

Deposit Money Banks Services and Economic Growth in Nigeria

Adebisi Adesola, Uket Ewa*

Department of Accountancy, Cross River University of Technology, Calabar, Nigeria

Abstract This study examined the impact of deposit money banks services on the growth of the Nigerian economy. The study was specifically meant to examine the impact of aggregate banks credits, aggregate banks deposits and effect of interest rates spread on the growth of the Nigerian economy. To achieve these objectives, Time series data were collected from the CBN statistical Bulletin using the desk survey method from 1984 to 2017. The data were analyzed using various econometrics techniques such as the descriptive statistics test, the augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) unit root test, correlation matrix, and Autoregressive Distributive Lag (ARDL) Model. Findings from the analysis showed that, there is an insignificant short and long run effects of aggregate banks credits on the growth of the Nigerian economy. It also revealed insignificant short and long-run effects of aggregate banks deposits on the growth of the Nigerian economy and furthermore, insignificant short and long-run effects of interest rates spread on the growth of the Nigerian economy. We recommend that deposit money banks should intensify efforts on deposits mobilization to enhance the availability of loanable funds for on-lending, as this will promote productivity of businesses and increase the economic growth of Nigeria and lastly, Interest rates should be set as low as possible to enhance and motivate investors to source for loans and depositors to increase their deposit for business expansion and growth in Nigeria.

Keywords Credit, Deposit Money Banks, Economic Growth, Depositors

1. Introduction

One of the major functions performed by deposit money banks is granting of loans and advances to the productive sector for productive purposes. Loans could be defined as the amount extended out with a promise to repay both the principal and interest at a stipulated future date which may range from just a day to several years. Loans could be classified basically as short term, medium term or long-term loans depending on the maturity structure of the loan. Other functions performed by deposit money banks according to [12] are encouragement of savings, provision of capital needed for development, encouragement of trading activities through the use of cheques, encouragement of investment, provision of managerial advice to industrialists who do not engage the services of specialists and rendering financial advice.

Deposit money banks are the most important institutions for savings mobilization and financial resource allocation. Consequently, these roles make them occupy important

positions in economic growth and development. In performing this role, it must be realized that banks have the potential, scope and prospects for mobilizing financial resources and allocating them to productive investments. Therefore, no matter the sources of the generation of income or the economic policies of the country, deposit money banks would be interested in giving out loans and advances to their numerous customers bearing in mind, the three principles guiding their operations which are, profitability, liquidity and solvency, [13]. Most businesses in Nigeria have no access to banks' credit due to poor accounting records, poor managerial skills, lack of credit worthiness and their inability to generate enough income to ensure loans repayment which is guaranteed at maturity date, so as to sustain a viable intermediation process. Nigerian government has recognized that one of the major weapons for reducing unemployment and poverty in the country is to stimulate the economy by supporting businesses through promotion of credits. This has resulted in the establishment and formulation of several credit schemes to guarantee the loans granted to businesses especially those in the priority sectors such as agriculture, manufacturing and export. Despite these schemes, there seems to be low access to credit by businesses in Nigeria, leading to low national productivity and massive unemployment.

* Corresponding author:

uketewa@yahoo.com (Uket Ewa)

Published online at <http://journal.sapub.org/ijfa>

Copyright © 2020 The Author(s). Published by Scientific & Academic Publishing

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International

License (CC BY). <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Equally, there are reported cases of bank charging arbitrary rate on loans, resulting in repayments problems and deterring businesses from accessing loans facilities by deposit money banks. In view of these, there are unanswered questions on whether banks credit has significant positive effect on the growth of national output in Nigeria. Furthermore, there abound many accusations that many banks are often not willing to release funds to these priority sectors since there are predominantly small-scale in nature. Instead, certain conditions such as high rate of interest, hidden bank charges and short repayment periods are introduced into their lending policies.

The continuous dwindling naira value relative to other currencies and the high volatility of inflation rate has also hampered the ability of banks to grant credit. This is so, as the real value of money keeps falling thereby making the banking public to save less, the effect of this being the reduction in the volume of deposits and the loanable funds. Consequently, many business owners are unable to access funds for expansion and productivity. This in turn reduces the growth of the Nigerian economy. This study therefore, seeks to examine the effect of deposit money banks services on the growth of the Nigerian economy.

1.1. Objectives of the Study

The broad objective of this study is to examine the effect of deposit money banks services on economic growth in Nigeria. The specific objectives of this study include:

- i. To assess the effect of aggregate banks credits on the growth of the Nigerian economy;
- ii. To examine the effect of interest rates spread on the growth of the Nigerian economy;

To ascertain the effect of bank deposits on the growth of the Nigerian economy;

1.2. Research Questions

Based on the above stated objectives, the following research questions were formulated:

- i. To what extent do aggregate banks credits affect the growth of the Nigerian economy?
- ii. What is the effect of interest rates spread on the growth of the Nigerian economy?

To what extent do bank deposits affect the growth of the Nigerian economy?

1.3. Research Hypotheses

The following research hypotheses were relevant for this study:

H₀₁: Aggregate banks credits do not have any significant effect on the growth of the Nigerian economy;

H₀₂: Interest rates spread do not have any significant effect on the growth of the Nigerian economy;

H₀₃: Aggregate banks deposits do not have any significant effect on the growth of the Nigerian economy.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Theoretical Framework

This study is anchored on the supply leading theory, the Wicksell theory of lending and economic growth. Other supporting theories were Robert Solow's economic theory and the supply leading theory.

2.1.1. The Supply Led Finance Theory

This theory was first developed by Patrick in 1966, and is based on the assumption that finance is the most important variable to real sector growth, [16]. Supply led finance theory is growth inducing or growth induced, which means finance is the most significant factor for promoting economic development. The theory held that the provision of funds by financial institutions through the extension of credit to businesses support the creation, transformation, expansion of industries and developmental projects thus enhancing the growth potentials of the economy.

According to this view, the existence of financial sector as well as functioning financial intermediation in channeling the limited resources from surplus units to deficit units would provide efficient allocation of resources thereby, leading other economic sectors in the process. The supply led finance theory, emphasizes that finance and economic development are mutual and causal, meaning that finance and economic development have bidirectional causality.

2.1.2. Wicksell Theory of Lending And Economic Growth

This theory was postulated by a Swedish economist called Knut Wicksell in 1901 with strong influence from the quantity theory of money. Wicksell based his theory on a comparison of the marginal product of capital with the cost of borrowing money, [22]. The theory by Wicksell therefore, took a monetary approach to economic growth.

Wicksell argued that if the interest rate of borrowing money was below the natural rate of return on capital, entrepreneurs would borrow at the money rate to purchase capital goods, [23]. This would lead to increased demand for all types of resources and, in turn, their prices. Conversely, if the interest rate of borrowing money was above the natural rate of return on capital, entrepreneurs would sell the capital goods and hold money. This would lead to a lower demand for money and in turn the cost of borrowing.

Wicksell connected the rate of interest with the production gap. The production gap represented the variance between what ought to be produced and what is produced.

This theory is important to this study since it give a direct connection between the demand for and the cost of money and output in a country. It shows how interest rates affect borrowing, which in turn affects the purchase of capital goods and how production is affected. If interest rates are higher than the natural rate of return, borrowing will reduce therefore reducing economic growth as a result of low investment. On the contrary, if the rate of interest is lower than the natural rate of return, then more borrowing will take

place and this will spur economic growth through more investment, [22].

2.1.3. Robert Solow Model of Growth

This theory was suggested by Robert Solow in 1956. This is a model of long-run economic growth within the neoclassical economics framework. The model attempts to explain long-run economic growth means of capital accumulation, labour (population) growth, and the increases in productivity otherwise called technological progress.

The Solow model has the following assumptions. First, it assumes that capital is subject to diminishing returns in a closed economy. Secondly, holding the stock of labour constant, the impact of the last unit of capital accumulated on output will always be less than the one before. Thirdly, given no technological progress or growth of the labour force, at some point the amount of new capital produced is only just enough to make up for the amount of existing capital lost due to depreciation. At this point there is no more economic growth, [17].

The Solow model added the component of changing technological context in order to reduce the effect of diminishing returns in the Cobb-Douglas model. The Solow model therefore suggested that production is a function of state of technology, supply of labour, and capital. The production function made technological progress equivalent to an increase in the effective supply of labour given the state of technology which grows not at the rate of population growth only, but at the rate equal to the sum of growth rate of population and productivity, [20].

This theory is relevant to this study in the following sense. First, the model approaches the level of economic growth from the output perspective just like in this research. Secondly, commercial loans are assumed to provide capital which is used to improve production in a country. The theory simply provides the connection between the capital, other factors of production and level of national output given the level of technology, [17].

2.2. Conceptual Framework

Through assessing the literature review, the conceptual framework aiding the understanding of the connection between bank credit and economic growth was developed. The independent variables were majorly discussed in terms of bank aggregate credit, interest rate, inflation rate and aggregate bank deposit mobilization. The dependent variable is economic growth.

Higher level of savings suggests that more money will be available with the banks for lending. Usually when the rate of savings increases, it serves as an incentive for people to save more, knowing fully well that their savings would earn them more income. Once this happens, the banks will in turn have sufficient loanable funds for businesses or investors. Availability of loanable funds with banks, holding lending rate constant leads to increase investment and economic growth.

Higher interest rate makes the cost of borrowing funds expensive thereby impacting negatively on the level of economic activities. This is because households, firms and government often borrow money from banks and other institutions to finance their businesses. Similarly, high level of interest rate serves as signal for economic agents to save more money in return for better rewards, [5]. Also, low interest rates mean funds would be cheaper to borrow, and signals increasing investment. Firms usually source for funds to venture into investments in new factories, more efficient machines, raw materials, etc. expecting to earn more income from their investments. However, if the interest rate (cost of the loan) is greater than the expected return on investment, then it would not be economically plausible to undertake such investment and vice versa [4]. Thus, when interest rates are lower, firms are more likely to borrow and fund their operations resulting in economic growth.

Higher inflation rate makes real value of money to fall resulting in a compensation demand by the providers of fund. If this happens, the cost of borrowing funds will also become expensive thereby impacting negatively on the credit demand by businesses and investors. This stiffens the economic activities of business units and by extension the national productivity of the economy.

2.2.1. Deposit Money Bank Loans

In their work, [8] defined a loan as a written or oral agreement for a temporary transfer of a property, usually cash in cash form, from its owner called the lender to a borrower who promises to return it according to agreed terms. The terms involve interest, time of repayment and the pattern of the repayment. If the loan is a term loan, it is repayable when the lender demands for its repayment. If it is an instalment loan, the repayment will be based on the agreed monthly instalments. In case the lender requires a lump sum to be made at the end of the time agreed, then this type of loan is a time loan. Banks also classify their loans into categories such as, consumer loans, commercial loans, industrial loans, construction and mortgage loans, secured and unsecured loans, etc.

In this study the adopted meaning of commercial bank loans is that used by [7] in which commercial bank loans were the sum of all the loans issued. Commercial bank loan is therefore any type of loan issued out to any type of borrower by a registered commercial bank in Nigeria. Credit is the extension of money from the lender to the borrower. Credit implies a promise by one party to obtain money or monies worth from another party with a view to refunding at a determined future date, [2]. Credit cannot be divorced from the banking sector as banks serve as a conduit for funds to be received in form of deposits from the surplus units of the economy and passed on to the deficit units who need funds for productive purposes. Banks are therefore debtors to the depositors of funds and creditors to the borrowers of funds. According to the Central Bank of Nigeria, the amount of loans and advances given by the banking sector to economic

agents constitute bank credit, [6]. Credit is often accompanied with some collateral that helps to ensure the repayment of the loan in the event of default. Credit facility channels savings into investment thereby encouraging economic growth. Thus, the availability of credit allows the role of intermediation to be carried out, which is important for the growth of the economy.

2.2.2. Economic Growth

The term economic growth is a term that is not easy to define, though it connotes changes in quantity. Economic growth can simply be defined as the sustained increase in the monetary value of the total output or productivity of an economy.

Economic growth is simply defined as increase in a nation's total wealth. However, this definition ignores the effect of the population on the wealth. It could be viewed as the continuous improvement in the capacity to satisfy the demand for goods and services, resulting from increased production scale, and improved productivity.

This study assumes a statistically simplified definition of economic growth provided by [9] that economic growth is the process of increasing the sizes of national economies as indicated by macro-economic indicators especially the GDP per capita, in an ascendant but not necessarily linear direction.

2.3. Empirical Literature Review

There are various related empirical studies carried out by various scholars in a view to establish the effect of bank services on economic growth. Presented here is a review of the summary of empirical literatures.

In their research, [10] studied the relationship between bank credits and economic growth in Pakistan. The study modeled bank credit, inflation rate and lending rate on economic growth. The study employed the ordinary least square multiple regression technique. Findings showed that bank credit had a positive effect on economic growth in Pakistan. Also, inflation rate and lending rate had an insignificant effect on economic growth in Pakistan.

In examining the effect of financial sector development on poverty reduction in Nigeria, [15] applied bank credit to the private sector, interest rate and money supply to measure financial sector development and per capita income to proxy poverty reduction. The error correction mechanism was applied to analyze the data collected for this study. It was showed that bank credit to the private sector had a significant effect on poverty reduction in Nigeria. Also, it was found that interest rate and inflation rate had insignificant effect on poverty reduction.

In their work, [3] studied the effect of financial sector development on economic growth. Economic growth was proxied by gross domestic product growth rate and financial sector was measured using financial deepening variables. The Ordinary Least Square (OLS) technique was the method of data analysis. Findings showed that financial sector

development had remarkable impacted on real sector growth. However, credit allocated to the private sector wields a significant impact while liquid liabilities and the size of financial intermediaries exert significant positive influence.

In investigating how the financial and real sectors interact in Malaysia during the period 1986Q1 to 2011Q4, [18] adopted the ordinary least square approach and findings showed that real sector output has strong association with the banking sector and the banking sector is the major contributor to output growth.

In examining the effect of financial deepening proxied by ratio of money supplied to GDP, ratio of credit to the private sector to GDP, money supply and interest rate spread on the growth of Nigeria Economy between 1981 and 2017 using Auto Regressive Distributed Lag Approach, [1] study found among others that there is neither significant long run relationship, nor short run causality among the proxies used to capture the exogenous and endogenous variables. It revealed the sorry state of Nigerian financial system development for the period under review.

In their study, [14] assessing financial deepening on economic growth in Nigeria between 1992 and 2008 using the vector error correction technique found broad money velocity and stock market liquidity fostering economic growth. However, money stock diversification, economic volatility and market capitalization failed to promote growth.

In his study [11], used ordinary least squared multiple regression to examine the effect of financial development on poverty reduction in Kenya. The study suggested that the causal link between financial sector development and poverty reduction is responsive to the choice of financial sector development index.

In evaluating whether credit-view hypothesis holds in 11 OECD countries from 1987Q1 to 2003Q3, [19] applying co-integration tests revealed that the banking sector and real sector are related in the long-run in all countries. The Granger causality tests provided strong evidence of the credit-view hypothesis (i.e. banking sector lead real sector) in some countries while no causality between both sectors in other countries.

Employing co-integration and vector error correction model (VECM) techniques to determine the link between bank lending, economic growth and manufacturing sector in Nigeria, [21] study revealed that manufacturing capacity utilization and bank lending rates significantly affect manufacturing output in Nigeria. This means that the growth of manufacturing output has not been enough to generate sizeable growth in the economy. The study has research gap in terms of not identifying relationship between manufacturing sector performance and economic growth in Nigeria.

3. Research Methodology

Annual time series data were collected for the period 1986 to 2016 on Economic growth (Gross Domestic Product

(GDP), Aggregate Bank Credit (ABCR), Aggregate Bank deposit (ABDP) and Interest Rate Spread (IRS). The desk survey method was used to extract the data on the variables from the data sources bearing in mind the study objectives and hypotheses. Gross Domestic Product, Bank Credit and Bank deposit are transformed into their natural log to ensure that their elasticities are duly captured and to control the robustness of the time series. Other variables were in their ratios and percentages and not transformed. Data used in this study was gotten from [6] Statistical Bulletin, Volume, 29, (2018).

3.1. Model Specification

The structure suggested and adopted in this research is a version of the Wicksell (1901) theory of interest rate and economic growth. Wicksell argued that if the interest rate of borrowing money was below the natural rate of return on capital, entrepreneurs would borrow at the money rate to purchase capital goods. This would lead to increased demand for all types of resources and, in turn, result in output growth. Therefore, the relationship suggested by this theory can be expressed functionally thus:

$$\text{GDPGR} = f(\text{DMBS}) \quad (1)$$

Where:

GDPGR = Gross Domestic Product

DMBS = Deposit Money Bank Services

This study however considers deposit money bank services in terms of aggregate bank credit, aggregate bank deposit and interest rate spread as exogenous variables against Gross Domestic Product Growth Rate as endogenous variable. This relationship is stated thus:

$$\text{GDPGR} = f(\text{ABCR}, \text{ABDP}, \text{IRS},) \quad (2)$$

Where

GDPGR = Gross Domestic Product Growth Rate

ABDP = Aggregate Bank Credit

ABDP = Aggregate Bank deposit

IRS = Interest Rate Spread

4. Results and Discussions

4.1. Descriptive Statistics

Presented below is the descriptive statistics of the data set collected for this study. These data were keyed into the E-views statistical package which generated the result as presented in Table 1.

Table 1 shows the result of the descriptive statistics. From the result, it could be observed that the mean values of GDPGR, LABCR, LABD and IRS are respectively 4.836, 6.480, 5.887, and 10.845 with their standard deviations of 3.964, 2.530, 2.411 and 5.276 ranging respectively from -2.040 to 14.600, 2.522 to 10.011, 2.368 to 9.751 and -0.250 to 20.700.

It is worthy of note that the measurement of skewness

indicates not only the amount of skewness but also the direction of the data distribution. A close examination of the skewness of the data set as shown in Table 1 revealed that while GDGR and ABD were positively skewed (right skewed distribution), meaning that their means are also to the right of the peak, with skewness values of 0.27 and 0.10 respectively; ABCR and IRS were negatively skewed (left skewed distribution), meaning that their means were also to the left of the peak, with skewness values of -0.0 and -0.62 respectively. Kurtosis measures the peakedness or flatness of the data relative to the normal distribution. The coefficient of the kurtosis of all the variables were less than 3.00 relative to the normal, meaning that the distribution produces fewer and less extreme outliers than does the normal distribution. This is evidenced by the kurtosis values of 2.566, 1.674, 1.625 and 2.717 for GDPGR, ABCR, ABDP and IRS.

The Jarque-Bera (JB) test measured the difference of the skewness and kurtosis of the series with those from the normal distribution. The JB values of 0.6985, 2.5073, 2.7429 and 2.7170 for GDPGR, ABCR, ABDP and IRS respectively with their respective p-values of 70.51 per cent, 28.54 per cent, 25.37 per cent and 25.70 per cent showed that the data set was normally distributed.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics

	GDPGR	LABCR	LABDP	IRS
Mean	4.836765	6.480133	5.887920	10.84579
Median	5.400000	6.456703	5.674107	12.96167
Maximum	14.60000	10.01192	9.751839	20.70000
Minimum	-2.040000	2.522759	2.368083	-0.250000
Std Dev	3.964508	2.530874	2.479525	5.276502
Skewness	0.276098	-0.057169	0.109490	-0.626887
Kurtosis	2.566190	1.674562	1.625873	2.411799
Jarque-Bera	0.698576	2.507302	2.742918	2.717064
Probability	0.705190	0.285461	0.253736	0.257038
Sum	164.4500	220.3245	200.1893	368.7569
Sum Sq Dev	518.6717	211.3757	202.8854	918.7687
Observations	34	34	34	34

Source: Researchers E-views 9.1 computation, 2019

4.2. Correlation Matrix

The correlation matrix was used to determine the relationship among the variables of this study. The result of the correlation matrix is presented in Table 2:

Table 2. Correlation matrix

	GDPGR	LABCR	LABDP	IRS
GDPGR	1	0.888945	0.883088	0.704280
LABCR	0.888945	1	0.993441	0.756001
LABDP	0.883088	0.993441	1	0.740225
IRS	0.704280	0.756001	0.740225	1

Source: Researchers E-views computation, 2019

Table 2 is the correlation matrix result of the study

variables and from the result; it could be observed that there is a high relationship between GDPGR and aggregate banks credits, aggregate deposits and interest rate spread. This was evidenced by their correlation coefficients of 0.888, 0.883 and 0.704 respectively. From this analysis, it could be inferred that the relationship between banks credits and economic growth is very strong; meaning that banks credits has strong association with the growth of the Nigerian economy.

Also, Table 2 showed that there is a strong positive relationship between aggregate banks credits and aggregate banks deposits. This was evidenced by its correlation coefficient of 0.993. Also, aggregate banks credits had strong positive relationship with interest rates spread, evidenced by its correlation coefficient of 0.756.

4.3. Unit Root Test

In order to ascertain the appropriate ordinary least square model to use for the analysis, we conduct the Unit root test by checking for stationarity of individual variables in the study. This test was achieved through the Augmented Dickey Fuller approach, hereafter referred to as ADF. The result of the ADF is presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) Unit Root Test

Variable	ADF Test Statistics		Order of integration
	Level	1st Difference	
GDPGR	-3.833722		1(0)
LABCR	-0.867337	-4.483697	1(1)
LABD	0.569122	-3.758849	1(1)
IRS	-2.162723	-9.766642	1(1)
Test critical values at level: 1% = -3.653730, 5% = -2.957110, 10% = -2.617434			
Test critical values at 1st Diff: 1% = -3.653730, 5% = -2.957110, 10% = -2.617434			

Source: Researchers E-views computation, 2019

Table 3 showed that aggregate banks credits, aggregate

banks deposits and interest rates spread had unit root at levels but after differencing one time they became stationary. This is so as the test statistics at levels, taking their absolute values were less than their critical values at 5 percent. However, after differencing one time, the test statistics taking their absolute values became greater than their critical values at 5 percent level. Also, GDP growth rate had no unit root at levels as its test statistics at levels, taking its absolute values was greater than its critical values at 5 percent level.

Since the variables were integrated of order I (0) and I (1) this indicates that the appropriate estimating model for this study should be Autoregressive Distributive Lag (ARDL) technique. This therefore informed the adoption of the ARDL approach in the study.

4.4. VAR Lag Order Selection Criteria

In order to ascertain the appropriate lag length to use for the specification of our ARDL model, the VAR lag order selection criteria was applied. The result is presented in Table 4. From the table, most of the criteria showed that the lag four was the most suitable lag length for this.

Table 5 is the ARDL long run estimates of the effect of aggregate banks credits on economic growth in Nigeria. The result was analysed using two dimensions namely, the signs and magnitude. From the signs of the parameters of the estimates, the parameter of the GDPGR in the first to fourth lagged period were positive as depicted by their coefficient values of 0.9748, 1.2149, 0.1506 and 0.255. This implies that a one per cent increase in GDPGR in the first, second, third and fourth lagged periods led to 97.48 per cent, 121.49 per cent, 15.06 per cent and 25.5 per cent in GDP growth rates. Also, the table shows that the parameters of the estimates of the lag of aggregate banks credits for the four periods were positive. This can be seen from their coefficient values of 19.02 per cent, 19.04 per cent, 15.35 per cent and 9.18 per cent. The implies a one per cent increase in aggregate banks credits in the past four periods led to a positive impact on the growth of the Nigerian economy.

Table 4. VAR lag order selection criteria

Endogenous variables: GDPGR LABCR LABDP IRS						
Lag	LogL	LR	FPE	AIC	SC	HQ
0	-224.2243	NA	47.63378	15.21495	15.40178	15.27472
1	-98.27041	19.71521	0.047256	7.884694	8.818826*	8.707927
2	-86.95410	15.84284	0.046032	8.196940	9.878377	8.734846
3	-70.12656	19.07121	0.051588	8.141771	10.57051	8.918746
4	-47.37824	209.9231*	0.031611*	7.691882*	10.86793	8.183531*

• Indicates lag order selected by the criterion
 LR: sequential modified LR test statistic (each test at 5% level)
 FPE: Final prediction error
 AIC: Akaike information criterion
 SC: Schwarz information criterion
 HQ: Hannan-Quinn information criterion
 Source: Researchers E-views computation, 2019

Table 5. Long run ARDL estimates

Dependent Variable: D(GDPGR)				
Variable	Coefficient	Std Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-1.540859	3.8085567	-0.499376	0.6310
D(GDPGR(-1))	0.974815	0.554870	1.756835	0.1170
D(GDPGR(-2))	1.214961	0.500009	2.429878	0.0412
D(GDPGR(-3))	0.150664	0.465405	0.323727	0.7544
D(GDPGR(-4))	0.255004	0.258958	0.984730	0.3536
D(LABCR(-1))	19.02533	14.26314	1.333882	0.2190
D(LABCR(-2))	19.04193	12.54530	1.517854	0.1675
D(LABCR(-3))	15.35034	9.086664	1.689327	0.1296
D(LABCR(-4))	9.186859	7.391409	1.242910	0.2491
D(LABDP(-1))	-31.84377	11.53640	-2.760287	0.0247
D(LABDP(-2))	2.094218	8.911010	0.235015	0.8201
D(LABDP(-3))	6.282940	8.324619	0.754742	0.4720
D(LABDP(-4))	-7.846997	8.275257	-0.948248	0.3708
D(IRS(-1))	-0.647813	0.423276	-1.530474	0.1644
D(IRS(-2))	-0.509020	0.343873	-1.480256	0.1771
D(IRS(-3))	0.023657	0.277959	0.085111	0.9343
D(IRS(-4))	-0.061985	0.215521	-0.287604	0.7810
GDPGR(-1)	-1.582652	0.705159	-2.244390	0.0550
LABCR(-1)	-13.42591	12.33738	-1.088230	0.3082
LABDP(-1)	12.86213	12.52035	1.027297	0.3343
IRS(-1)	1.218040	0.429412	2.836531	0.0219
R-squared	0.864423			
Adjusted R-squared	0.525481			
F-statistic	2.550354	Durbin-Watson stat	2.553792	
Prob(F-statistic)	0.088003			

Source: Researchers E-views 9.1 computation, 2019

Furthermore, lag one and fourth lagged periods of aggregate banks deposits had negative effects on the current period economic growth rate. This implies a one per cent increase in the first lag period and fourth lag period's aggregate banks deposits led to about 31.4 per cent and 7.84 per cent decreases in economic growth in the current period. Also, in the second and third lagged periods, aggregate banks deposits had a positive effect on the economic growth. This implies a one per cent increases in the second and third lagged periods aggregate banks deposits led to 2.09 per cent and 6.28 per cent increases respectively in the current period economic growth rate in Nigeria.

Lastly, the first, second and fourth lagged periods interest rates spreads had negative impact on the current period economic growth rate. This shows that a one per cent increase in the first, second and fourth lagged periods interest rates spreads led to about 64.78 per cent, 50.9 per cent, and 6.19 per cent decreases respectively in economic growth in the current period. However, in the third lagged period interest rates spread had positive effect on economic growth. This means a one per cent increase in the third period lagged interest rates spread led to a 2.36 per cent increase in the current period economic growth rate in Nigeria.

The R^2 adjusted value of 0.5254 as showed in the result

indicates that about 52.54 per cent of the changes in the GDPGR have been explained by the variables used in the model. Also, the F-Statistics value of 21.50 with its corresponding p-value of 0.8 per cent showed that the model is significant at 5 per cent.

In order to test for the significance of long run estimates, the study applied the Wald statistics.

Extract of the result is as presented in Table 6:

Table 6. Wald test of long run estimates**Wald Test:****Equation: Untitled**

Test Statistic	Value	df	Probability
F-statistic	2.676034	(4,8)	0.1101
Chi-square	10.70414	4	0.0301
Null Hypothesis: C(18)=C(19)=C(20)=C(21)=0			
Null Hypothesis Summary:			
Normalized Restriction (=0)	Value	Std Err	
C(18)	-1.582652	0.705159	
C(19)	-13.42591	12.33738	
C(20)	12.86213	12.52035	
C(21)	1.218040	0.429412	

Source: Researchers E-views computation, 2019

Arising from the result of the F-statistics of 2.676 and its corresponding probability value of 11.01 per cent, ABCR, ABDP and IRS had no causal relationship with GDPGR in the long run therefore the null hypothesis is hereby accepted. In other words, there is no long run causality running from bank credit to economic growth in Nigeria.

4.5. Test for Reliability of the Estimates

In order to test whether the long run estimates of the results were reliable, the study applied Breusch-Godfrey serial correlation LM test, the normality test and the heteroskedasticity test.

Table 7. Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation LM Test

F-statistic	2.511538	Prob. F(2,6)	0.1613
Obs*R-squared	13.21493	Prob. Chi-Square(2)	0.0014

Source: Researchers E-views 9.1 computation, 2019

In Table 7 result, the prob. chi square (2) was 0.14 per cent which is less than 5 per cent. Therefore, the null hypothesis no serial correlation cannot be accepted. This therefore means that the model is not free from serial correlation.

Table 8. Heteroskedasticity Test: Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey


F-statistic	0.533477	Prob. F(20,8)	0.8785
Obs*R-squared	16.57335	Prob. Chi-Square(20)	0.6805
Scaled explained SS	1.001038	Prob. Chi-Square(20)	1.0000

Source: Researchers E-views 9.1 computation, 2019

In Table 8, the observed R^2 value of 16.57 with its corresponding prob. Chi square value of 68.05 per cent which is more than five per cent shows that the model is free

from heteroskedasticity.

Normality test was conducted using the histogram normality test. In order to determine whether the residuals of the model were normally distributed or not, Jarque-Bera statistics was applied. The results of the normality test are shown in Figures 1.



Figures 1. Source: Researchers' E-views 9.1 Computation, 2019

The Jarque Bera statistics of 0.34 with its corresponding probability of 84.12 per cent which is greater than 5 per cent means that the residuals of the model were normally distributed.

4.6. Short Run Dynamic Analysis

Using ARDL approach, the study also assessed the short run dynamics of the estimates of the parameters as presented in Table 9:

Table 9. Short run dynamics

Dependent Variable: D(GDPGR)				
Variable	Coefficient	Std Error	t-Statistics	Prob.
C	-2.733167	2.432398	-1.123651	0.2851
D(GDPGR(-1))	0.381219	0.337074	1.130964	0.2821
D(GDPGR(-2))	0.600543	0.383582	1.565616	0.1457
D(GDPGR(-3))	-0.089983	0.416856	-0.215861	0.8330
D(GDPGR(-4))	0.145378	0.270824	0.536799	0.6021
D(LABCR(-1))	8.632841	4.810881	1.794441	0.1002
D(LABCR(-2))	0.558431	6.991924	0.079868	0.9378
D(LABCR(-3))	7.048116	6.181402	1.140213	0.2784
D(LABCR(-4))	-1.784522	6.293425	-0.283553	0.7820
D(LABDP(-1))	-16.46831	10.46573	-1.573547	0.1439
D(LABDP(-2))	11.83411	8.981751	1.317573	0.2144
D(LABDP(-3))	1.284284	8.895355	0.144377	0.8878
D(LABDP(-4))	-0.152177	7.569507	-0.020104	0.9843
D(IRS(-1))	0.011506	0.329165	0.034956	0.9727
D(IRS(-2))	-0.268393	0.338210	-0.793568	0.4442
D(IRS(-3))	0.045706	0.275035	0.166182	0.8710
D(IRS(-4))	-0.083190	0.214540	-0.387758	0.7056
ECM(-1)	-0.674517	0.054482	-12.38054	0.0836
R-squared	0.761517			
Adjusted R-squared	0.392953			
F-statistic	2.066172			
Prob(F-statistic)	0.111556			
Durbin-Watson stat	1.928270			

Source: Researchers E-views 9.1 computation, 2019

Table 9 is the ARDL short run estimates of the effect of aggregate banks credits on economic growth in Nigeria. The result was analysed on two dimensions namely, the signs and magnitude. From the signs of the parameters of the estimates, the parameter of the GDPGR in the first, second and fourth lagged periods were positive as depicted by their coefficient values of 38.1 per cent, 60.05 per cent and 14.53 per cent respectively. This implies that a one per cent increase in the first, second and fourth lagged periods led to 38.1 per cent, 60.05 per cent and 14.53 per cent increases in GDP growth rate for the current period. This was followed by a 8.99 per cent decrease in the third lagged period. The explanation is that while first, second and fourth lagged periods GDPGR had a positive effect on current period economic growth, the third lagged period GDPGR had a negative influence on economic growth in Nigeria.

Similarly, the result showed aggregate banks credits having positive effects in the first, second and third lagged periods on economic growth. Thus a one per cent increase in aggregate banks credits in the three lagged periods led to about 8.63 per cent, 0.55 per cent and 7.04 per cent increases in economic growth in Nigeria in the current period. Also in the fourth lagged period, a one per cent increase in aggregate banks credits led to a 1.78 per cent decrease in economic growth in the current period.

For aggregate banks deposits, the first and fourth lagged periods had negative effects on the current period economic growth. Thus a one per cent increase in the first and fourth lagged periods in aggregate banks deposits led to about 16.46 per cent and 0.15 per cent decreases in economic growth in the current period. In the second and third lagged periods however, aggregate banks deposits had positive effect on the growth of economic growth. That is, one per cent increase in the second and third lagged periods aggregate banks deposits led to about 11.83 per cent and 1.28 per cent increases in the current period economic growth in Nigeria.

Lastly the first and third lagged periods interest rates spreads had positive effects on economic growth in the current period. This implies that one per cent increases in the first and third lagged periods interest rates spreads led to about 1.15 per cent and 4.57 per cent increases in the economic growth in the current period. However, in the second and fourth lagged periods, interest rates spreads had negative effects on economic growth. That is, a one per cent increase in the second and fourth lagged periods interest rates spreads led to 26.83 per cent and 8.31 per cent decreases in the current period economic growth rate in Nigeria.

The R^2 adjusted value of 0.3929 indicates that about 39.29 per cent of the changes in the GDPGR have been explained by the variables used in the model. Also, the F-Statistics value of 20.66 with its corresponding p-value of 1.1 per cent showed that the model is significant at 5 per cent.

In order to test for the significance of long run estimates, the study applied the Wald statistics.

Extract of the result is as presented in Table 10:

Table 10. Wald test of long run estimates

Variable	Null hypothesis	F-statistics	P-value	Decision
MPR	$C(6)=C(7)=C(8)=C(9)=0$	1.097382	0.4052	Accept H_0
LMS	$C(10)=C(11)=C(12)=C(13)=0$	1.645378	0.2320	Accept H_0
EXR	$C(14)=C(15)=C(16)=C(17)=0$	0.627396	0.6529	Accept H_0

Source: Researchers E-views 9.1 computation, 2019

Arising from the result in Table 10, the null hypotheses for all the variables, i.e, aggregate banks credits, aggregate banks deposits and interest rates spread are accepted. This is because their respective F-statistics values of 1.097, 1.645 and 0.627 and their corresponding probabilities of 40.52 per cent, 23.20 per cent and 65.29 per cent are more than 5 per cent required for significance test. The result thus implies banks credits, banks deposits and interest rates spread are statistically insignificant for measuring economic growth in Nigeria.

4.7. Test for Reliability of the Short Run Estimates

In order to test whether the short run estimates of the results were reliable, the study applied Breusch-Godfrey serial correlation LM test, the normality test and the heteroskedasticity test.

Extracts of the results of these tests are stated in Table 11:

Table 11. Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation LM Test

F-statistic	0.124650	Prob. F(2,9)	0.9876
Obs*R-squared	0.080108	Prob. Chi-Square (2)	0.9607


Source: Researchers E-views 9.1 computation, 2019

In Table 11 result, the prob. chi square (2) was 96.07 per cent which is greater than 5 per cent. Therefore, the null hypothesis of no serial correlation cannot be rejected. This therefore means that the model is free from serial correlation.

Table 12. Heteroskedasticity Test: Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey

F-statistic	0.664250	Prob. F(17,11)	0.7828
Obs*R-squared	14.69010	Prob. Chi-Square (17)	0.6178
Scaled explained SS	1.174969	Prob. Chi-Squared(17)	1.0000

Source: Researchers E-views 9.1 computation, 2019

**Figure 2.** Normality test (Source: Researchers' E-views 9.1 computation, 2019)

In Table 12, the observed R^2 value of 14.69 with its corresponding prob. Chi square value of 61.78 per cent which is more than five per cent shows that the model is free from heteroskedasticity.

Normality test was conducted using the histogram normality test. In order to determine whether the residuals of the model were normally distributed or not, Jarque-Bera statistics was applied. The results of the normality test are shown in Figures 2.

4.8. Test of Hypotheses

Hypothesis one

H_{01} : Aggregate banks credits has no significant effect on the economic growth of Nigeria.

H_{11} : Aggregate banks credits has significant effect on the economic growth of Nigeria

Decision Rule

Accept H_0 : if calculated F-statistics value < Pesaran upper bound critical value

Reject H_0 : if calculated F-statistics value > Pesaran upper bound critical value.

From the regression result,

Calculated F-statistics value = 1.097

Pesaran upper bound critical value = 4.01

Since the calculated F-statistics value of 1.097 is less than the Pesaran upper bound critical value of 4.01 at 5 per cent significant level, we accept the null hypothesis and reject the alternative hypothesis. It therefore means that aggregate banks credits do not have any significant effect on economic growth of Nigerian.

Hypothesis two

H_{02} : Aggregate banks deposits have no significant effect on the economic growth of Nigeria.

H_{12} : Aggregate banks deposits have significant effect on economic growth of Nigeria.

Decision rule

Accept H_0 : if calculated F-statistics value < Pesaran upper bound critical value

Reject H_0 : if calculated F-statistics value > Pesaran upper bound critical value.

From the regression result,

Calculated F-statistics value = 1.645

Pesaran upper bound critical value = 4.01

Since the calculated F-statistics value of 1.645 is less than the Pesaran upper bound critical value of 4.01 at 5 per cent level of significance, we accept the null hypothesis and reject the alternative hypothesis. It therefore means that aggregate banks deposits do not have any significant effect on the economic growth of Nigerian.

Hypothesis three

H_{03} : Interest rates spread has no significant effect on economic growth of Nigeria.

H_{13} : Interest rates spread has a significant effect on economic growth of Nigeria.

Decision rule

Accept H_0 : if calculated F-statistics value < Pesaran upper bound critical value

Reject H_0 : if calculated F-statistics value > Pesaran upper bound critical value.

From the regression result,

Calculated F-statistics value = 0.627

Pesaran upper bound critical value = 4.01

Since the calculated F-statistics value of 0.627 is less than Pesaran upper bound critical value of 4.01 at 5 per cent level of significance, we accept the null hypothesis and reject the alternative hypothesis. It therefore means that Interest rates spread do not have any significant effect on economic growth of Nigeria.

4.9. Discussion of Findings

The result of the analysis of the data showed the insignificance of banks credit lending in stimulating the growth of the Nigerian economy which runs contrary to the various studies examined, (3,10,11,15 & 18). This is understandable as Nigeria has experienced a drastic drop in its manufacturing sector which is a major engine for borrowing due to multiple factors ranging from dearth and deplorable national infrastructures, cheap imported substitutes to unfavourable excise duty rate of imported raw materials as against excise duty rate on imported finished close substitutes. Many companies over the last three decades have folded up due to high cost of operations and presence of imported close substitutes that result in low patronage.

Also, interest rate in Nigeria which runs in double digits is among the highest in the world and a disincentive to borrowing. While the rate of interest in countries in Europe, America and china ranges from 0.5 per cent to 3 per cent, in Nigeria it is on average 18 per cent. Nigeria land tenure system where most land is in the hands of the families, there is paucity of loanable collateral securities among rural farmers. This also inhibits bank credits as most farmers have no tangible and acceptable collateral to present as security to the banks.

The dearth in bank deposits as revealed in the study is abysmal. This is due to the economic situation in the country which has eroded the middle class and most people operate on hand to mouth. This is evidenced in spite of the establishment of microfinance banks in the country, bank deposits are still very low.

5. Conclusions and Recommendations

From our analysis, we found out that aggregate banks credits had a positive but insignificant relationship with

economic growth in Nigeria. Again, aggregate banks deposits had an insignificant relationship with economic growth in Nigeria. Lastly, interest rates spread has an insignificant relationship with economic growth in Nigeria.

Consequently, the study concluded that neither banks credits, banks deposits nor interest rates spreads play any significant role in enhancing the growth of the Nigerian economy and the welfare of its citizens. This is inconsistent with the work of Ijaiya and Abdulaheem (2000) which studied the relationship between bank credits and economic growth in Pakistan in which their findings revealed that bank credit had a positive effect on economic growth in Pakistan; but consistent with the study by [1] which examined the effect of financial deepening (proxied by ratio of money supplied to GDP, ratio of credit to the private sector to GDP, money supply and interest rate spread) on the growth of Nigeria Economy between 1981 and 2017 using Auto Regressive Distributed Lag Approach. The study found among others that there is neither significant long run relationship, nor short run causality among the proxies used to capture the exogenous and endogenous variables. It revealed the sorry state of Nigerian financial system development for the period under review.

We therefore recommend as follows:

- i. Policies aimed at enhancing credit allocation to businesses should be implemented by the monetary authorities to boost the productivity of Nigeria, create jobs and reduce the poverty level in Nigeria.
- ii. Banks should intensify efforts to deposit mobilization to enhance the availability of loanable funds for on-lending as this will promote productivity of business and increase the per capita income of Nigerians.
- iii. Interest rates should be set as low as possible to motivate investors to source for loans and depositors to increase their deposit for business expansion and growth in Nigeria.

REFERENCES

- [1] Adesola, W. A., Ewa, U. E. and Oko, S. U., 2019, Effect of financial deepening on the growth of the Nigerian economy. *Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology (JOSET)*, 6(2), 98-117.
- [2] D. D. Ajayi, 2009, The determinant of the volumn of production subcontracting in Nigeria, *Nigeria Journal of Economic and Social Studies (NJESS)*, 42(1), 21-35.
- [3] Aliyu, M. and Yusuf, A. H., 2013, Impact of private sector credit on the real sector of Nigeria, *International Journal of Business and Social Research*, 3(5), 105-116.
- [4] Arikpo, O. F. and Adesola, W. A., 2017, Deposit money bank financing and its effect on real sector output in Nigeria: Evidence from trade and agricultural sectors. *Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal*, 4(14) 22-37. Doi: 10.14738/assrj.414.3433.

- [5] Belongia, M. T. and Ireland, P. N., 2014, Interest rate and money in the measurement of monetary policy. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 33 (2), 255-269.
- [6] Central Bank of Nigeria Statistical Bulletin, Volume, 29, (2018).
- [7] De Haas, R., Ferreira, D. and Taci, A., 2016, What determines the composition of banks, loan portfolios? Evidence from transition countries" *Journal of Finance & Banking*, 3(4), 388-398.
- [8] Dhikhary, B. K., 2018, Nonperforming loans in the banking sector of Bangladesh: realities and challenges. Bangladesh Institute of Bank Management.
- [9] Haller, I., 2012, A capital- surplus illusion: The Nigerian case revisited. *The Nigerian Economic Society*, 135-145.
- [10] Ijaiya, G.T. and Abdulraheem, A., 2000, Commercial banks credits to the agricultural sector and poverty reduction in Nigeria. a calibration analysis. *Nigeria Journal of Agricbiz and Rural Development*, 40(12).
- [11] Odhiambo, N. M., 2008, Financial development in Kenya: a dynamic test of the finance-led growth hypothesis. *Economic Issues*, 13(2), 21-36.
- [12] Ogar, A., Gabriel, E. E. and Arikpo, O. F., 2015, The contribution of deposit money banks to the growth of the agricultural sector in Nigeria. *Archives of Business Research*, 3(2), 33-42, Doi: 10.14738/assrj.32.1009.
- [13] Olukoyo, F. O., 2011, Determinants of commercial bank lending behaviour in Nigeria. *International Journal of Financial Research*, 2(2), 61-72.
- [14] Onwumere, J. U. J., Ibe, I. G., Ozoh, F. O. and Mounanu, O., 2012, The impact of financial deepening on economic growth: evidence from Nigeria. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 3(10), 64-71.
- [15] Oriavwote, V. E. and Eshenake, S. J., 2014, An empirical assessment of financial sector development and economic growth in Nigeria. *International Review of Management and Business Research*, 3(1), 139-149.
- [16] Patrick, H. T., 1966, Financial development and economic growth in underdeveloped countries. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 14(2), 174-189.
- [17] Romer, D., 2011, *The Solow Growth Model: Advanced Macroeconomics* (4th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- [18] Samsi, S. M., Yusof, Z. and Cheong, K., 2012, Linkages between the real sector and the financial sector: the case of Malaysia. *Asian Academy of Management Journal of Accounting and Finance (AAMJAF)*, 8(1), 93-113.
- [19] Sendeniz-Yüncü, I., Akdeniz, L and Aydoğan, K., 2008, Interdependence of the banking sector and the real sector: evidence from OECD countries. *Applied Economics*, (Forthcoming).
- [20] Solow, R. M., 1956, A contribution to the theory of economic growth. *The Quarterly journal of Economics*, vol. 70, No. 1, pp. 65-91.
- [21] Tomola, M. O., Adedisi, T. E. and Olawale, F. K., 2012, Bank lending, economic growth and the performance of the manufacturing sector in Nigeria. *European Scientific Journal*, 8(3), 19-34.
- [22] Weise, C. L., 2006, A simple Wicksellian macroeconomic model. Department of Economics Gettysburg College Gettysburg.
- [23] Wicksell, K., 1901, *Geldzins und Güterpreise*. Jena: Gustav Fischer.