

# Nutritional Status of Fodder Tree Leaves and Shrubs of Scarcity Zone of Maharashtra<sup>i</sup>

U. S. Gaikwad\*, A. B. Pawar, A. D. Kadlag

National agricultural Research Project, Zonal Agricultural Research Station, Solapur (M.S.), India

**Abstract** The intent of this experiment was to figure out the nutritive value of fodder tree leaves and shrubs of dryland area in Solapur district, Maharashtra. The climate of this region is characterized by a relatively low annual rainfall (594.8 mm) and it varies from 594.8 to 655.6 mm from year to year. Temperature during winter is 22.5°C and summer 40.1°C. The average annual total evaporation is 2817.7 mm. Leaves of fodder trees and shrubs were selected and analyzed for dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM), ash, crude protein (CP), neutral detergent fiber (NDF), acid detergent fiber (ADF), hemicelluloses and proximate composition. The mean per cent values for DM, CF, CP, NDF, ADF, and hemicelluloses were 32.66, 22.60, 4.79, 55.75, 47.97 and 7.81 per cent respectively. The average per cent of ash, calcium and phosphorus in fodder tree leaves and shrubs were 8.28, 2.18 and 0.235 respectively. Where, iron, zinc and manganese were 3419, 51 and 252 µg g<sup>-1</sup> respectively.

**Keywords** ADF, Fodder tree leaves, NDF, Nutritional evaluation, Proximate composition, Shrubs

## 1. Introduction

The current status of animal protein deficiency in developing world is caused by lack of forage.

Fodder tree and shrubs have always played a role in feeding livestock. Trees and shrubs are increasingly recognized as important component of animal feeding, especially as supplies of protein. In dryland areas, where the available grazing is not sufficient to very low and erratic, ill distributed rainfall, to meet the maintenance requirement of animal for part of the year. The contribution from trees and shrubs is significant. The fodder contains high level of crude protein, mineral matter and digestibility. They are really acceptable by the livestock, because of their deep root system; they continue to produce well in to the dry season.

Fodder trees and shrubs species are considered important contributor to grazing livestock nutrition in rainfed areas. It is also used as supplement to low quality feeds. During the dry and crop fallow season, farmers traditionally feed indigenous fodder species to meet nutritional requirement of grazing livestock. Fodder tree leaves are an alternative source of livestock feeding in scarcity period especially prolonged dry spell. As there is little information regarding the nutritive value of fodder tree leaves and shrubs, so the study was conducted to establish the nutritive values of the fodder tree species and shrubs of dryland areas of Solapur

district of Maharashtra.

## 2. Materials and Methods

The field survey experiment was conducted in dryland areas of Solapur district of Maharashtra to determine the nutritive value of leaves from locally available fodder tree species and shrubs. The study area is located within 17° 10' and 18°32' north latitudes and 74°42' and 76°15' east longitudes with 483.63m elevation from MSL and having dry environment. The average precipitation varies from 594.8mm and varies 594.8 to 655.6 mm from year to year annually. The fodder tree leaves and shrubs samples were collected from growing tip, middle and basal section of tree and shrubs.

The air dried fodder tree leaves and shrubs samples were further dried in hot air oven at 60°C till constant weight and analyzed for dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP), and crude fiber (CF). The samples were also analyzed for neutral detergent fiber (NDF), acid detergent fiber (ADF), hemicelluloses and proximate (AOAC, 1016). The dry matter was determined by drying the sample at 70°C ± 2 till constant weight. Crude protein was estimated by Micro-Kjeldahl method. Oven dried sample was digested with Conc. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in presence of 30% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. A known liquid of diluted sample was distilled in presence of saturated NaOH and nitrogen was collected in 2% H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>. The distillate was titrated against standard 0.02 N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The per cent of nitrogen was calculated for the estimation of crude protein. For crude fiber, sample was rinsed first with 1.25% HCl and subsequently with 1.25% NaOH for 30 minute each

\* Corresponding author:

ulhasgaikwad7@gmail.com (U. S. Gaikwad)

Published online at <http://journal.sapub.org/als>

Copyright © 2017 Scientific & Academic Publishing. All Rights Reserved

to dissolve acid and alkali soluble component present in it. The residue containing crude fiber was dried to constant weight and calculated the crude fiber.

### 3. Results and Discussion

Dry matter (DM) is the actual amount feed material excluding water, volatile acid and bases if present. The dry matter content of various fodder tree leaves and shrubs used for feeding livestock was varied from 16.92 to 56.60 per cent. Most of the samples contained dry matter more than 30 per cent (Table 1.). The highest dry matter values was observed in *Bambusa bambos* (56.60%) followed by *Bauhinia racemosa* (49.93%), *Acacia nilotica* (49.37%) and *Albizia lebbbeck* (40.93%). High dry matter content could be due to the time of sampling November to January, after six months little new growth (Atiya Azim *et.al.* 2011). The crude protein content was varied between 10.44 to 1.71 per cent. It was the highest in *Nyctanthes arborescens* (10.44%) and the least in *Acacia nilotica* (1.71%). *Moringa oleifera*, *Ziziphus mauritina*, *Psidium guajava*, *Sesbania sesban* and *Leucaena leucocephala* were recorded the protein content 7.08, 7.03, 6.74 and 6.41 per cent respectively. *Syzygium cumini*,

*Michelia alba*, *Acacia nilotica*, *Aegle marmelos* and *ficus microcapara* were recorded the less content of crude protein (2.56, 2.99, 1.71, 2.47 and 2.80 per cent respectively). The finding was in the line with those of Bakshi and Wadhwa (2004).

The crude fiber content of fodder tree leaves and shrubs were ranged between 34.0 to 9.0 per cent. It was the highest *Ficus bengalensis* (34.0%). It was followed by *Ziziphus mauritina* (29.0%), *Syzygium cumini* (28.5%).

#### Structural Constituents

The structural constituents of fodder tree leaves and shrubs are presented in Table-1. The neutral detergent fiber was varied from 77.4 per cent (*Bambusa bambos*) to 36.0 per cent (*Moringa oleifera* and *Acacia nilotica*). The acid detergent fiber content in these fodder species were 52.9 per cent (*Bambusa bambos*) and 26.9 and 33.8 per cent (*Moringa oleifera* and *Acacia nilotica*). The hemicelluloses per cent of fodder tree leaves varied between 24.1 (*Bambusa bambos*) to 2.2 (*Acacia nilotica*). The variation in NDF and ADF and hemicelluloses of the fodder tree leaves and shrubs might be associated with maturity of fodder species (Hameed *et.al.* 2008).

**Table 1.** Structural constituents of fodder tree leaves and shrubs of dryland areas on dry matter basis

Sr. No.	English Name	Scientific name	Per cent Structural Constituents.					
			DM	CP	CF	NDF	ADF	Hemicelluloses
1	Banyan	<i>Ficus bengalensis</i>	31.70	4.41	34.0	68.2	58.5	9.70
2	Jamun	<i>Syzygium cumini</i>	33.22	2.56	28.5	66.2	62.7	3.50
3	Guava	<i>Psidium guajava</i>	36.54	6.74	21.5	61.8	56.8	5.00
4	Indian Thorny Bamboo	<i>Bambusa bambos</i>	56.60	4.32	24.0	77.4	52.9	24.50
5	Drumstick	<i>Moringa oleifera</i>	18.88	7.08	19.0	36.0	26.9	9.10
6	Amla,	<i>Phyllanthus emblica</i>	39.63	3.23	26.5	44.2	36.0	8.20
7	Peepal	<i>Ficus religiosa</i>	22.40	3.56	24.0	50.8	45.4	5.40
8	Ber	<i>Ziziphus mauritina</i>	30.03	7.03	29.0	71.0	56.4	14.60
9	Tamarind	<i>Tamarindus indica</i>	32.68	4.13	24.0	57.6	42.2	15.40
10	Common sesban	<i>Sesbania sesban</i>	16.92	6.41	22.5	40.8	38.4	2.40
11	Subabul	<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	28.74	6.12	16.0	56.2	40.8	15.40
12	Frangipani, Plumeria	<i>Plumeria rubra acutifolia</i>	20.91	2.99	19.5	45.0	36.4	8.60
13	Gum Arabic	<i>Acacia nilotica subsp. Indica</i>	49.37	1.71	9.0	36.0	33.8	2.20
14	Quickstick	<i>Gliricidia sepium</i>	20.55	5.74	16.5	48.2	44.5	3.70
15	Saras	<i>Albizia lebbbeck</i>	40.93	6.12	26.0	58.6	53.6	5.00
16	Neem	<i>Azadirachta indica</i>	33.49	4.74	22.5	55.8	51.4	4.40
17	Bel, Wood apple	<i>Aegle marmelos</i>	35.32	2.47	22.0	55.0	49.3	5.70
18	Apta	<i>Bauhinia racemosa</i>	49.93	3.28	22.0	66.2	60.2	6.00
19	Soft Fig	<i>Ficus mollis</i>	28.93	2.80	27.5	70.0	66.9	3.10
20	Queen of the night	<i>Nyctanthes arborescens</i>	26.46	10.44	18.0	50.8	46.4	4.40
Mean			32.66	4.79	22.60	4.79	47.97	7.81
SEm $\pm$			2.4027	0.4788	1.2288	0.4788	2.3916	1.2741

**Table 2.** Proximate composition of fodder tree leaves and shrubs of dryland areas on dry matter basis

Sr. No.	English Name	Scientific name	Ash (%)	Calcium (%)	Phosphorus (%)	Iron ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ )	Zinc ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ )	Manganese ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ )
1	Banyan	<i>Ficus bengalensis</i>	11.0	2.6	0.49	2458	73	958
2	Jamun	<i>Syzygium cumini</i>	6.0	1.0	0.18	4773	85	420
3	Guava	<i>Psidium guajava</i>	5.0	1.7	0.19	3745	05	18
4	Indian Thorny Bamboo	<i>Bambusa bambos</i>	18.5	1.2	0.18	1618	04	350
5	Drumstick	<i>Moringa oleifera</i>	1.5	2.6	0.26	5128	98	1953
6	Amla,	<i>Phyllanthus emblica</i>	2.0	2.8	0.19	6288	138	30
7	Peepal	<i>Ficus religiosa</i>	11.0	4.5	0.24	5720	63	65
8	Ber	<i>Ziziphus mauritina</i>	6.7	1.2	0.21	2100	45	90
9	Tamarind	<i>Tamarindus indica</i>	4.0	1.9	0.20	3418	80	40
10	Common sesban	<i>Sesbania sesban</i>	10.0	1.4	0.27	2820	48	65
11	Subabul	<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	10.5	1.9	0.30	2470	25	45
12	Frangipani, Plumeria	<i>Plumeria rubra acutifolia</i>	13.5	3.3	0.24	6720	02	95
13	Gum Arabic	<i>Acacia nilotica subsp. Indica</i>	3.5	1.4	0.21	3338	108	25
14	Quickstick	<i>Gliricidia sepium</i>	8.0	1.5	0.23	2688	30	40
15	Saras	<i>Albizia lebbbeck</i>	8.0	4.5	0.21	1498	02	20
16	Neem	<i>Azadirachta indica</i>	10.0	1.9	0.22	3348	02	155
17	Bel, Wood apple	<i>Aegle marmelos</i>	9.5	3.0	0.21	4960	02	375
18	Apta	<i>Bauhinia racemosa</i>	6.0	1.9	0.21	10	168	173
19	Soft Fig	<i>Ficus mollis</i>	9.5	1.5	0.21	993	10	58
20	Queen of the night	<i>Nyctanthes arbortristis</i>	11.5	1.9	0.22	4280	40	60
Mean			8.285	2.185	0.235	3418.65	51.4	251.75
SEm $\pm$			0.9192	0.2265	0.1510	401.66	11.0299	102.5709

### Proximate composition

The chemical constituents of dryland fodder tree leaves and shrubs are presented in Table-2. The calcium content was found the highest in *Ficus religiosa* (4.5%) and *Albizia lebbbeck* (4.5%) and the lowest in *Syzygium cumini* (1.0%). The phosphorus content was the highest in *Ficus religiosa* (0.49%) followed by *leucaena leucocephala* (0.30 %) and the least in *Syzygium cumini* and *Bambusa bambos* (0.18%) followed by *Psidium guajava* and *Phyllanthus emblica* (0.19%). However, the highest iron and zinc content was found in *Phyllanthus emblica* (6288 and 138  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ). *Bauhinia racemosa* contains the highest amount of zinc (168  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) but the least amount of iron (10.0  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ). *Albizia lebbbeck*, *Azadirachta indica*, *Aegle marmelos*, *Bambusa bambos* and *Psidium guajava* were found to less content of iron. The manganese content in fodder tree leaves and shrubs was ranged between 18 to 1953  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ . *Moringa olifera* had the highest content of manganese (1953  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ), while least in *Psidium guajava* (18  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ). The ash per cent of different fodder tree leaves and shrubs was ranged between 2.0 and 11.5. The higher values of ash indicated the more amount of mineral matter in the fodder tree leaves and shrubs.

### 4. Conclusions

Current investigation on nutritional evaluation of foliage have revealed that these fodder tree leaves and shrubs are good source of nutrient (protein, fiber and minerals) and can be used for livestock feeding in this specific districts of scarcity zone of Maharashtra.

### REFERENCES

- [1] The Official Methods of Analysis of A.O.A.C. International. 2016.
- [2] Atiya Azim, Shakira Ghazanfar, Asma Latif and Mukhtar Ahmed Needem. 2011. Nutritional evaluation of some tropical fodder tree leaves and shrubs of district Chakwal, Pakistan in relation to ruminants requirement. Pak. J. Nutr. 10 (1): 54-59.
- [3] Bakshi. M.P.S. and Wadhwa, M. 2004. Evaluation of forest tree leaves of semi-hilly and region as livestock feed. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci. 17: 777-783.

- [4] Hameed, M. N; Naz. M. S. A. Ahmad, Islam-ud-din and A. Riaz. 2008. Morphological adaptations of some grasses from the salt range, Pakistan. Pak. J. Bot. 40 (4): 1571-1578.
- [5] Van Soest, P.J. 1975. Symposium on "Factors influencing the voluntary intake in the herbage by ruminants: voluntary intake in relation to chemical composition and digestibility". J. Anim Sci. 24: 834-843.

---

<sup>i</sup> \*This work was supported by the National Agricultural Research Project, Solapur (M.S.) India.