

Clinical Evaluation of the Effect of Epidural Analgesia on Renal Function in Patients with Intra-abdominal Hypertension

Batirov U. B.¹, Mirakhmedov G. M.², Khaydarova S. E.¹, Ochiljonov S. M.¹

¹Center for the Development of Professional Qualifications of Medical Workers

²Multidisciplinary Clinic "Akfa Medline"

Abstract This study analyzed clinical data from 45 patients who underwent abdominal surgery. The effectiveness of epidural analgesia as a component of intensive care in patients with intra-abdominal hypertension was evaluated, with particular attention to its impact on renal function. The findings demonstrated that epidural analgesia not only provides effective pain relief but also reduces intra-abdominal pressure and improves glomerular filtration rate and diuresis. Thus, epidural analgesia is considered a significant pathogenetically substantiated method in the comprehensive treatment of intra-abdominal hypertension, exerting a positive influence on renal functional status.

Keywords Intra-abdominal hypertension, Renal dysfunction, Epidural analgesia, Glomerular filtration rate, Diuresis, Abdominal surgery, Intensive care

1. Introduction

The increase in intra-abdominal pressure (IAP) plays a critical role in the development of multiple organ dysfunction syndrome in patients following abdominal surgical interventions [1,2,7,10,13,15]. In addition to impairments of hepatic, pulmonary, and intestinal function, pronounced alterations are observed in renal function. Elevated pressure within the enclosed space of the abdominal cavity leads to compromised regional perfusion, hypoxia, and organ ischemia, resulting in a progressive decline in functional activity, potentially culminating in complete organ failure [3,6,9,11,12,14].

The kidneys, due to their high sensitivity to hemodynamic disturbances, are among the first organs to respond to changes in intra-abdominal pressure. According to R. Bellomo (2004) [5], the incidence of renal dysfunction in intensive care units ranges from 5% to 15% [4,8]. However, contemporary studies, including the international WSACS guidelines (2025) [4], indicate that under conditions of intra-abdominal hypertension, the risk of developing acute kidney injury (AKI) is considerably higher—reaching 30–40%—and is frequently accompanied by dysfunction of other organs and systems.

Objective:

The objective of the present study is to evaluate the effect of epidural analgesia on renal functional status in patients

with intra-abdominal hypertension following abdominal surgical interventions.

2. Materials and Methods

The analysis included 45 patients (22 men and 23 women) diagnosed with generalized peritonitis. The diagnoses serving as inclusion criteria were: generalized peritonitis (n = 18), pancreatic necrosis (n = 10), intestinal obstruction (n = 9), and incarcerated giant ventral hernias (n = 8). The patients' ages ranged from 18 to 61 years, with a mean age of 42.6 ± 2.16 years. The patients were divided into two groups. The control group (n = 21) received standard postoperative therapy, which included antibacterial agents, systemic analgesics, infusion-transfusion therapy, and gastrointestinal motility stimulants. The main group (n = 24), in addition to the standard treatment, received epidural analgesia with 1% lidocaine solution administered at a dose of 0.8 mg/kg every 4 hours. In both groups, the following parameters were recorded: **Renal function indicators:** hourly diuresis, serum urea and creatinine levels, and glomerular filtration rate (GFR), calculated using the CKD-EPI formula. **Pain intensity:** assessed using the verbal rating scale (VRS), ranging from 0 to 10 points. **Intra-abdominal pressure (IAP):** measured using the I. Kron method via Foley catheter following instillation of 30 mL of sterile saline, with a Waldman hydromanometer. Pressure readings were taken relative to the upper edge of the pubic symphysis.

All parameters were assessed dynamically at 24, 48, and 72 hours postoperatively.

Table 1. Dynamics of Intra-Abdominal Pressure, Glomerular Filtration Rate, Urea, and Creatinine

Group	Baseline		Day 1		Day 2		Day 3	
	Group 1	Group 2	Group 1	Group 2	Group 1	Group 2	Group 1	Group 2
IAP (mm Hg)	18.7±1,35	21.3±1,24	17.1±1,19	15.7±1,21*	15.5±1,41	11.3±1,25*	12.3±1,51*	8.6±1,26 *
GFR (mL/min)	48.4±2,74	52.4±2,63	44.4±2,11	74.4±2,47*	58.6±2,65	86.5±2,71*	87.3±2,78*	103.1±1,29*
Urea (mmol/L)	12,1±1,42	14.2±1,31	11.4±1,35	10.2±1,52	10.6±1,54	8.1±1,27 *	8.2±1,65	5.3±1,58 *
Creatinine (mmol/L)	0.20±0,06	0.22±0,04	0.20±0,04	0.16±0,03	0.17±0,06	0.11±0,03*	0.09±0,05	0.06±0,04 *

* p < 0.05 compared to baseline. Bold indicates significant difference between groups (p < 0.05).

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS v.26.0 software. For comparison of quantitative variables between the two independent groups, Student's t-test was used for normally distributed data, and the Mann-Whitney U-test was applied when normality assumptions were not met. Categorical variables were analyzed using the χ^2 test. Statistical significance was established at p < 0.05.

3. Results and Discussion

The conducted analysis revealed positive dynamics in intra-abdominal pressure (IAP) and renal function parameters in both groups during intensive care. However, more pronounced and sustained improvements were observed in patients of the main group who received epidural analgesia.

In the main group, the mean IAP, which initially measured 21.3 ± 1.24 mm Hg, decreased to 15.7 ± 1.21 mm Hg within 24 hours after initiation of therapy (p < 0.05) (see Table 1). In the control group, no significant reduction in IAP was noted during the first 24 hours; statistically significant changes were observed only by the second postoperative day (p < 0.05).

Patients in the main group demonstrated significant improvement in renal perfusion and functional indicators. The glomerular filtration rate (GFR) increased from 52.4 ± 2.63 mL/min to 103.1 ± 1.29 mL/min, while hourly urine output rose from 42.1 ± 2.54 mL/h to 68.5 ± 2.83 mL/h. Serum urea levels showed a statistically significant decrease only by the second day of observation compared to baseline (14.2 ± 1.31 mmol/L; p < 0.05). A similar positive trend was recorded for serum creatinine levels (see Table 1).

In the control group, no statistically significant positive changes in these parameters were observed: the changes tended to be favorable but remained statistically insignificant (p > 0.05).

The obtained data confirm previous findings by multiple researchers on the key role of intra-abdominal hypertension (IAH) in the development of renal dysfunction in critically ill patients. According to the literature, the pathogenesis of renal impairment under IAH includes elevated renal vascular resistance, compression of renal veins and parenchyma, decreased cardiac output, activation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system, and secretion of antidiuretic hormone, all contributing to a significant decline in glomerular filtration [8]. Clinical observations indicate that a twofold or greater reduction in urine output may occur when IAP

exceeds 10–15 mm Hg within the first 24 hours. Further increases in IAP to 30 mm Hg frequently result in anuria [8].

In the present study, the use of epidural analgesia led to a significant reduction in IAP (mean decrease of 26.3% within 24 hours; p < 0.05), accompanied by a 96.7% increase in GFR and a 62.7% increase in urine output compared to baseline values. In contrast, reductions in IAP and improvements in renal function in the control group occurred later and were less pronounced.

Although improvements in glomerular filtration and urine output were observed early, significant reductions in serum creatinine and urea levels were recorded only after 48 hours of observation (p < 0.05), likely reflecting a physiological delay in metabolic clearance and tissue accumulation of uremic markers.

4. Conclusions

The results of the present study demonstrate the high efficacy of epidural analgesia as part of comprehensive intensive care in patients with intra-abdominal hypertension following abdominal surgery. The application of this method significantly reduces intra-abdominal pressure and improves renal function, as evidenced by increased diuresis and glomerular filtration rate. At the same time, normalization of nitrogenous blood metabolites (urea and creatinine) occurs after 48 hours or more, which should be taken into account when clinically evaluating treatment effectiveness.

The obtained data support the rationale for incorporating epidural analgesia into standard management protocols for patients at risk of multiple organ failure associated with intra-abdominal hypertension.

REFERENCES

- [1] Beloborodova V.A., Beloborodov A.A., Berdnikov D.S. Abdominal Compartment Syndrome: Epidemiology, Etiology, Pathophysiology. Siberian Medical Review: Quarterly Medical Journal. Krasnoyarsk: New Yenisei Publishing House. ISSN 1819-9496. 2009; No. 2: 100-104.
- [2] Bogdanov A.A. Abdominal Compartment Syndrome. Surgeon: Monthly Scientific and Practical Journal. Moscow: Prosveshchenie. 2009; No. 9: 10-13.
- [3] Gain Yu.M., Bogdan V.G., Popkov O.V. Abdominal

- Compartment Syndrome. *Surgery*. 2009; No. 3: 168-182.
- [4] Abdominal Compartment Syndrome Guidelines and Innovations (WSACS). *Uva Clinical Anaesthesia and Intensive Care*. ISSN 2827-7198; 2024–2025.
- [5] Bellomo R., Ronco C., Kellum J.A., et al. Acute renal failure — definition, outcome measures, animal models, fluid therapy, and information technology needs: The Second International Consensus Conference of the Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative (ADQI) Group. *Critical Care*. 2004; 8: R204–R212.
- [6] De Laet I.E., Malbrain M.L.N.G., De Waele J.J. A Clinician’s Guide to Management of Intra-abdominal Hypertension and Abdominal Compartment Syndrome in Critically Ill Patients. *Critical Care*. 2020; 24(1): 97.
- [7] De Waele J.J. Intra-abdominal hypertension and abdominal compartment syndrome. *Current Opinion in Critical Care*. 2022; 28(6): 695–701.
- [8] Doty J.M., Saggi B.H., Sugerman H.J. Effect of increased renal venous pressure on renal function. *Journal of Trauma*. 1999; 47(6): 1000–1003.
- [9] Jacobs R., Reintam Blaser A., Malbrain M.L.N.G. Fluid Management, Intra-Abdominal Hypertension and the Abdominal Compartment Syndrome: A Narrative Review. *Life (Basel)*. 2022; 12(9).
- [10] Kirkpatrick A.W., Roberts D.J., De Waele J., et al. Intra-abdominal hypertension and the abdominal compartment syndrome: Updated consensus definitions and clinical practice guidelines from the WSACS 2013. *Intensive Care Medicine*. 2013; 39(7): 1190–1206. DOI: 10.1007/s00134-013-2906-z.
- [11] Łagosz P., et al. Elevated Intra-Abdominal Pressure: A Review of Current Knowledge. *World Journal of Clinical Cases*. 2022.
- [12] Malbrain I.E., Malbrain M.L.N.G., De Waele J.J. A Clinician’s Guide to Management of Intra-abdominal Hypertension and Abdominal Compartment Syndrome in Critically Ill Patients. *Critical Care*. 2020.
- [13] Smit M., van Meurs M., Zijlstra J.G. Intra-abdominal Hypertension and Abdominal Compartment Syndrome in Critically Ill Patients: A Narrative Review of Past, Present, and Future Steps. *Scandinavian Journal of Surgery*. 2022; 111(1): 14574969211030128.
- [14] Sosa G., Gandham N., Landeras V., Calimag A.P., Lerma E. Abdominal Compartment Syndrome. *Disease-a-Month*. 2019; 65(1): 5–19.
- [15] Patel D.M., Connor M.J. Intra-Abdominal Hypertension and Abdominal Compartment Syndrome: An Underappreciated Cause of Acute Kidney Injury. *Advances in Chronic Kidney Disease*. 2016; 23(3): 160–166.