(m)	(m)	(m^2)		(m^2/s)	(m/s)	(m/s)
St. Daronkolah	А	0.00167	0.0067	19.24	0.449	8.52	0.5	0.436	1.05	0.17
	В	0.007	0.0067	21.30	0.393	8.366	0.401	0.080	0.788	0.083
	С	0.01287	0.0103	26.46	0.277	7.1	0.549	0.246	0.905	0.12
	D	0.00814	0.0061	25.48	0.281	6.885	0.581	0.261	0.965	0.15
	Е	0.00523	0.0015	25.01	0.267	6.51	0.573	0.239	0.928	0.113
	F	0.01513	0.0082	25.87	0.395	9.8	0.408	0.159	0.804	0.111
	G	0.01104	0.007	23.73	0.276	6.13	0.441	0.186	0.725	0.115
	Н	0.00411	0.0019	23.90	0.107	2.46	0.479	0.051	0.491	0.069
	М	0.00436	0.0014	23.65	0.157	3.7	0.439	0.085	0.544	0.0852
	Μ'	0.02228	0.0022	25.58	0.257	6.27	0.295	0.115	0.469	0.101

 Table 1. A summary of hydraulic data in Babolroud river

		median diameter of sediment particles	friction factor	grain friction factor	bed form friction factor	Percent f' in f	Percent f' in f
Site	cross-section	d50(m)	f	f'	f"	(f/f)*100	(f"/f)*100
				(shields)	(shields)		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	А	0.0268	0.21	0.037	0.173	17.6	82.4
	В	0.0268	0.089	0.055	0.034	61.8	38.2
	С	0.0336	0.141	0.067	0.073	47.5	51.8
	D	0.0253	0.193	0.058	0.135	30.1	69.9
st.	Е	0.0226	0.119	0.051	0.068	42.9	57.1
Daronkolah	F	0.0283	0.152	0.071	0.081	46.7	53.3
	G	0.029	0.201	0.064	0.137	31.8	68.2
	Н	0.033	0.158	0.047	0.111	29.7	70.3
	М	0.032	0.196	0.048	0.148	24.5	75.5
	Μ'	0.0346	0.371	0.082	0.289	22.1	77.9

3. Result and Discussion

3.1. Application of Darcy-Weisbach Friction Factor

The total Darcy-Weisbach friction factor is defined as

$$f = 8\left(\frac{u_*^2}{u_m^2}\right) \tag{8}$$

Where u_m = the mean flow velocity in a cross section and the u_* = the mean shear velocity in the same section. The total friction factor can be divided into two parts: the grain part (*f*') and the form part (*f*"). The value of grain friction factor cannot be measured in the field and can only be estimated by assuming that part of friction is due to the surface shear stress applied directly to the grains located over the bed [11]. In applying the traditional methods to calculate the resistance to flow (Manning or Darcy-Weisbach equation), the main difficulty lies in determining the form friction part because no robust method exist for this task. The friction factor can be divided into two parts [3, 19, 21]:

$$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}' + \mathbf{f}'' \tag{9}$$

Therefore, the form friction factor (f ") can be estimated as:

$$\mathbf{f}''=\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{f}' \tag{10}$$

Afzalimehr et al. [3] suggested the following equation to determine the friction due to roughness:

$$f' = [0.9742 - 1.5225 \log (S_f)]^{-2}$$
(11)

The friction slope (S_f) can be estimated using St. Venant equation as

$$S_f = S - \frac{dh}{dx} (1 - Fr^2) \tag{12}$$

Where dh/dx=the water surface variation; Fr=the Froude number defined as $Fr = \frac{u_m}{\sqrt{gh}}$, h=the weighted value of depth in a cross section; and g=the gravitational acceleration.

Table (2), presents the values of total friction factor (f) along with the grain (f) and bed form friction (f ") factors.

3.2. Comparison with Empirical Equations

Our investigation shows that most of the equations in the literature do not take into account the effect of bed form in the estimation of flow resistance. In this section, four equations which have been considered by hydraulic engineers [10], saying, Keulegan, Charlton, Griffiths, Bray Table (3), are used to evaluate their power of prediction for the Babolroud River data. [13, 6, 9, 5].

In the equations of table 3, h=the hydraulic depth, d_{50} = 50 percent finer particle diameters, R=the hydraulic radius.

Columns 5 and 6 of Table 2 show that the form friction (f") consist of 65 percent of total friction (f) in Babolroud, while the grain friction (f') has a smaller contribution. To consider the effect of bed form on the prediction of resistance to flow, some reported equations in literature were examined (see table 3). Figure 8 shows the calculated values of (f) versus (f') and Fig, 9 shows the calculated (f) versus (f").Comparison of

these figures reveals that the contribution of bed friction (f') is more than that of (f).

Table 3. Some of the equations proposed in the literature to calculate friction factor $\left(f\right)$

Researchers	Relations
Keulegan (1938)	$f^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 0.248 + 2.36 \log(\frac{h}{d_{50}})$
Charlton et al (1978)	$f^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 1.27 \left(\frac{h}{d_{50}}\right)^{0.23}$
Bray (1979)	$f^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 0.25 + 2.36 \log\left(\frac{h}{d_{50}}\right)$
Griffiths (1981)	$f^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 0.76 + 1.98 \log(\frac{R}{d_{50}})$

Also Results show that the grain friction considerably overestimate the total friction factor using the equations of Charlton, Bray and Griffiths and underestimate it using the Keulegan's equation (Figure 8). On the other hand, Bray and Griffith's equations show a reasonable relationship between the form friction and the total friction factor, however, the equations of Keulegan and Charlton considerably underestimate and overestimate the total friction factor respectively, displaying , more scatter is in prediction for f" for the Babolroud river (Figure 9). The reason for such overor under-estimation is due to input variables in f and f" which are not only representative of all resistance factors, but also they are attributed to specific morphologic and watershed conditions.

4. Conclusions

The following conclusions are drawn from this study:

- 1. Form friction is a significant part of the total friction factor. It constitutes up to 65% of the total friction in the case of Babolroud River, Iran.
- 2. The grain friction factor considerably overestimates the total friction factor using the equations of Charlton, Bray and Griffiths and underestimates it using the Keulegan's equation using Babolroud river data.
- 3. Bray and Griffith's equations display a reasonable relationship between the form friction and the total friction factor, but Keulegan and Charlton's equations present considerably underestimate and overestimate the total friction factor respectively.
- 4. Accurate determination of friction is fundamental to determining the river flow capacity. None of the selected equations in this study work well to estimate the total friction factor in Babolroud River.







Figure 9. Comparison of the calculated friction factor (f - f ") with the selected equations

REFERENCES

- Acaroglu, E. R. (1972). "Friction factors in solid material systems." J. Hydr. Div., 98 (HY4), 681–699.
- [2] Afzalimehr, H., and Anctil, F. (2001). "Vitesse de frottement associée àun écoulement non uniform et une rugosité relative intermédiaire." *J. Hydraul. Res.*, 39(2), 181–186.
- [3] Afzalimehr, H. Singh, V. P., and Fazel E. N.(2010), "Determination of Form Friction Factor" ASCE, J. Hydrol. Eng. 15:237-243.

- [4] Alam, A. M. Z., and Kennedy, J. F. (1969). "Friction factor for flow in sand bed channels." J. Hydr. Div., 95(HY6), 1973–1992.
- [5] Bray, D. I., (1979). "Estimating average velocity in gravel-bed river." J. Hydr. Div., 105(HY9), 1103–1122.
- [6] charlton, F. G., Brown, P. M. and Benson, R. W. (1978). "The hydraulic geometery of some gravel river in Britian", Report 80(IT1), Hydroulics Research Station. Wallingford, United Kingdom.
- [7] Fedele, J. J., and Garcia, M. H. (2001). "Alluvial roughness in streams with dunes: A boundary-layer approach." *River, coastal and estuarine morphodynamics*, G. Seminara and P.

Blondeaux, eds., Springer, Berlin, 37-60.

- [8] Garcia, M. H. (2008). "Section 2: Sediment transport and morphodynamics." ASCE manual of practice 110: Sedimentation engineering, M. H. Garcia, ed., ASCE, Reston, Va., 2.21–2.163.
- [9] Griffiths, G. A. <u>1989</u>. "Flow resistance in gravel channels with mobile beds." *J. Hydraul. Eng.*, 115_3_, 340–355.
- [10] Julien, P. Y. (1995), Erosion and Sedimentation, 280 pp., Cambridge Univ. Press, New York.
- [11] Julien, P. Y., Klaassen, G. J., Ten Brinke, W. B. M., and Wibers, A. W. E. (2002). "Case study: Bed resistance of Rhine river during 1008 flood." *J. Hydraul. Eng.*, 128(12), 1042–1050.
- [12] Kazemipour, A. K. and Apelt, C. J. (1983). "Effects of irregularity of form on energy losses in open channel flow". *Australian Civil Eng. Transactions, I.E. Aust., CE25*, 294-299.
- [13] Keulegan, G. H., (1938). "Lows of turbulent flow in open channels", J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand, 1151(21), 707-741.
- [14] Munson, B. R., Young, D. F., and Okiishi, T. H. (1994). Fundamental off luid mechanics, 2nd Ed., Wiley, New York.
- [15] Schlichting, H., and Gersten, K. (2000). Boundary-layer

theory, Springer, Berlin.

- [16] Vanoni, V. A., and Hwang, L. S. (1967). "Relation between bed forms and friction in streams." *J. Hydr. Div.*, 93(HY3), 121–144.
- [17] van Rijn, L. C. (1982). "Equivalent roughness of alluvial bed." J. Hydr. Div., 108(HY10), 1215–1218.
- [18] van Rijn, L. C. (1984). "Sediment transport. Part III: Bed forms and alluvial roughness." J. Hydraul. Eng., 110(12), 1733–1754.
- [19] Yalin, M. S. (1972). Mechanics of sediment transport, 2nd Ed., Pergamon, Oxford, N.Y.
- [20] Wolman, M. G. (1954). "A Method Sampling coarse river-bed material" J. of American Geophysical Union., pages.
- [21] Yen, B. C. (1991). "Hydraulic resistance in open channels." *Channel flow resistance: Centennial of Manning's formula*, B. C. Yen, ed., Water Resource, Highlands Ranch, Colo., 1–135.
- [22] Yen B. C. (2002). "Open channel flow resistance." J. Hydr. Eng., 128(1), 20–39.
- [23] Young, A. D. (1989). Boundary layers: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, BSP Professional Books, Washington D.C.