

Energy Resources in the Future

Ken Tomabechi

Former Research Advisor, Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industries, 4-15-14 Nakahara, Mitaka-shi, Tokyo, 181-0005, Japan

Abstract Recent statistics indicate that in 2009 the world consumed about 0.505 ZJ ($ZJ = 10^{21}$ Joules) of energy. If one assumes optimistically that the future world population will be stabilized at 10 billions, and the people will consume a similar amount of energy per capita to that of the people in the presently developed countries, the world will need about 2 ZJ a year. A recent survey of the available future energy resources indicates that the energies recoverable from coal, oil and gas are only 22.4 ZJ, 6.7 ZJ and 6.4 ZJ respectively. Other energy resources such as solar and wind have problems of fluctuation due to the weather conditions. However, the energy expected from known Uranium resources by fast breeder reactors is 227 ZJ and that from Lithium by fusion reactors is more than 175 ZJ. Therefore, it is important to make efforts to develop and use fast breeder reactors and fusion reactors to supply a major part of energy need in the future.

Keywords Energy Resources, Fossil Fuels, Solar Energy, Geothermal Energy, Nuclear Energy, Fission, Fusion

1. Introduction

It is often said that food and energy are the two essential resources to support the modern and civilized society of the mankind. However, if we look at the likely available quantities of those two important resources in our future world, limitations are foreseeable even for the near future. Therefore, we need to take proper actions in order to mitigate those difficulties.

Simple reason for those difficulties foreseeable in the near future has arisen primarily due to the sharp increase of the world population during the recent Centuries, in particular since the last Century, as it has been often called: explosion of the population.

Namely, the world population in the first Century is estimated as about 250 millions, and it increased slowly to around 300 millions in the 12th Century. However, after it reached 550 millions in the middle of the 17th Century, it started to increase rapidly, i.e. 1.17 billions in 1850. Then the world population exploded to 1.60 billions in 1900, 2.4 billions in 1950, and 7.0 billions in 2011, with the present increasing rate of 0.07 billions a year.

On the other hand, it is known that food production capability on the earth is rather limited. It is reported that recent cereal production in the world was 2.5 billion tons in a year. This would be able to support 6.9 billion people, if one assumes 3500 kilocalories per person per day, i.e. an average calorie intake of a person in the present developed countries. However, about a half of the cereals produced in the world are currently used to feed domestic animals, such as chick

ens, pigs and cattle. The present average productivity of cereals on the agricultural land in the world is 2.7 tons per hectare. Even with an extremely optimistic assumption that it can be improved to a level of the present European average value, i.e. 4.7 tons per hectare, the produced cereals will not be sufficient for the future. Thus, every effort should be made by the world as a whole to stop as soon as possible the present trend of explosion of the human population.

Therefore, for discussing the future energy problems in the present paper, let us assume optimistically that the world will be successful in order to stop the increase of the human population in the near future, at a level of about 10 billions.

Concerning the energy supply in the future, let us try to predict an overall picture by reviewing each of the individual energy resources likely available for our descendants, keeping in mind whether sufficient quantities of appropriate resources would be available for our future society.

Many years ago, the author wrote a review article of energy resources for the future world^[1], based primarily on the information contained in the survey of energy resources periodically published by the World Energy Council every three years. Since then, he has revised his energy note every three years, when the World Energy Council published a new version of energy resources. Recently, as requested by the journal of Science, he wrote a similar paper in March 2010^[9].

The present paper is an updated version of it, based on the information in the latest version of energy resources, published by the World Energy Council in September 2010^[10]. It includes all the conceivable energy resources with our present scientific knowledge, i.e.; fossil fuels including coal, oil, natural gas, oil shale, bituminous sands, peat and natural gas hydrates; solar energy resources including solar energy, hydropower, tidal and wave energy, wind, oceanic thermal gradient and biomass; geothermal energy resources in-

* Corresponding author:

ken-toma@parkcity.ne.jp (Ken Tomabechi)

Published online at <http://journal.sapub.org/ijee>

Copyright © 2012 Scientific & Academic Publishing. All Rights Reserved

cluding hydro-geothermal energy and dry hot rocks; and nuclear energy resources including fission of uranium and thorium and fusion of deuterium and tritium.

As is well known, available quantities of individual energy resources may change as the prices of the resources change, because a higher price of an energy resource will result in a larger quantity economically recoverable. However, since the present review intends to predict an overall picture of energy resources likely to be available in the future, availability of resources should be discussed based on the presently consistent statistics developed for various energy resources, rather than trying to investigate the possible future trends of individual resources.

2. Energy Consumption in the World

The global energy consumption is already a huge amount, such that if we use an ordinary unit of energy, we have to use inconvenient astronomical numbers in our discussions. Therefore, in the following discussions, let us adopt here an energy unit of Zeta joule (ZJ), i.e. 10^{21} joules, which is nearly equivalent to the unit of Q that has been frequently used elsewhere in the past by other authors in discussing energies. This ZJ is equivalent to the energy of burning approximately 40 billion tones of coal.

It was reported that when the world population was 5.3 billions in 1990, the energy consumption in the world was 0.387 ZJ, as shown in Table 1 [2]. According to the statistics, the population of then developed countries made up about a quarter of the world population, while they consumed as much as two thirds of the world energy produced in that year. This implies that if one assumes that then developing countries required the same per capita energy as that in the developed countries, the world energy demand would increase 2.7 times. Furthermore, if one assumes twice the population in the future, i.e. 10 billions, the world energy demand would also be doubled.

Table 1. World energy consumption in 1990

Resources	Developed countries (ZJ)	Developing countries (ZJ)	Sum (ZJ)
Coal	0.059	0.034	0.093
Oil	0.093	0.032	0.126
Gas	0.062	0.010	0.073
Biomass	0.008	0.043	0.051
Hydropower	0.015	0.008	0.023
Nuclear	0.021	0.001	0.021
Total	0.258	0.129	0.387

Thus, if one considers a world situation in the future, i.e. twice the population of that in 1990 and a uniform energy consumption rate per capita, i.e. the same as that in the developed countries in 1990, the world energy demand will become about 2 ZJ per year.

From the statistics in Table 1, one should also notice a specific situation concerning the use of biomass energy. Namely, biomass energy supplied about 25 % of the energy

demand of the developing countries, whereas it supplied only 3 % of the demand of the developed countries. Therefore, one has to pay special attention to the need for effort to increase the use of renewable energy including biomass in the future, not only in the developing countries but also in the developed countries. Otherwise, the increasing energy demand expected in the future, particularly in the developing countries, will be expected to be met by increasing the consumption of fossil fuels, in particular oil and natural gas, causing increasingly severe problems with energy supply.

Readers are advised to take into account these conditions when examining the following discussions on various energy resources.

3. Survey of Various Energy Resources Available in the Future

In the following subsections, various types of energy resources will be briefly reviewed individually.

3.1. Fossil Fuels

Assured and recoverable resources of solid fuels of bituminous coal and lignite are 9.57 Tt and 0.86 Tt respectively, ($Tt = 10^{12}$ tons). About two-thirds of the world's resources are located in three countries, i.e. USA, China and Russia. Roughly 90 % of the solid fossil fuels currently produced are consumed in the countries producing those fuels. About 41 % of the electricity currently generated in the world is by burning coal.

Assured and recoverable resources of oil are 0.40 Tt and 0.16 Tt, respectively. About three-quarters of the resources are located in the Middle East, Venezuela and Russia. According to recent estimates, about half of the oil resources that existed on the earth have already been recovered and consumed. As was cautioned by M. King Hubbert in 1956, i.e. a half of the oil resources that existed in the USA would have been consumed by the end of 1960s and thereafter oil production in the USA would be declined slowly, indeed the present yearly production of oil in the USA is about two-thirds of the peak production in 1970. Thus, there is a concern that hereafter the production of oil in the world may begin to decrease and the price of the oil may increase accordingly.

Concerning natural gas, it is discussed here by assuming that 1000 m^3 of the natural gas is equivalent to 0.857 tons of oil, in its energy generation. Thus, assured and recoverable resources of natural gas are presented here as 0.34 Tt and 0.15 Tt oil equivalent, respectively.

Assured resources of oil shale and natural bitumen are 0.74 Tt and 0.48 Tt respectively. However, those energy resources have not been used widely yet.

Amount of recoverable resources of peat is 0.04 Tt. However, use of peat for energy production is presently limited, namely about 70 % of the current production is used for agricultural and gardening purposes.

In addition, there is an energy resources recently discov-

ered, i.e. natural gas hydrates. However, it is not used widely yet and an innovative technology will be required to utilize properly this new energy resource, in order to minimize release of methane gas into the environment throughout the processes of its utilization. The reason is, because the methane gas has a 21-times stronger greenhouse effect than that of CO₂.

3.2. Solar Energy Resources

Solar energy available on the surface of the earth has a rather low power density, e.g. about 163 W/m² averaged over a year during 1970s in Tokyo area. In fact, it is about a few tenths of the energy density presently consumed in large civilized cities, e.g. such as in the Manhattan area of New York. Therefore, solar energy cannot play a major role in densely populated areas.

However, the total annual energy on the earth is a huge quantity of about 4000 ZJ, of which one-third is available on the land. According to a recent estimate, if 1 % of the presently unused land on the earth could be used, the solar energy to be obtained would amount 1.6 ZJ a year.

It is important to note here that electricity produced by solar photovoltaic cells changes in accordance with the weather conditions. Therefore, for using a large plant of solar photovoltaic cells, one needs a large battery to store the generated electricity in order to reduce the fluctuation of electricity to be sent to the connected grid, because an ordinary electricity grid can not respond properly to sudden changes in large electricity demand, e.g. larger than a few percent of the grid capacity. Thus, in order to use a large solar photovoltaic cell plant to supply significant electricity to the grid, one needs a large storage facility of electricity such as large sodium-sulfur battery built for the connected grid.

Annually evaporating seawater amounts to about 0.4 million (km)³, of which about 37,000 (km)³ flows into rivers. If one assumes an average differential altitude of 800 m and an average conversion efficiency of 16 % for electricity generation, resulting annual electricity obtainable would become about 0.046 ZJ.

The statistics of potential world hydropower, based on the estimates made by individual countries in the world, indicate potentially available total annual electricity as 0.057 ZJ. The present hydropower annually generated is 0.0114 ZJ already.

Annual oceanic tidal energy is estimated as about 0.08 ZJ. A potential energy economically usable can be only about 1 % of the total, i.e. about 0.00072 ZJ per year. Potentially usable oceanic wave energy is about one tenth of the potentially usable tidal energy. Promising areas for tidal energy development are in Finland, France, Canada, Okhotsk and the southeast coast of China. At present, the largest tidal power plant operating in the world is in France and it has a capacity of 240 MW.

World wind power is estimated as 3×10^8 TW (TW = 10¹² Watts), but the level of potentially usable energy is estimated as less than a tenth-of-a-millions of the total power, i.e. about

0.72 ZJ per year. The present world installed capacity of wind machines amounts to about 121 GW (GW = 10⁹ Watts). The wind power also changes in accordance with the weather conditions. Therefore, in order to use a large plant of many wind power machines, one needs a large battery system for smoothing electricity to be sent to the connected grid, similarly to the case to use a large photovoltaic cell plant as was discussed already. Attention to be paid in using wind machines are problems of bird striking on the machine blades and low frequency noises from wind machines on the health of peoples living nearby the wind machines.

The thermal gradient between the sea surface and deep sea provides a possibility for operating turbines for generating electricity. For example, the Gulf Stream with a flow rate of 2200 (km)³ per day has 16 - 22 °C of thermal gradient, so that the level of possibly obtainable electricity would amount to about 0.6 ZJ per day. The potential world energy from such thermal gradients of various currents is estimated at about 10 ZJ per year, but only 1 % of the total, i.e. 0.1 ZJ is considered as possibly usable practically.

In the present discussion, the biomass includes wood fuel, dung and agricultural residues. Annual production of organic materials by photosynthesis on the earth is estimated as 115 Bt on the land and 55 Bt in the sea, amounting 170 Bt in total, (Bt = 10⁹ tons). If one assumes that about 10% of the organic materials produced on the land would be usable for producing renewable energy, potential energy from the biomass would amount to 0.2 ZJ per year.

Many countries including India, China, Brazil and USA use significant biomass energy and the present world annual consumption of wood fuel is about 1.4 Bt, i.e. equivalent to 0.57 Bt of oil in its energy production. In India and the USA, 32 Bt of alcohol were produced in 2005 for use in automobiles.

3.3. Geothermal Energies

Thermal energy in the earth, i.e. above room temperature, amounts a huge value of 4×10^9 ZJ, whereas thermal conduction from the interior of the earth to its surface is extremely slow, resulting in an annual heat flow from the surface of the earth into the extraterrestrial space to be only about 1 ZJ. Since the present drilling technology permits penetrations down to about 10 km, only heat to that depth is of interest in assessing geothermal energy use.

If one assumes that up to 4 % of the total hydro-geothermal resources in the near surface of the land, i.e. down to the depth of 10 km, is potentially usable, it would amount to 0.4 ZJ. If one assumes that 0.1% of the dry hot rock energy would be usable, energy of 310 ZJ would become available. However, present use of geothermal energy is limited to hydro-geothermal energy and it amount to only 0.00119 ZJ per year, including for electricity generation.

3.4. Nuclear Energies

Uranium resources are usually classified into two price categories, i.e. resources at less than 80 \$/(kg) and those at 80

- 130 \$/(kg). Those are also classified into the recoverable resources and the estimated additional resources. Rearranging the reported resources, one arrives at values of 4.7 Mt ($Mt = 10^6$ tons) as the assured resources and 12.3 Mt as the total resources.

Because thorium reactors are not used commercially yet, information of thorium resources is not included in the survey of energy resources of World Energy Council [9]. Therefore, the assured and the total thorium resources of 1.4 Mt and 2.4 Mt reported in the previous paper on the future energy resources [1] are used here.

Quantities of energy obtainable from the resources are discussed here with the assumptions that 0.68 % of the resources can be used in the light water reactors, i.e. with once-through fuel cycle, whereas 60 % of the resources may be used in fast breeder reactors with fuel recycling [8]. With those assumptions, quantities of energy obtainable from 4.7 Mt and 12.3 Mt of uranium with non-breeder reactors are calculated to be 2.6 ZJ and 6.8 ZJ, whereas those with fast breeder reactors amount to 227 ZJ and 598 ZJ, respectively. Since thorium resources are used only in the breeder reactors, energy quantities from the thorium are calculated to be 70 ZJ and 120 ZJ, respectively.

Incidentally, the seawater contains uranium at a concentration of 3.3 ppb, implying that the total uranium in the global seawater to be 4.7 Bt, which would be able to produce energy of 2.3×10^5 ZJ, if one assumes its utilization in the fast breeder reactors. Experiments conducted in the past to extract uranium from the sea indicated such a possibility to use uranium in the seawater.

The present study of thermonuclear fusion aims at achieving reactions of deuterium and tritium, i.e. the so-called DT reactions. Although deuterium is contained in the water, tritium should be produced from lithium by bombarding it with neutrons. Therefore, available energy from DT fusion is virtually limited by the availability of lithium. Since the fusion energy is still in the development stage, the resources for fusion energy are not included in the report of energy resources published by the World Energy Council [9] so that it is discussed here using the information reported in the previous paper [1] on the future energy resources.

The reported lithium resources are limited to the western countries and several others, amounting to 8.25 Mt of which about 80 % is in Bolivia and Chile. Fusion energy obtainable from those resources is calculated to be 175 ZJ. It should be noted here that no consistent data are available at present for many other countries including China from which lithium is currently imported to Japan for producing lithium ion batteries and other products. Thus, actual world lithium resources may be much larger than the above quantity.

Similarly to the case of uranium, it is studied to extract also lithium from the seawater that contains lithium at a concentration of 0.17 ppm. Therefore, the total lithium in the seawater is calculated to be 240 Bt and the amount of energy to be produced from the lithium by DT fusion will be 5.1×10^6 ZJ.

If one assumes successful development of fusion energy in the future to use reaction of deuterium and deuterium, i.e. so-called DD reaction, one would be able to burn deuterium from the seawater, by obtaining energy of 1.2×10^9 ZJ in total.

4. Summary of Energy Resources Available in the Future

The energy resources described in the previous section are summarized in the Table 2 below. In this table, the values indicated in the columns of consumption are the numbers by assuming that all the produced resources were converted into energy unit of ZJ, although some of the cases such as oil, certain portion of the production is currently used for producing plastics and several other materials, other than energy production. Also in the case of peat, about 70 % of the production is currently used for agricultural and gardening purposes, as was mentioned already in the previous section. However, majorities of the resources produced are used for energy production.

Only brief comments on the table are given below.

In addition to energy quantities likely to be available from the various resources, one needs prudent assessments concerning various other aspects associated with the use of individual energy resources. Examples of such problems to be considered are air pollutions, noise, environmental impacts on land surface and underground, thermal pollution into river and sea, and disposal of radioactive wastes. In addition, as was mentioned, usefulness of fossil fuels and minerals for other than producing energy should also be assessed.

However, although all those complicated effects associated with use of various energy resources have to be considered simultaneously, analysis of energy resources as to potentially available energy quantities provides basis for assessing the usefulness of particular resources for our future society. In any case, the world will have to find a wise selection for combined use of the appropriate energy resources listed in the Table 2, unless our descendant will encounter good fortune to invent and commercialize completely new and ideal means of energy production, inconceivable with our present scientific knowledge.

If one carefully reviews Table 2, one may draw the following general conclusions.

As discussed in Section 2, in the future the world will need energy of about 2 ZJ per year. With this in mind, if one looks at Table 2, problems of energy supply in the future seems rather clear.

Extrapolation of the present trend in energy consumption to the coming 20 - 30 years is already alarming, foreseeing a shortage in supply of oil and natural gas. As was cautioned by M. King Hubbert in the middle of the last Century, in the present age of human beings consuming an enormous amount of fossil fuels such as coal, oil and gas in a century or so can be called as "an ephemeral event", if one considers it in the past long history of human beings. Namely, a half of

the oil reserves that existed on the earth seems to have been consumed already and therefore, its production will start to decline hereafter, so that the world needs a new way to go in the near future.

Thus, strong effort is needed to shift energy production from oil and natural gas to other appropriate energy resources as soon as possible. Also, in order to avoid greenhouse effect of CO₂, special efforts should be made to minimize use of coal. Because, according to the analysis of ice core in Antarctica, until very recent time the concentration of CO₂ in the air were changed very regularly in every 10⁵ years during the past 4.2 x 10⁵ years, i.e. so-called Milankovitch cycle with the peak values of 280 ppm in the warmer periods and the lowest values of 180 ppm in the glacial periods. Therefore, the present value of 381 ppm of CO₂ in the air is unusually high value, i.e. 101 ppm higher than the peak values of 280 ppm during the warmer periods in the past.

Utilization of solar energy resources should be pursued vigorously. Use of renewable energy from biomass should be particularly encouraged. Use of photovoltaic cells and wind machines for generating large electric power, special arrangement is necessary for the connected grid as described in the previous section.

Use of coal for electricity generation should be minimized as soon as possible. Possible candidates to play major roles in generating electricity in the near future will be nuclear, i.e. fission and fusion. In that case, use of fast breeder reactors seems indispensable. It is reported that already more than 2,000 tons of plutonium in total exist in the world civilian nuclear programs, so that a wide deployment of about 400 fast breeder reactors of 1 GWe capacity would be possible, if those plutonium are used as the initial inventories of plutonium fuels needed for those reactors. Therefore, those plutonium already exist should be stored properly in preparation for such needs in the near future.

Use of thermonuclear fusion promises also a great potential in many respects, so that strong efforts are to be made in order to realize its practical uses as soon as possible. As described in the previous section, consistent data of lithium resources of many countries are presently not available. Thus, the world resources of lithium may be actually much larger than the value quoted in Table 2, so that the energy to be expected from fusion may be much larger accordingly.

Limitation in availability of tritium fuel would constrain a quick and wide deployment of deuterium tritium fusion reactors at an early stage of its commercialization. Therefore, in order to alleviate such difficulties, efforts should be made to develop a fusion reactor with as high tritium breeding ratio as possible, regardless the type of fusion reactors, i.e. either magnetic confinement or inertial confinement, and to commercialize such reactors as soon as possible.

It should be noted however that if indeed necessary, it would be possible to design a DT fusion reactor to be started up its operation without any external supply of tritium fuel at all, i.e. by generating the necessary tritium fuel in the machine itself^[4]. Namely, the machine is first started up with

pure deuterium plasma in the core. Then, the small amount of tritium produced in the plasma by the DD reactions and those tritium produced in the blanket by 2.45 MeV neutrons emitted from the DD reactions will be extracted and recycled back into the core. Such an operational procedure is repeated many times, so that the tritium concentration in the core plasma will increase gradually and reach finally to the designed conditions of the DT plasma. However, such a commissioning procedure may require about a couple of months of the machine operation with supply of the necessary electricity from the connected grid.

Table 2. Summary of energy resources

	Ultimate resources		Recoverable resources		Consumption (ZJ/y)
	(Tt)	(ZJ)	(Tt)	(ZJ)	
Solid fuels (Coal)	9.57	256.	0.86	22.4	0.184
Oil	0.40	17.	0.16	6.7	0.164
Natural gas**	0.34	14.	0.15	6.4	0.115
Oil shale	0.74	19.2	?	?	0.000004
Peat	?	?	0.04	0.45	0.00015
Natural gas hydrate	17. ?	712. ?	?	?	0.

	Usable maximum (ZJ/y)	Consumption (ZJ/y)
Solar energy	1.6	0.000365*
Hydropower*	0.057	0.0114
Tidal and wave*	0.00072	0.000025
Wind*	0.7	0.00082
Oceanic thermal*	0.1	0.
Biomass	0.2	0.0232

	Usable maximum (ZJ)	Consumption (ZJ/y)
Hydro-geothermal	0.4	0.00119
Dry hot rocks	310.	0.

	Total resources		Assured resources		Consumption (ZJ/y)
	(Mt)	(ZJ)	(Mt)	(ZJ)	
Uranium	12.3	598. (6.8)	4.7	227. (2.6)	0.0279
Thorium	2.4	120.	1.4	70.	0.
Lithium	?	?	8.3	175.	0.

Notes: * mark indicates the value of electricity and mechanical power

** mark indicates the amount of oil, equivalent in energy production

Numbers in () represent the quantities obtainable with light water reactors

Lithium resources include only those in the western countries

5. Concluding Remarks

As discussed in the above, the world should make a great effort to develop and realize commercial use of fast breeders and fusion reactors as soon as possible. For wide commercial deployment of such nuclear power in the future, particular attention and effort should be given to the following matters.

First, the world should pay special attention not to cause proliferation of plutonium for unauthorized purposes other than energy production. For example, it is known that the critical mass of bare plutonium metal is about one third of that of enriched uranium metal and a small amount of it is

enough to create a nuclear explosive device. Therefore, in order to minimize such risks of unauthorized uses of plutonium by a person or a group of persons such as terrorists and at the same time to facilitate countries for introducing and using widely nuclear power, the world may need a new international arrangement that can be called here as “International nuclear fuel bank”, that was proposed by the author in 1998 [5].

A second point to which one needs to pay special attention is how to improve the present miserable situation of a sort of radiation phobia of the general publics in the world. Such radiation phobia seems to have been already spread all over the world unfortunately. Namely, it seems to be widely believed by the general publics that any level of radiation received by a person may give him a bad effect on his health, proportionally to its level of radiation received by him. The main reason that resulted in the present situation seems to be the principle widely adopted by the regulatory authorities concerning radiation control in many countries all over the world, i.e. any radiation is detrimental proportional to its level of dose received by a human body, regardless of its dose rate, although it is well known by radiation scientists that the same dose caused by a higher dose rate such as those due to atomic bombs causes higher radiation effects on human body.

However, it is well known today among the scientists of radiation biology that the radiation below a certain level does not cause any scientifically observable detrimental effect on human health [6].

In fact, it is widely known by radiation scientists that there are several areas in the world, such as those in southern China, southern India and a coast of Brazil, where average radiation levels from the ground are about 3.5 mSv/y, i.e. about 10 times higher than those at the other ordinary areas in the world. However, it should be noted that the radiation levels at some local places in those high radiation areas in India and Brazil are about 35 mSv/y, i.e. about 100 times higher than that of other areas in the world. Those three high radiation areas have respectively residences of about 70,000 to 120,000. Epidemiological studies on the residences of those high radiation areas in China, India and Brazil, conducted in the past by several groups of scientists from various countries have not indicated any noticeable higher rates of cancer mortalities and other detrimental effects on health of the residences than those of the people living in the other

areas in the world [7], i.e. clearly indicating such levels of radiation do not cause any noticeable detrimental effect on human health. From those scientific facts, I believe that the radiation regulation on the general publics should be based on those real scientific evidences, namely not on the speculations down to lower radiation levels where no scientific evidences have been observed.

Thus, for introducing wide use of nuclear power in the world, special effort should be made to reeducate the regulatory bodies of radiation control and also general publics in the world to enjoy proper uses of nuclear power without undue radiation phobia.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Tomabechi, “Energy resources in the future”, *Fusion Engineering and Design*: 1994, 24, 343
- [2] *Survey of Energy Resources*, World Energy Council: London, UK, 1992
- [3] *Survey of Energy Resources*, World Energy Council: London, UK, November 2007
- [4] Y. Asaoka, S. Konishi, S. Nishio, R. Hiwatari, K. Okano, T. Yoshida and K. Tomabechi, “Commissioning of a DT fusion reactor without external supply of tritium” (PDP0/8), *Proceedings of the 18th Fusion Energy Conference*, IAEA, Sorrento, Italy, October 2000.
- [5] K. Tomabechi and E. Velikhov, “The World needs An International Nuclear Fuel Bank”, *World Energy Congress*; Houston, USA, September 1998
- [6] S. Kondo, “Health Effects of Low-level Radiation”, *Kinki University Press: Osaka, Japan; Medical Physics Publishing: Madison, USA*, 1993
- [7] H. Tanooka, “Threshold dose-response in radiation carcinogenesis: An approach from chronic-irradiation experiments and a review of non-tumour doses”, *International Journal of Radiation Biology*, 2002, 77, 541-551
- [8] K. Tomabechi, “Utilization factor of nuclear material in LMFB”, *J. Nucl. Sci. Technol.*, 14 April 1977
- [9] K. Tomabechi, “Energy Resources in the Future”, *Energies*, March 2010
- [10] *Survey of Energy Resources*, World Energy Council: Montreal, Canada, September 2010